Proposed Ordinance 2016-0155 2016 Comprehensive Plan

Individual Amendments to Striking Amendment S1

Updated with pass/fail status as of September 20, 2016

RP-1

9/7/16 RP-1 - technical

		Sponsor: Dembowski
	cmj	Proposed No.: 2016-0155
1	AMENDMENT	TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VER	SION 1
3	In Attachment A,	on page 1-6, beginning on line 175, strike lines 175 through 188, and
4	insert:	
5	"RP-109	King County ((shall))should establish and/or participate in regional and
6		subregional partnerships to advance the objectives of the Comprehensive
7		Plan, such as:
8		a. The King County Cities Climate Collaboration (the "K4C") to
9		confront climate change,
10		b. The <u>Puget Sound Regional Council's</u> Regional Transit Oriented
11		Development Program to advance transit-oriented development
12		around transit stations and hubs,((and))
13		c. The Eastside Rail Corridor Regional Advisory Council, or successor
14		groups, ((to support a multi-use vision for the corridor))to support a
15		vision that includes dual (recreation trail and public transportation)
16		and multiple objectives, consistent with its federal railbanked
17		status, and
18		d. The Regional Code Collaboration to collaborate on development of
19		and updates to green building codes."
20		
21	EFFECT: correct	ets strikethrough in subsection b. and a typo in subsection c.
22	This amendment	would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:
23	RP-109	King County should establish and/or participate in regional and subregional
24		partnerships to advance the objectives of the Comprehensive Plan, such as:
25		a. The King County Cities Climate Collaboration (the "K4C") to confront climate
26		change,

27	b. The Puget Sound Regional Council's Regional Transit Oriented Development
28	Program to advance transit-oriented development around transit stations and
29	hubs, ((-and))
30	c. The Eastside Rail Corridor Regional Advisory Council, or successor groups, to
31	support a vision that includes dual (recreation trail and public transportation) an $\underline{\mathbf{d}}$
32	multiple objectives, consistent with its federal railbanked status, and
33	d. The Regional Code Collaboration to collaborate on development of and updates
34	to green building codes.

U-1

9/7/16 U-1 - technical

Sponsor: Dembowski cmj Proposed No.: 2016-0155 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 1 2 2016-0155, VERSION 1 3 In Attachment A, beginning on page 2-13, line 412, strike lines 412 through 418, and 4 insert: 5 "U-126 King County, when evaluating rezone requests((for increases in density)), 6 shall ((notify))consult with the city whose PAA includes the property under 7 review; if a pre-annexation agreement exists, King County shall work with 8 the city to ensure compatibility with the city's pre-annexation zoning for the 9 area. King County shall also notify special purpose districts and local 10 providers of urban utility services and should work with these service 11 providers on issues raised by the proposal." 12 13 **EFFECT:** Corrects typo for "exists" 14 The amendment would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows: 15 16 U-126 King County, when evaluating rezone requests, shall consult with the city 17 whose PAA includes the property under review; if a pre-annexation 18 agreement exists, King County shall work with the city to ensure compatibility 19 with the city's pre-annexation zoning for the area. King County shall also 20 notify special purpose districts and local providers of urban utility services 21 and should work with these service providers on issues raised by the 22 proposal.



9/15/16 U-2 – energy conservation

		S	Sponsor:	Lambert
	cmj	F	Proposed No.:	2016-0155
1	AMENDMENT	TO STRIKING A	AMENDMEN'	Γ S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VER	SION 1		
3	In Attachment A,	on page 2-14, beg	ginning on line	441, strike lines 441 through 445, and
4	insert:			
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	"U-128 EFFECT: Would	affordable housing Transfer of Develop Green Building; loo preservation; and in requirements))."	, significant open pment Rights Pro cate development nclude energy co	e private developers to: provide a space, trails and parks; use the gram, Low Impact Development and close to transit; participate in historic enservation measures((-exceeding state) centives for energy conservation
13		ed state requireme	•	, 62
14	The amendment	would change the	policy from str	riking amendment S1 as follows:
15 16 17 18 19	U-128	housing, significant of Rights Program, Low	open space, trails a v Impact Developn cipate in historic p	rivate developers to: provide affordable and parks; use the Transfer of Development nent and Green Building; locate development reservation; and include energy conservation nents)).

FAILED 9/20/16

U-3

9/15/16 U-3 – higher ed in the UGA

		Sponsor:	Lambert
	cmj	Proposed No.:	2016-0155
1	AMENDMENT	TO STRIKING AMENDME	NT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VER	SION 1	
3	In Attachment A,	on page 2-20, beginning on line	e 675, strike lines 675 through 677, and
4	insert:		
5 6 7	"U-149		draw from throughout the region, such as lic assembly facilities ((and institutions of in the Urban Growth Area."
8 9		-	ons of higher education to be located in
10	the UGA.		
11	The amendment	would change the policy from s	striking amendment S1 as follows:
12 13 14	U-149		draw from throughout the region, such as lic assembly facilities((and institutions of in the Urban Growth Area.

