



King County

2010 King County Comprehensive Plan Update Editorial / Technical Corrections

Executive Recommended Department of Development and Environmental Services

Summary

This staff report addresses several corrections to the adopted 2008 King County Comprehensive Plan (KCCP). These corrections are technical or editorial in nature. This report also addresses the Regional Trails Needs Report (RTN) that was adopted as part of the 2008 KCCP. A summary of additional analysis conducted by Executive staff that addresses equity and social justice is provided, along with edits to the footnotes for the RTN.

A. Editorial and Technical Corrections

1. Page 3-22, Rural and Resource Land Preservation Program text edit

Rural and Resource Lands face increasing development pressure, yet the county must simultaneously plan for, and allow, future development growth. This tension makes it incumbent on the county to strengthen its TDR ~~((s))~~ efforts. For this reason, King County seeks to increase the number of development right transfers and adopt an expanded Rural and Resource Land Preservation program to reduce and redirect rural development potential into the urban areas.

2. Page 8-21 Policy F-245 edit

F-245 In the Urban Growth Area, all new development shall be served by ~~((P))~~ public sewers

3. Page 8-32 Policy F-305 edits

F-305 King County shall:

- a. **Continue to increase the use of renewable fuel in ~~((s))~~ and the efficiency of ~~((s))~~ county....**

4. Page 8-42, 8-43

Eliminate duplicate policy F-337 (same as Policy F-334)

F-337 — ~~King County should promote the safety and reliability of the natural gas distribution pipeline systems by requiring developers, contractors, and excavators to notify the state, pipeline operators and utilities through the one-number locator service, before beginning excavation or construction.~~

Insert the following text: Policy F-337 has been deleted.

5. Page 9-6

Edit Policy ED-202 (delete underline, retain comma)

ED-202 King County supports programs and strategies, in partnership with the federal, state, and local governments and the private sector, that provide technical and financial assistance to businesses including (,) but not limited to:

6. Page 9-12

Text edit

King County will ~~((consider participation in))~~ (1) consider participation in regional economic development plans and projects that provide benefits to multiple jurisdictions or (2) require a partnership between the public and the private sector to be feasible. For either regional plans and projects or public-private partnerships, the county will base its investment decision upon a full and thorough analysis of the public costs and public benefits of proposed projects.

B. Social and Health Equity Assessments of the King County Regional Trail Network

Methods:

DNRP conducted a geospatial analysis to better understand how proximity to regional trails varies by health, income, and racial demographics. Household distance to the closest segment of regional trail was calculated using census block group mid-points. Income and race demographics are derived from the 2000 Census, and the health outcome of ‘percent sedentary residents’ was from the 2001-2005 weighted average responses from the CDC Behavioral Risk Factor Analysis Survey.

Findings:

- Distance to regional trail by income level are equitable
- Distance to regional trail by race very slightly disfavor neighborhoods with a higher percentage of non-white residents
- There is a slightly positive correlation between the health outcome (percent sedentary) and distance to a trail segment

Implications:

- The findings did not indicate a need to change the Regional Trails Needs report
- We will continue to look for opportunities to better leverage the backbone Regional Trail system to benefit neighborhoods with a higher percentage of non-white residents and areas with a greater percentage of sedentary residents.
- The King County Parks Division will continue to work with Cities and the Seattle/King County Public Health to increase residents' awareness of and access to publically-funded facilities for physical activity, including the regional trail network.

Executive Recommendation: Make the following amendments to the Table Notes for the RTN:

Table Notes

1. Initial prioritization made using (five) <u>six</u> basic criteria - connectivity, aesthetic/scenic value, timing/relationship with other projects, public support, <u>social and health equity</u> , and urban/rural centers connections.
2. Second level prioritization - recognizes projects already underway (construction or design/permitting) and prioritizes to ensure continuity of development.
3. Third level prioritization recognizes continuity of corridor development
4. Priorities have been grouped as categories and do not necessarily correspond to listing number.
5. Eastside BNSF Trails appear in this listing but have not been prioritized.
6. GR-2.2 added to address missing link along 259th Street SE in Kent.
7. 2007 budget estimates based on fixed 6 percent inflation rate.