

## **Attachment D: Specific Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Requirements**

---

**CR-60 - ESG 91.520(g) (ESG Recipients only)**

**ESG Supplement to the CAPER in *e-snaps***

**For Paperwork Reduction Act**

**1. Recipient Information—All Recipients Complete**

**Basic Grant Information**

<b>Recipient Name</b>	KING COUNTY
<b>Organizational DUNS Number</b>	128086869
<b>EIN/TIN Number</b>	916001327
<b>Identify the Field Office</b>	SEATTLE
<b>Identify CoC(s) in which the recipient or subrecipient(s) will provide ESG assistance</b>	Seattle/King County CoC

**ESG Contact Name**

**Prefix**  
**First Name**  
**Middle Name**  
**Last Name**  
**Suffix**  
**Title**

**ESG Contact Address**

**Street Address 1**  
**Street Address 2**  
**City**  
**State**  
**ZIP Code**  
**Phone Number**  
**Extension**  
**Fax Number**  
**Email Address**

**ESG Secondary Contact**

**Prefix**  
**First Name**  
**Last Name**  
**Suffix**  
**Title**  
**Phone Number**  
**Extension**  
**Email Address**

## 2. Reporting Period—All Recipients Complete

Program Year Start Date 01/01/2013  
Program Year End Date 12/31/2013

### 3a. Subrecipient Form – Complete one form for each subrecipient

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Multi-Service Center - Family Shelter

**City:** Federal Way

**State:** WA

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:**

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:**

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Multi-Service Center-Rapid Re-Housing for Households Without Children

**City:** Federal Way

**State:** WA

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:**

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:**

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Hopelink-Avondale Park Shelter

**City:** Redmond

**State:** WA

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:**

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:**

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** Hopelink-Kenmore Family Shelter

**City:** Kenmore

**State:** WA

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:**

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:**

**Subrecipient or Contractor Name:** YWCA-South King County Family Shelter

**City:** Seattle

**State:** WA

**Zip Code:** ,

**DUNS Number:**

**Is subrecipient a victim services provider:** N

**Subrecipient Organization Type:** Other Non-Profit Organization

**ESG Subgrant or Contract Award Amount:**

## CR-65 - Persons Assisted

### 4. Persons Served

#### 4a. Complete for Homelessness Prevention Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 1 – Household Information for Homeless Prevention Activities

#### 4b. Complete for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	15
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>15</b>

Table 2 – Household Information for Rapid Re-Housing Activities

#### 4c. Complete for Shelter

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	146
Children	194
Don't Know/Refused/Other	8
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>348</b>

Table 3 – Shelter Information

#### 4d. Street Outreach

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	0
Children	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 4 – Household Information for Street Outreach

#### 4e. Totals for all Persons Served with ESG

Number of Persons in Households	Total
Adults	160
Children	194
Don't Know/Refused/Other	8
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>362</b>

Table 5 – Household Information for Persons Served with ESG

#### 5. Gender—Complete for All Activities

	Total
Male	133
Female	229
Transgender	0
Don't Know/Refused/Other	0
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>362</b>

Table 6 – Gender Information

## 6. Age—Complete for All Activities

	<b>Total</b>
Under 18	194
18-24	38
25 and over	122
Don't Know/Refused/Other	8
Missing Information	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>362</b>

Table 7 – Age Information

## 7. Special Populations Served—Complete for All Activities

### Number of Persons in Households

<b>Subpopulation</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – Prevention</b>	<b>Total Persons Served – RRH</b>	<b>Total Persons Served in Emergency Shelters</b>	<b>Total</b>
Veterans	0	0	0	3
Victims of Domestic Violence	0	0	0	62
Elderly	0	0	0	2
HIV/AIDS	0	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	0	0
<b>Persons with Disabilities:</b>				
Severely Mentally Ill	0	0	0	18
Chronic Substance Abuse	0	0	0	7
Other Disability	0	0	0	58
Total (Unduplicated if possible)	0	0	0	0

Table 8 – Special Population Served

## CR-70 – ESG 91.520(g) - Assistance Provided and Outcomes

### 8. Shelter Utilization

Number of New Units - Rehabbed	0
Number of New Units - Conversion	0
Total Number of bed-nights available	60,225
Total Number of bed-nights provided	48,708
Capacity Utilization	80.88%

Table 9 – Shelter Capacity

### 9. Project Outcomes Data measured under the performance standards developed in consultation with the CoC(s)

In 2013, 81 households exited four family emergency shelter programs funded partially by ESG funds. Of the households that exited, 64 (79%) moved on to more stable housing.