FAILED 9/20/16

U-4

9/15/16 U-4 – Fully Contained Communities

	Communities		
		Sponsor:	Lambert
	cmj	Proposed No.:	2016-0155
1	AMENDMENT TO STRIKING	G AMENDMEN	T S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VERSION 1		
3	In Attachment A, on page 2-32, b	peginning on line	1140, strike lines 1140 through 1141,
4	and insert:		
5	"((U-181 Except for existi	ing Fully Contained	Community designations, no new Fully
6	Contained Com	munities shall be ap	oproved in King County.))"
7 8	EFFECT: Removes current prof	hibition on new l	Fully Contained Communities in King
9	County.		
10	The amendment would change t	he policy from st	riking amendment S1 as follows:
11	((U-181 Except for existi	ing Fully Contained	Community designations, no new Fully
12	Contained Com	munities shall be ap	pproved in King County.))
13			

R-1

9/7/16

R-1 – nonresidential uses

		Sponsor: Lambert	
	cmj	Proposed No.: 2016-0155	
1	AMENDMEN	T TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED (ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VI	ERSION 1	
3	In Attachment	A, on page 3-26, beginning on line 940, strike lines 940 thro	ough 951, and
4	insert:		
5	"R-324	Nonresidential uses in the Rural Area shall be limited to those	hat:
6		a. Provide convenient local products and services ((for n	earby Rural
7		Area residents));	
8		b. Require location in a Rural Area;	
9		c. Support natural resource-based industries;	
10		d. Provide adaptive reuse of significant historic resource	s; or
11		e. Provide recreational and tourism opportunities that are	compatible
12		with the surrounding Rural Area."	
13			
14	EFFECT: Ren	noves "for nearby residents" in subsection a.	
15	The amendme	nt would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as	follows:
16	R-324	Nonresidential uses in the Rural Area shall be limited to those that:	
17		a. Provide convenient local products and services((for nearby res	i dents));
18		b. Require location in a Rural Area;	
19		c. Support natural resource-based industries;	
20		d. Provide adaptive reuse of significant historic resources; or	
21		e. Provide recreational and tourism_opportunities that are compatib	e with the
22		surrounding Rural Area.	
23			

R-2

9/15/16 R-2 – fire protection

		Sponsor: Lambert	
	cmj	Proposed No.: 2016-0155	
1	AMENDMEN	TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINAN	ICE
2	2016-0155, V	SION 1	
3	In Attachment	on page 3-28, beginning on line 1041, strike lines 1041 through 105	56,
4	and insert:		
5	"R-334	To maintain traditional rural development patterns and assure continued	
6		opportunities for resource activities in the Rural Area, large lot development	nt
7		is preferred in the Rural Area. Clustering of lots is permitted when:	
8		a. The development provides equal or greater protection of the nature	al
9		environment, natural resource lands, historic resources or	
10		archaeological sites;	
11		b. Clusters are limited in size to be compatible with surrounding large	е
12		lots or nearby agricultural and forestry uses;	
13		c. The clustered development is offset with a permanent resource lar	nd
14		tract preserved for forestry or agriculture, as designated by the	
15		owner at time of subdivision or short subdivision, or a permanent	
16		open space tract. Under no circumstances shall the tract be	
17		reserved for future development; and	
18		d. The development can be served by rural ((facility))facilities and	
19		service levels (such as on-site sewage disposal((, private well(s) fo) r
20		on-site water supply,)) and ((rural)) fire protection)."	
21			
22	EFFECT: Re	ves "rural" qualifier when referencing fire protection as an examp	ole
23	of the types of	cilities and services that are required for lot clustering.	
24	The amendme	would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:	
25	R-334	To maintain traditional rural development patterns and assure continued opportu	nities
26		for resource activities in the Rural Area, large lot development is preferred in the	
27		Rural Area. Clustering of lots is permitted when:	

28 a.	The development provides equal or greater protection of the natural environment,
29	natural resource lands, historic resources or archaeological sites;
30 b.	Clusters are limited in size to be compatible with surrounding large lots or nearby
31	agricultural and forestry uses;
32 c.	The clustered development is offset with a permanent resource land tract
33	preserved for forestry or agriculture, as designated by the owner at time of
34	subdivision or short subdivision, or a permanent open space tract. Under no
35	circumstances shall the tract be reserved for future development; and
36 d.	The development can be served by rural facilities and service levels (such as
37	on-site sewage disposal and ((rural-))fire protection).

H-1

9/15/16 H-1 – gun safety

Sponsor: McDermott

cmj

Proposed No.: 2016-0155

AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

2 **2016-0155, VERSION 1**

- 3 In Attachment A, on page 4-3, beginning on line 54, strike lines 54 through 78, and
- 4 insert:

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 5 " H-102 King County shall work with jurisdictions, the private sector, state and 6 federal governments, other public funders of housing, other public agencies 7 such as the Housing Authorities, regional agencies such as the Puget 8 Sound Regional Council, intermediary housing organizations, and the 9 non-profit sector, to encourage a wide range of housing and to reduce 10 barriers to the development and preservation of a wide range of housing((11 within the Urban Growth Area)), at an appropriate size and scale, that: 12 Provides housing choices for people of all income levels, a. 13 particularly in areas with existing or planned high-capacity and 14
 - particularly in areas with existing or planned high-capacity and frequent public transportation access where it is safe and convenient to walk, bicycle, and take public transportation to work and other key destinations such as educational facilities, shopping and health care;
 - b. Meets the needs of a diverse population, especially families and individuals who have very-low to moderate incomes, older adults, people of color, children and vulnerable adults (including victims and survivors of domestic violence, human trafficking, and commercial sexual exploitation), people with developmental disabilities, ((and-))people with behavioral, physical, cognitive and/or functional disabilities, and people who are experiencing homelessness;
 - c. Supports economic growth; ((and))
 - d. Supports King County's <u>E((e))</u>quity and <u>S((s))</u>ocial <u>J((i))</u>ustice

