King County Profit Criteria for Project-Specific AEP Contracts

2. No ne	range = 17 - 26	range = 27 - 35
1. Firm i. 2. No ne	range 17 20	range = 77 - 35
2. No ne		
	n is highly experienced; completed many similar projects	1. Very difficult design or project
2 Calaas		2. New or untried technology (electrical vehicle charging stations, software)
		3. Schedule is constricted4. Multi-phase contract
		5. Prime is doing less than 40% of the work
		6. Designing historic/occupied building/facility
	otech or some significant work in another contract where risk is shifted or shared	7. Large and complex job
		8. Prime relying on one sub doing more than 40% of the work & in high risk design area
		9. SoW requires coordination among 4+ engineering disciplines
Relative Difficulty of	range = 17 - 26	range = 27 - 35
	pe of Work is straight-forward	1. Scope of Work is complex
_		2. New prime working w/County or numerous subs w/limited recent experience
		3. Schedule is constricted
		4. Multi-phase contract
	Vincludes typical environmental work: groundwater sampling: stormwater monitoring	5. Work is in or near water; includes geologic studies; soil testing; surveying, geotechnical; etc. and may include NEPA/SEPA permits that the Consultant is responsible for obtaining
6. Desig		6. Historic structure or area of work
	ited number of stakeholders or permitting agencies involved	7. Extensive renovation in occupied building
		8. Significant impacts to private property
		9. SoW includes stakeholder coordination/document reviews; County is reviewing documents from multiple jurisdictions
Assistance by	range = 17 - 26	range = 27 - 35
the County 5%	Talige = 17 - 20	Talige – 27 - 35
1. Coun [†]	inty not providing any documents and all work is new	1. County or others providing incomplete plans, surveys & geotechnical work w/ increased risk to the Consultant
2. Coun	inty only reviewing new docs and reasonable review time	2. County not putting in writing that the Consultant may reasonably rely on the data and Consultant being allowed time and money to verify the data
3. Coun	inty or others provide drawings & puts in writing prime can reasonably rely on drawings	3. County schedule for providing data is uncertain
4. Consi	sultant allowed some time and money to verify drawings, County or others providing geotech, surveys or other work which reduces risk	4. County must perform certain portions of the work which may impact the Consultant's schedule
	consultant	
	a/drawings provided up front - little or no delay	
Size of Job 15% (all phases)	range = 17 - 35	
1. \$0 - \$	\$300K = 35	5. \$901K - \$1.5M = 26-27
2. \$3011	1K - \$450K = 33-34	6. \$1.6M - \$2M = 22-25
3. \$451	1K - \$700K = 31-32	7. \$2M + = 17-21
4. \$701	1K - \$900K = 28-30	
Period of Performance	range = 17 - 35	
	12 months = 17	4. 37 months - 48 months = 27-30
		5. 49 months - 60 months = 31-34
		6. 61 months + = 35

CAP rev 05/22/2019 1 of 2 Profit Analysis-PS

King County Profit Criteria for Project-Specific AEP Contracts

Subconsulting 10%	range = 17 - 35	
	1. 0-1 subs = 17	4. 6-7 subs = 27-30
	2. 2-3 subs = 18-22	5. 8-9 subs = 31-34
	3. 4-5 subs = 23-26	6. 10+ subs = 35
Other Factors	range = 17 - 26	range = 27 - 35
10%	Talige = 17 - 20	Talige - 27 - 33
	1. Moderate to low profile project	1. High profile project; i.e. high political interest, community involvement, etc.
	2. Prime has high overhead (~190% +)	2. Prime has low overhead (~below 140%)
	3. Standard insurance requirements apply	3. Specialty insurance requirements apply or excessive limits
	4. Liquidated damages do not apply	4. Liquidated damages apply
		5. New prime with limited history of subconsultilng

NOTE: King County utilizes this criteria to develop profit on a contract by contract basis, relative to the Scope of Work.