The four emergency shelters funded with ESG are family shelters. King County counts unit nights and not bednights. When counting unit nights the overall shelter occupancy for 2013 was 90 percent as opposed to the 81% counting bednights.

## CR-75 – Expenditures

### 11. Expenditures

#### 11a. ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	0	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Prevention under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Homelessness Prevention</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 10 – ESG Expenditures for Homelessness Prevention

#### 11b. ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Expenditures for Rental Assistance	42,392	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services - Financial Assistance	0	0	0
Expenditures for Housing Relocation & Stabilization Services - Services	20,866	0	0
Expenditures for Homeless Assistance under Emergency Shelter Grants Program	0	0	0
<b>Subtotal Rapid Re-Housing</b>	<b>63,258</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

Table 11 – ESG Expenditures for Rapid Re-Housing

#### 11c. ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Essential Services	0	0	30,000
Operations	0	0	157,844
Renovation	0	0	0
Major Rehab	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	0

<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>187,844</b>
-----------------	----------	----------	----------------

Table 12 – ESG Expenditures for Emergency Shelter

**11d. Other Grant Expenditures**

	Dollar Amount of Expenditures in Program Year		
	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
HMIS	0	0	0
Administration	0	0	0
Street Outreach	0	0	0

Table 13 - Other Grant Expenditures

**11e. Total ESG Grant Funds**

Total ESG Funds Expended	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
251,102	63,258	0	187,844

Table 14 - Total ESG Funds Expended

**11f. Match Source**

	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
Other Non-ESG HUD Funds	0	0	0
Other Federal Funds	0	0	41,057
State Government	0	0	104,496
Local Government	75,505	0	31,213
Private Funds	0	0	92,943
Other	0	0	0
Fees	0	0	28,765
Program Income	0	0	0
<b>Total Match Amount</b>	<b>75,505</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>298,474</b>

Table 15 - Other Funds Expended on Eligible ESG Activities

The funds listed in the Match Source table above in Other Federal Funds include CDBG funds in the amount of \$41,057. These funds are included in the listing to show all funds available for Match purposes. Excluding the other federal funds, there is \$257,417 in Match funds available for 2013.

**11g. Total**

Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013
625,081	138,763	0	486,318

Table 16 - Total Amount of Funds Expended on ESG Activities

## King County Consortium 2013 ESG Supplemental Information

### 2013 ESG Program Outcomes

The consortium works to ensure that all homeless projects, including projects funded with ESG, are consistent with the vision, principles and recommendations of the Committee to End Homelessness's (CEH) Ten Year Plan to End Homelessness in King County. King County's CEH and Continuum of Care (CoC) adhere to the Homeless Management Information System operating standards and all reporting and program evaluation is through HMIS.

King County working with the CEH/CoC has developed outcomes for short-term rental assistance/prevention programs using a combination of ESG and other government and private funds. King County and the Data and Evaluation Work Group of CEH/CoC will continue to develop and monitor outcomes and performance of all programs within our continuum on progress in reducing homelessness.

#### Outcomes for Shelters

In 2013, four family emergency shelter programs were funded with ESG. Combined, there were 102 households that exited these shelters in 2013. Of this number 61 households moved on to more stable housing. Thirty-nine households moved to transitional housing and 22 households moved to permanent housing for a 60 percent success rate in moving from shelter to more stable housing.

#### Outcomes for Rapid Re-Housing

In 2013, 15 households without children were enrolled in the Rapid Re-Housing program. Of the 15 households enrolled, 13 secured housing. Ten of those 13 households were stably housed at the six month mark and another three were still housed, but not yet for a six month period. Two of the 15 households served in 2013 withdrew from the program.

The reporting above is for households; the table below reports on persons.

### 2013 Report on Racial and Ethnic Status of Families Assisted With ESG

2013 ESG Persons		
HUD Racial Breakdown Reporting	Total	Hispanic/ Latino
American Indian or Alaska Native	11	0
Asian, Asian-American	5	0
Black, African-American, other African	206	0
Hawaiian Native or Pacific Islander	10	0
White, Caucasian	142	31
American Indian or Alaska Native AND White	6	0
Asian, Asian-American AND White	2	0
Black, African-American, other African AND White	28	0
Am. Indian or Alaska Native AND Black, Afr.-Am., African	2	0
Other Multi-Racial	54	23
<b>Total Persons</b>	<b>466</b>	<b>54</b>