 <u>Initiative((,))</u> and <u>Health and Human Services T((t))</u>ransformation

 P((p))lan goals, for an equitable and rational distribution of

30		low-income and high-quality affordable housing, including
31		mixed-income housing, throughout the county: and
32		e. Fosters safety from gun injury and violence, including through
33		expanding access to and availability of gun storage safes and
34		identifying and utilizing design standards that are shown to
35		increase connectivity and reduce violence. King County shall
36		identify opportunities to encourage permanent firearm and safe
37		medicine storage locations in every new construction private and
38		public residential buildings."
39		
40	EFFECT: A	dds a new subsection e requiring King County to work to address the issue
41	of gun violen	ace.
42	The amendm	nent would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:
43	H-102	King County shall work with jurisdictions, the private sector, state and federal
44		governments, other public funders of housing, other public agencies such as the
45		Housing Authorities, regional agencies such as the Puget Sound Regional Council,
46		intermediary housing organizations, and the non-profit sector, to encourage a wide
47		range of housing and to reduce barriers to the development and preservation of a
48		wide range of housing, at an appropriate size and scale, that:
49		a. Provides housing choices for people of all income levels, particularly in areas with
50		existing or planned high-capacity and frequent public transportation access where
51		it is safe and convenient to walk, bicycle, and take public transportation to work
52		and other key destinations such as educational facilities, shopping and health care
53		b. Meets the needs of a diverse population, especially families and individuals who
54		have very-low to moderate incomes, older adults, people of color, children and
55		vulnerable adults (including victims and survivors of domestic violence, human
56		trafficking, and commercial sexual exploitation), people with developmental
57		disabilities, people with behavioral, physical, cognitive and/or functional disabilities,
58		and people who are experiencing homelessness;
59		c. Supports economic growth; ((and))
60		d. Supports King County's Equity and Social Justice Initiative and Health and Human
61		Services Transformation Plan goals, for an equitable and rational distribution of
62		low-income and high-quality affordable housing, including mixed-income housing,
63		throughout the county: and
64		e. Fosters safety from gun injury and violence, including through expanding
65		access to and availability of gun storage safes and identifying and utilizing
66		design standards that are shown to increase connectivity and reduce
67		violence. King County shall identify opportunities to encourage permanent

firearm and safe medicine storage locations in every new construction
 private and public residential buildings.

H-2

9/14/16 H-2 – affordable housing coordination

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1011

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

"H-103a

Sponsor: Balducci cmj Proposed No.: 2016-0155 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2016-0155, VERSION 1 In Attachment A, on page 4-3, after line 79, insert: "As the Countywide Planning Policies note, residents in King County are facing an unmet need for housing that is affordable to households earning less than 80 percent of area median income. Recent data indicate that 295,000 households in King County spend more than 30 percent of their income on housing.¹ The lack of affordable housing is felt in every community in the county. A regional problem requires a regional approach. As such, King County and the jurisdictions within the county have a shared responsibility to increase the supply of housing affordable to these households. Based on the identified need for affordable housing for households who are spending more than 30 percent of their income on housing, Countywide Planning Policy H-1 has established estimates of the countywide need for housing affordable to households with moderate, low and very-low incomes. The Countywide Planning Policies require King County and the jurisdictions located within King County to identify barriers to housing affordability and implement strategies to overcome them. The Countywide Planning Policies also require regional collaboration in meeting countywide housing growth targets and affordable housing needs, as well as in developing resources and programs to provide affordable housing." In Attachment A, at the bottom of page 4-3, insert: ¹ Briefing 2015-B0143, based on data from 2015-2019 Consolidated Housing and Community Development Plan (Ordinance 18070)" In Attachment A, on page 4-4, beginning on line 90, insert:

King County will work cooperatively with jurisdictions and partners to identify

and meet affordable housing needs, including eliminating barriers to housing.

This effort should take the form of a regional affordable housing plan that

summarizes existing efforts and identifies the roles and strategies of the

county, jurisdictions and partners to meet affordable housing needs.

29	
30	"
31	
32	EFFECT: Adds new lead-in text regarding affordable housing needs and Countywide
33	Planning Policy requirements. Adds a new policy, H-103a that requires the county to
34	work with jurisdictions and partners to identify and meet affordable housing needs,
35	and encourages development of a regional affordable housing plan.
36	
37	All of the language proposed in this amendment would be new and is not found in the
38	striking amendment.

H-3

9/7/16 H-3 – lead poisoning in affordable housing

Sponsor: Kohl-Welles & Dembowski

cmj

Proposed No.: 2016-0155

1 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

2 **2016-0155, VERSION 1**

- 3 In Attachment A, on page 4-6, beginning on line 184, strike lines 184 through 192, and
- 4 insert:

5	"H-113	King County should support the development, preservation and
6		rehabilitation of affordable housing that protects residents from exposure to
7		harmful substances and environments, including ((environmental tobacco
8		smoke)) lead poisoning, reduces the risk of injury, is well-maintained, and is
9		adaptable to all ages and abilities. King County should work on a regional
10		level with jurisdictions to ((enact a comprehensive healthy housing code
11		system in the county that provides for regular inspection of rental housing
12		units for violations of healthy housing standards, including in
13		unincorporated King County)) explore tools to ensure healthy housing is
14		provided throughout the region."

