1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE SUPERIOR CO				
7	FOR KIN	G COUNTY			
8	STATE OF WASHINGTON,	No.			
0	Plaintiff )	110.			
9	v. ,		FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER PERT WITNESS AND		
10		SERVICI	ES FUNDING REQUEST AND CCTIVE ORDER		
11	j		R DENYING PROTECTIVE		
12	Defendant )	ORDER	A DENTING PROTECTIVE		
13					
14	MOTION				
15	The defendant, requests a protective order limiting the disclosure of the following				
16	documents and the information contained in these documents:				
17	Motion and Certification for Appointment of Expert, dated				
18					
19	3				
20	dated				
21	4		,		
22	dated				
23					
	Protective Order for Expert Witness and Services Funding Request		Firm Name:Address:		
		of 3 Pages	Phone:		

These documents were provided to the Office of Public Defense (OPD) and the records and information concerning these documents will be provided to various agencies in the future to conduct financial business.

This request is made pursuant to the recent Washington Supreme Court decision in Yakima County v. Yakima Herald-Republic 170 Wn. 2d 775 (2011). The Supreme Court ruled that documents prepared by court personnel in connection with court cases and maintained by the court are judicial documents governed by the court rules for disclosure and not the Public Records Act (PRA). In addition, such documents when transferred to non-judicial county entities, are governed by the PRA unless they are subject to a protective order.

The documents listed above, contain information that is work product and confidential under CrR 3.1(f). They outline the defense theory of its case and identify potential experts.

Thus, pursuant to the most recent Supreme Court decision, the defense requests that a protective order be issued limiting the disclosure of the documents listed above. The protective order should place restrictions on various agencies from releasing any of these materials or any information contained in these materials. Now, therefore, it is hereby

**ORDER** 

ORDERED that any King County records or information that concern the abovementioned documents and are released to a King County Agency, the Washington State Auditor,
the Washington State Department of Revenue, the Internal Revenue Service pursuant to state or
federal law, or a state or county government financial institution for payment purposes are
subject to a protective order and, upon proper service of this order, shall not be released to any
requestor, including pursuant to a PRA request, to the King County Prosecuting Attorney's

Office Criminal Division, or to any governmental agency responsible for the investigation or
Protective Order for Expert Witness
and Services Funding Request
10/2011
Page 2 of 3 Pages
Phone:

1	prosecution of the above-listed defendant, until further order of the court. Defense counsel is				
2	responsible for effecting service.				
3	☐ ORDERED that defendant's motion for a protective order is denied.				
4	DONE this day of	20			
5	BOTTE this thay or	, 20	<del></del>		
6		JUDGE			
7	Presented by:	JODGE			
8	Tresented by.				
9	Attorney for the Defendant				
10	Bar #				
11	Dai "				
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17	7				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
	Protective Order for Expert Witness and Services Funding Request 10/2011	Page 3 of 3 Pages	Firm Name: Address: Phone:		