15 16

- EFFECT: Calls out lead poisoning as part of the harmful substances and
- 17 environments that affordable housing should protect residents from exposure to.
- 18 The amendment would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:

19	H-113	King County should support the development, preservation and rehabilitation of
20		affordable housing that protects residents from exposure to harmful substances and
21		environments, including lead poisoning, reduces the risk of injury, is
22		well-maintained, and is adaptable to all ages and abilities. King County should work
23		on a regional level with jurisdictions to explore tools to ensure healthy housing is
24		provided throughout the region.



9/15/16 H-4 – gun safety

2526

Sponsor: McDermott cmj Proposed No.: 2016-0155 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 1 2 2016-0155, VERSION 1 3 In Attachment A, on page 4-16, beginning on line 569, strike lines 569 through 572, and 4 insert: 5 "H-154 King County shall work with partners and stakeholders to encourage the 6 improvement in healthy housing elements in existing affordable housing 7 sustainability standards, with emphasis on healthy housing elements that 8 reduce problems such as asthma, falls and unintentional poisoning. King 9 County shall work with housing stakeholders and residents to make 10 available information and resources that will reduce gun-related injury and 11 violence, including increasing availability of safer firearm storage locations 12 and devices and choosing housing designs that increase connectivity and 13 reduce violence." 14 15 EFFECT: Adds a new sentence on gun safety. 16 The amendment would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows: 17 H-154 King County shall work with partners and stakeholders to encourage the improvement 18 in healthy housing elements in existing affordable housing sustainability standards, 19 with emphasis on healthy housing elements that reduce problems such as asthma, 20 falls and unintentional poisoning. King County shall work with housing 21 stakeholders and residents to make available information and resources that 22 will reduce gun-related injury and violence, including increasing availability of 23 safer firearm storage locations and devices and choosing housing designs that 24 increase connectivity and reduce violence.

H-5

9/14/16

1

H-5 – healthy communities

	Sponsor:	Kohl-Welles & Dembowski
cmj		
	Proposed No.:	2016-0155

AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

2 **2016-0155, VERSION 1**

3 In Attachment A, beginning on page 4-23, line 847, strike lines 847 through 868, and 4 insert: 5 "H-204 King County shall strive to apply principles that lead to thriving healthy 6 communities in all neighborhoods of the region. King County will support 7 public health investments that help all residents to live in thriving 8 communities where they have the opportunity to make healthy choices. 9 **King County shall support:** 10 Access to safe and convenient opportunities to be physically a. 11 active, including access to walking, bicycling, recreation and transit 12 infrastructure; 13 Access to healthy((-and)), affordable foods and the elimination of b. 14 food deserts; 15 Protection from exposure to harmful environmental agents and c. 16 infectious disease ((-is reduced and minimized)), including regional 17 efforts to test children (at 12 months and 24 months) for exposure 18 to lead poisoning; 19 d. Access to transportation ((systems)) infrastructure ((that are-)) 20 designed to prevent pedestrian, bicyclist and ((driver))motor 21 vehicle-related injuries; 22 Residential neighborhoods free from violence and fear of violence; e. 23 f. Protection from involuntary exposure to second hand tobacco 24 smoke and under-age access to tobacco products; 25 Community amenities and design that maximizes opportunities for g. 26 social connectivity and stress reduction; and

27	h	. A range of health services, including timely emergency response
28		and culturally-specific preventive medical, behavioral and dental
29		care within their community."
30		
31	EFFECT: Adds to s	subsection b that the County will support the elimination of food
32	deserts. In subsecti	ion c, removes "is reduced and minimized" in regards to infections
33	disease, and adds a	requirement that the county will support regional efforts to test
34	children for exposu	ere to lead poisoning.
35	The amendment wo	ould change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:
36	H-204 K	ing County shall strive to apply principles that lead to thriving healthy
37	С	ommunities in all neighborhoods of the region. King County will support
38	р	ublic health investments that help all residents to live in thriving
39	C	ommunities where they have the opportunity to make healthy choices. King
40	C	County shall support:
41	a	. Access to safe and convenient opportunities to be physically active,
42		including access to walking, bicycling, recreation and transit infrastructure;
43	b	. Access to healthy, affordable foods and the elimination of food deserts;
44	С	. Protection from exposure to harmful environmental agents and infectious
45		disease ((is reduced and minimized)), including regional efforts to test
46		children (at 12 months and 24 months) for exposure to lead
47		poisoning;
48	d	. Access to transportation infrastructure designed to prevent pedestrian,
49		bicyclist and motor vehicle-related injuries;
50	е	. Residential neighborhoods free from violence and fear of violence;
51	f.	Protection from involuntary exposure to second hand tobacco smoke and
52		under-age access to tobacco products;
53	g	. Community amenities and design that maximizes opportunities for social
54		connectivity and stress reduction; and
55	h	. A range of health services, including timely emergency response and
56		culturally-specific preventive medical, behavioral and dental care within
57		their community.

H-6

9/15/16 H-6 – gun safety

		Sponsor: McDermott
	cmj	Proposed No.: 2016-0155
1	AMENDMEN	Γ TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VE	RSION 1
3	In Attachment	A, on page 4-25, beginning after line 899, insert:
4	"H-209	King County shall work to address the public health crisis of gun violence.
5		King County shall collect epidemiological and other data on gun-related
6		injury and death in King County, and engage with cities, local
7		neighborhoods, non-profit, and retailer partners in order to create policy
8		and other solutions that can keep our families and communities safe. King
9		County shall make available resources that foster safety from gun injury and
10		violence, such as LOK-IT-UP, which promotes safe storage of firearms."
11		
12	EFFECT: Add	s a new policy requiring King County to work to address gun violence.
13	The amendmen	t would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:
14	H-209	King County shall work to address the public health crisis of gun violence.
15		King County shall collect epidemiological and other data on gun-related injury
16		and death in King County, and engage with cities, local neighborhoods, non-
17		profit, and retailer partners in order to create policy and other solutions that
18		can keep our families and communities safe. King County shall make available
19		resources that foster safety from gun injury and violence, such as LOK-IT-UP,
20		which promotes safe storage of firearms.
21		
22		

9/15/16

E-1 – environmental incentives

		Sponsor: Kohl-Welles
	cmj	
		Proposed No.: 2016-0155
1	AMENDMEN	T TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VE	ERSION 1
3	In Attachment	A, on page 5-5, beginning on line 157, strike lines 157 through 160, and
4	insert:	
5	"E-101	In addition to its regulatory authority, King County should use incentives to
6		protect and restore the natural environment whenever practicable.
7		Incentives ((should)) shall be monitored and periodically reviewed to
8		determine their effectiveness in terms of protecting natural resources."
9		
10	EFFECT: Wo	uld require, rather than encourage, environmental incentives to be
11	monitored for	effectiveness. Would also add that the incentives will be periodically
12	reviewed, in ad	ldition to monitored.
13	The amendme	nt would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:
14	E-101	In addition to its regulatory authority, King County should use incentives to
15		protect and restore the natural environment whenever practicable.
16		Incentives ((should))shall be monitored and periodically reviewed to
17		determine their effectiveness in terms of protecting natural resources.
18		
-		

E-2

9/15/16 E-2 – habitat considerations

		Sponsor:	Kohl-Welles
	cmj	Proposed No.:	2016-0155
1	AMENDMENT	TO STRIKING AMENDMEN	T S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VER	SION 1	
3	In Attachment A,	on page 5-42, beginning on line	1541, strike lines 1541 through 1544,
4	and insert:		
5 6 7 8 9	"E-424	integrate fish and wildlife habitat co	rd public lands well and ((should)) <u>shall</u> onsiderations into capital improvement nd Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas ssible, enhanced as part of capital
10 11	EFFECT: Would	l require, rather than encourage	e, the County to steward public lands
12	well. Would also	require, rather than encourage	, the county to integrate habitat
13	considerations in	to capital improvement projects	s whenever feasible.
14	The amendment would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:		
15 16 17 18 19	E-424	fish and wildlife habitat considerations	public lands well and ((should))shall integrate into capital improvement projects whenever nservation Areas should be protected and, capital improvement projects.

E-3

9/15/16 E-3 – fish/wildlife surveys

		Sponsor:	Kohl-Welles
	cmj	Proposed No.:	2016-0155
1	AMENDMENT	T TO STRIKING AMENDMEN	T S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VEI	RSION 1	
3	In Attachment A	A, beginning on page 5-48, line 18	06, strike lines 1806 through 1810, and
4	insert:		
5	"E-439	King County ((should)) <u>shall</u> review	r fish and wildlife surveys and
6		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	to King County and consider additional
7		habitat protections where warrante	d. Habitat protection should be
8		accomplished through incentives,	cooperative planning, education, habitat
9		acquisition, habitat restoration, or	other appropriate actions based on best
10		available science."	
11			
12	EFFECT: Would require, rather than encourage, fish and wildlife surveys and		
13	assessments to b	be reviewed with local application	to King County.
14	The amendment	t would change the policy from s	triking amendment S1 as follows:
15	E-439	King County ((should))shall review fi	sh and wildlife surveys and assessments with
16		local application to King County and o	consider additional habitat protections where
17		warranted. Habitat protection should	be accomplished through incentives,
18		cooperative planning, education, habi	tat acquisition, habitat restoration, or other
19		appropriate actions based on best ava	ailable science.
20			

WITHDRAWN

F-1

9/15/16 F-1 – public spending in the UGA

UGA Sponsor: Lambert cmj Proposed No.: 2016-0155 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 1 2 2016-0155, VERSION 1 3 In Attachment A, on page 9-5, beginning on line 139, strike lines 139 through 141, and 4 insert: 5 "((F-208 Public spending to support growth should be directed to the Urban Growth 6 Area and prioritized and coordinated through Capital Facility Plans to 7 comply with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management 8 Act.))" 9 EFFECT: Would remove policy F-208, which encouraged public spending to be 10 11 directed to the UGA and coordinated to comply with concurrency requirements. 12 The amendment would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows: 13 ((F-208 Public spending to support growth should be directed to the Urban Growth 14 Area and prioritized and coordinated through Capital Facility Plans to comply 15 with the concurrency requirements of the Growth Management Act.)) 16

WITHDRAWN

F-2

9/15/16 F-2 – rural services

	:	Sponsor:	Lambert
	cmj	Proposed No.:	2016-0155
1	AMENDMENT	TO STRIKING AMENDMEN	T S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VER	SION 1	
3	In Attachment A,	on page 9-5, beginning on line 1	43, strike lines 143 through 144, and
4	insert:		
5 6 7 8 9		urbanization)) service that meets the	el of ((development and not facilitate
11	that does not faci	litate urbanization.	
12	The amendment would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:		
13 14 15 16	F-209		e Lands, services provided by agencies should and not facilitate urbanization))service that

F-2a

9/19/16 F-2a – rural services

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Sponsor: Balducci & Lambert cmj Proposed No.: 2016-0155 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE 2016-0155, VERSION 1 In Attachment A, on page 9-5, beginning on line 143, strike lines 143 through 144, and insert: "F-209 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of development and support service that meets the needs of the community and not facilitate urbanization." EFFECT: Would encourage that rural services support service that meets the needs of the community. The amendment would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows: F-209 In the Rural Area and Natural Resource Lands, services provided by agencies should support a rural level of development and support service that meets the needs of the community and not facilitate urbanization.

I-1

9/14/16 I-1 - LULUs

		Sponsor: Dembowski
	cmj	Proposed No.: 2016-0155
1	AMENDMENT	TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2	2016-0155, VEI	RSION 1
3	In Attachment A	, on page 12-3, beginning on line 59, strike lines 59 through 62, and
4	insert:	
5	"Siting public and p	private uses in communities can at times lead to concerns and objections from neighbors
6	resulting from the re	eal or perceived impacts of proposed uses. There is also a risk that objections to these
7	_	and uses" (LULUs) can lead to some uses becoming overly-concentrated in some
8	•	cularly in historically disadvantaged areas. In response, governments should evaluate
9	_	and social justice implications, including the beneficial and/or adverse impacts, of
10		ions involving such uses.
11		
12	1.404-	
12 13	<u>l-101a</u>	Equity and social justice principles will be used by King County as an important
13		consideration in developing zoning and development regulations governing public and private uses, in siting public facilities, and in evaluating land use decisions. Results
15		from the Equity Impact Review Tool will be used where appropriate."
16		non the Equity impact Newton will be used where appropriate.
17	EFFECT: Repla	aces I-101a with a new version, which includes: new lead-in text
18	regarding LUL	Us and replaces the policy with language that utilizes the Equity Impact
19	Review Tool, co	nsistent with other policies in the plan. Relates to Amendment G-1.
20	The amendment	t would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:
21	I-101a	((King County should evaluate the potential equity and social justice
22		implications of policies and regulations involving locally unwanted land uses
23		(LULUs). Locally unwanted land uses should be sited with equity
24		considerations in mind in order to avoid concentrations in disadvantaged
25		communities.))Equity and social justice principles will be used by King County

26	as an important consideration in developing zoning and development
27	regulations governing public and private uses, in siting public facilities, and in
28	evaluating land use decisions. Results from the Equity Impact Review Tool will
29	be used where appropriate.

I-2

9/15/16 I-2 – removal of mining demo project

Sponsor: Kohl-Welles

cmj

Proposed No.: 2016-0155

AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

2 **2016-0155, VERSION 1**

- 3 In Attachment A, on page 12-4, beginning on line 112, strike lines 112 through 155, and
- 4 insert:

1

- 5 "I-203 Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the annual cycle shall not 6 consider proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan 7 that require substantive changes to ((e))Comprehensive ((p))Plan policies 8 and development regulations or that alter the Urban Growth Area Boundary. 9 Substantive amendments and changes to the Urban Growth Area Boundary 10 may be considered in the annual amendment cycle only if the proposed 11 amendments are necessary for the protection and recovery of threatened 12 and endangered species, or to implement:
- 13 a. A proposal for a Four-to-One project; or
- b. An amendment regarding the provision of wastewater services to a
 Rural Town. Such amendments shall be limited to policy amendments
 and adjustments to the boundaries of the Rural Town as needed to
 implement a preferred option identified in a Rural Town wastewater
 treatment study."

- 20 EFFECT: Removes subsection c, which allowed for consideration of a mining site
- 21 conversion demonstration project during the annual Comprehensive Plan update cycle.
- 22 Relates to Amendment 1 to the code.
- 23 The amendment would change the policy from striking amendment S1 as follows:
- 1-203 Except as otherwise provided in this policy, the annual cycle shall not consider
 proposed amendments to the King County Comprehensive Plan that require
 substantive changes to Comprehensive Plan policies and development regulations or

27	that alter the Urban Growth Area Boundary. Substantive amendments and changes
28	to the Urban Growth Area Boundary may be considered in the annual amendment
29	cycle only if the proposed amendments are necessary for the protection and recovery
30	of threatened and endangered species, or to implement:
31	a. A proposal for a Four-to-One project; or
32	b. An amendment regarding the provision of wastewater services to a Rural Town.
33	Such amendments shall be limited to policy amendments and adjustments to the
34	boundaries of the Rural Town as needed to implement a preferred option
35	identified in a Rural Town wastewater treatment study.((; or
36	c. Changes related to a mining site conversion demonstration project. The
37	authority for consideration of such a demonstration project shall expire
38	with adoption of the 2019 annual comprehensive plan update or December
39	31, 2019, whichever is later. To be considered during an annual update
40	cycle, no later than December 31 of the year proceeding the update, the
41	project proponent shall submit to the county council its proposal for
12	alternative development standards and processes to be tested an
43	evaluated through the demonstration project. The demonstration project
14	shall evaluate and address:
45	— 1. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the
46	feasibility of residential use and/or long-term forestry on the demonstration
1 7	project site;
48	2. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for
19	residential use, the impacts to carbon sequestration when implementing
50	modified standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights;
51	3. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed
52	residential development on the demonstration project site with uses
53	occurring on the adjacent rural or forest production district lands, especially
54	if the proposed residential development utilizes modified standards for lot
55	clustering and/or transfer of development rights;
56	4. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are
57	appropriate to their use either for long-term forestry and/or for residential
58	development; and
59	5. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall
50	public benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated park or
51	open space, of lands in the vicinity of the demonstration project site that
52	form the headwaters of critical, high valued habitat areas; or that remove the
53	development potential from nonconforming legal parcels in the forest
54	production district; or that provide linkages with other forest production
55	district lands.))

WITHDRAWN

I-3

9/15/16 I-3 – winery changes in annual cycle

cmj
Proposed No.: Dembowski

2016-0155

1 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

- 2 **2016-0155, VERSION 1**
- 3 In Attachment A, on page 12-4, line 120, after "project;" delete "or" and insert "((or))"
- 4 In Attachment A, on page 12-4, at the beginning of line 125, delete "or"
- 5 In Attachment A, on page 12-5, beginning on line 155, after "provide linkages with other
- 6 forest production district lands" insert "; or
- 7 <u>d. Changes related to the 2016 Sammamish Valley Area Wine and Beverage Industry Study."</u>
- 10 EFFECT: Amends policy I-203 to add ability to address changes related to the 2016
- winery study during the annual Comprehensive Plan update cycle. Relates to
- 12 Amendment 2 to the code.
- 13 The language proposed in this amendment would be new and is not found in the
- 14 striking amendment.

I-4

9/15/16

I-4 – benchmark program

1-4 – benchmark progra	1111	
	Sponsor:	Lambert
cmj	Proposed No.:	2016-0155
AMENDMENT TO ST	TRIKING AMENDMEN	T S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2016-0155, VERSION	<u>1</u>	
In Attachment A, on pag	ge 12-7, on line 209, after	"King County Benchmark Program))"
insert "will work together	er to"	
EFFECT: Amends lead	l-in text to direct that the	County and its cities will work
together to employ revie	ew and evaluation throug	h the King County Benchmark
Program.		
The amendment would	change the text from strib	king amendment S1 as follows:
In accordance with the C	Growth Management Act, King	County and its cities will work together
to employ an established	l review and evaluation progran	n through the King County Benchmark
Program, as provided by	the King County Countywide	Planning Policies. The purpose of the
program is to determine	whether the county and its citie	es are achieving urban densities within
urban growth areas by co	omparing growth and developn	nent assumptions, targets, and objectives
contained in the County	wide Planning Policies and the	county and city comprehensive plans with

actual growth and development in the county and cities.

I-5

Lambert & Dembowski

9/14/16 I-5 – Bicycle network plan

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

cmj	Proposed No.:	2016-0155
AMENDMENT TO STRI	KING AMENDMEN	T S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE
2016-0155, VERSION 1		
In Attachment A, on page 1	2-19, on line 627, after	r "bicycle racks and parking;" insert
"air filling stations;"		
In Attachment A, on page 1	2-19, on line 630, after	r "roadway designs and standards"
insert ", including lighting s	tandards"	
EFFECT: Amends Workpl	an Action 11: Bicycle	Network Planning Report. Adds air
filling stations to the list of	examples of potential	bicycle infrastructure needs. Calls
out lighting standards as ar	n area that the report	will evaluate as part of the review of

Sponsor:

· ·

as follows:

- 15 This Workplan item directs the King County Department of Transportation, in coordination with the
- 16 Department of Natural Resources and Parks and the Department of Permitting and Environmental
- Review, to evaluate and report on how to enhance the bicycle network within unincorporated King County

The amended text as compared with the text in the striking amendment S1 would read

- 18 and address identified regional and local bicycle infrastructure needs (such as standards for bicycle lanes,
- tracks and trails; plans and financing for capital improvements; bicycle racks and parking; air filling
- 20 <u>stations;</u> etc). This report will include:

roadway designs and standards.

- a. Evaluation of existing King County planning efforts and possible areas for improvement, such as
 addressing bicycle facility provisions in:
- o roadway designs and standards <u>including lighting standards</u>,
- o plat approvals,

- o commercial developments,
- 25 26 o parks & trails planning, and
- 27 O transit planning and access to transit.

I-6

9/15/16 I-6 – ingress/egress workplan item

Sponsor: Lambert

cmj

Proposed No.: 2016-0155

1 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

2 **2016-0155, VERSION 1**

- 3 In Attachment A, on page 12-20, after line 644, insert:
- 4 "Action 12: Update Plat Ingress/Egress Requirements. State law gives King County the responsibility
- 5 to adopt regulations and procedures for approval of subdivisions and plats. The Department of Permitting
- 6 and Environmental Review reviews ingress and egress to subdivisions and plats during the preliminary
- 3 subdivision approval process using the Department of Transportation Roads Division's "King County"
- 8 Road Design and Construction Standards 2007" (Roads Standards). In recent years, subdivision layouts
- 9 <u>have included one entry/exit (or ingress/egress) point and a looped road network within the subdivision.</u>

10

- 11 <u>Utilizing one entry/exit point can cause access issues if the roadway were to be physically impeded (such as </u>
- due to: a fire, debris, flooding, ice, snow, etc). This configuration may also cause traffic backups while
- waiting for ability to turn in to or out of the development. Sometimes, this one access point may also be
- 14 located too close to other intersecting roadways to the roadway that the development intersects; this can
- contribute to traffic back-ups.

16

- 17 This Workplan item directs the Executive to transmit legislation to update the code, (such as K.C.C. Title
- 18 21A), and the King County Department of Transportation Roads Standards to address these access issues.
- 19 This code update will include requiring two entry/exit points for plats and subdivisions over a certain size
- 20 and increasing the distance between adjacent intersecting streets. The transmittal letter for the ordinance(s)
- 21 <u>shall indicate the rational for the chosen size threshold for when the County will require two entry/exit</u>
- 22 points.

- Timeline: The proposed amendments to the King County Code and the King County Roads
- Standards shall be transmitted to the Council for consideration by December 31, 2018.
- Outcomes: The Executive shall file with the Council an ordinance(s) adopting updates to the King County Code and the King County Roads Standards.

- Lead: Department of Transportation and Department of Permitting and Environmental Review."
- 30 Renumber the remaining Workplan action items.
- 31 EFFECT: Adds a new Workplan action item that directs the Executive to update the
- 32 County's development and roadway standards to address ingress/egress issues for plats
- 33 and subdivisions.
- 34 This Workplan action item is new and is not found in striking amendment S1.

G-1

9/14/16 G-1 - LULUs

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

follows:

((Locally Unwanted Land Use (LULU)

are often cited as reason for objection to the use.))

Proposed No.: 2016-0155

AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

2016-0155, VERSION 1

In Attachment A, on page G-14, beginning on line 510, strike lines 510 through 514.

EFFECT: Deletes "Locally Unwanted Land Use (LULU)" definition. Relates to Amendment I-1.

The amendment would change the Glossary text from striking amendment S1 as

A locally unwanted land use is a use that has real or perceived externalities that leads individuals

hazards, impacts to local property values, loss of views or other aesthetic impacts, or security risks

to object to the use locating in their community. Externalities such as environmental or health

1

9/15/16 1 – removal of mining demo project

Sponsor: Kohl-Welles

cmj

1

2

Proposed No.: 2016-0155

AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

2016-0155, VERSION 1

- Beginning on page 13, line 280, strike lines 280 through 305, and insert:
- 4 "5. ((A mining site conversion demonstration project. The demonstration
- 5 project shall evaluate and address:
- a. potential options for the use of a reclaimed mine site, including the
- 7 feasibility of residential use and/or long-term forestry on the demonstration project site;
- 8 b. the impacts to carbon sequestration as a result of reforestation, and for
- 9 residential use, the impacts to carbon sequestration when implementing modified
- 10 standards for lot clustering or transfer of development rights;
- 11 c. the need for a site design that compatibly integrates any proposed residential
- 12 development on the demonstration project site with uses occurring on the adjacent rural
- or forest production district lands, especially if the proposed residential development
- 14 utilizes modified standards for lot clustering and/or transfer of development rights;
- d. the levels and standards for reclamation of mining sites that are appropriate
- 16 to their use either for long term forestry and/or for residential development; and
- e. the need to ensure that the demonstration project provides an overall public
- 18 benefit by providing permanent protection, as designated park or open space, of lands in

19	the vicinity of the demonstration project site that form the headwaters of critical, high-
20	valued habitat areas; or that remove the development potential from nonconforming legal
21	parcels in the forest production district; or that provide linkages with other forest
22	production district lands;
23	6.)) Changes required by existing Comprehensive Plan policies;"
24	On page 14, at the beginning of line 306, delete "7." and insert " $((7-))$ <u>6.</u> "
25	On page 14, at the beginning of line 307, delete "8." and insert " $((8-))$ $7.$ "
26	On page 14, at the beginning of line 308, delete "9." and insert "((9.)) 8 ."
27	On page 15, at the beginning of line 310, delete "10." and insert " $((10.))$ 9."
28	On page 15, at the beginning of line 312, delete "11." and insert "((11.)) 10."
29	On page 15, at the beginning of line 314, delete "12." and insert "((12.)) 11."
30	On page 15, at the beginning of line 317, delete "13." and insert "12."
31	On page 15, at the beginning of line 320, delete "14." and insert "13."
32	
33	
34	EFFECT: Removes allowance for consideration of a mining site conversion
35	demonstration project during the annual Comprehensive Plan update cycle. Relates to
36	Amendment I-2 to policy I-203.

WITHDRAWN

2

9/15/16 2 – winery changes in annual cycle

Sponsor: Dembowski

cmj

Proposed No.: 2016-0155

1 AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE

- 2 **2016-0155, VERSION 1**
- 3 On page 15, on line 316, after "13." Insert "Changes related to the 2016 Sammamish
- 4 <u>Valley Area Wine and Beverage Industry Study;</u>
- 5 <u>14.</u>"

- 6 On page 15, at the beginning of line 320, delete "14." and insert "15."
- 8 EFFECT: Adds ability to address changes related to the 2016 winery study during the
- 9 annual Comprehensive Plan update cycle. Relates to Amendment I-3 to policy I-203.
- 10 The language proposed in this amendment would be new and is not found in the
- 11 striking amendment.

WITHDRAWN

APPX D-1

9/20/16

ATTXD-1 – growth targets

	Sponsor:	Lambert	
cmj	Proposed No.:	2016-0155	
AMENDMENT TO STRIKING AMENDMENT S1 TO PROPOSED ORDINANCE			
2016-0155, VERSION 1			
In Technical Appendix D, beginn	ning on page D-4,	strike the second paragraph, and	
insert:			
"Key factors used in setting the UGA include population forecasts, growth			
targets, and land capacity. Population forecasts are predictions about future			
behavior based on past trends. Growth targets are a jurisdiction's policy			
statement on ((how many))the minimum number of net new housing units it			
intends to accommodate in the future based on population forecasts and the			
expected size of the average household. Land capacity is derived from an			
estimate of vacant land plus the redevelopment potential of land already partially			
developed or underutilized. Discount factors are applied to the estimate of land			
capacity to account for probable constraints to actually developing the land."			
EFFECT:			

- Would express t
 - Would express the intention that the growth targets set by the Growth

 Management Planning Council would refer to a minimum rather than a maximum.