

# Veterans and Human Services Levy 2013 Mid-Year Performance Evaluation and Financial Update





Department of Community and Human Services
Community Services Division

# **Table of Contents**

Overview	1
Mid-Year Performance Update - January 1 through June 30, 2013	2
Veterans and Human Services Levy Performance Measurement and Evaluation	2
Mid-Year Performance Update – Levy Implementation Status through June 2013	2
Update evaluation framework	2
Renewing continuing Levy activities – updating performance targets	3
Mid-Year Highlights	3
Mid-Year Performance Update	3
2013 First Half Activity Level Performance	4
Mid-Year Financial Update - January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013	5
Contract Monitoring and Reporting	5
Additional Financial Management Practices	5
Contracting Period	6
Mid-Year Financial Status	6
Procedures for 2013 Year-End Closing	7
Conclusion	7

Appendix 1: First Half of 2013 – Veterans and Human Services Levy Activity Level Performance Update

Appendix 2: First Half of 2013 – Veterans and Human Services Levy Financial Status Update

#### **Overview**

The 2012–2017 Veterans and Human Services (VHS) Levy Service Improvement Plan (SIP) was approved by the Metropolitan King County Council in December 2011 by Ordinance 17236. It included a requirement that a VHS Levy mid-year report on performance and financial status covering the period of January 1 through June 30 each year of the renewed Levy, be provided to the County Executive, County Council, and general public. This 2013 Mid-Year Performance Evaluation and Financial Update meets that requirement and is the first report submitted to the King County Council for the year 2013. The next report on the 2012–2017 VHS Levy will cover the period January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013 and will include an annual report, performance evaluation and annual financial report.

Experience gained during the prior 2006–2011 VHS Levy highlighted the importance of developing performance measures for individual activities that link to the overarching strategies and goals of the Levy. In the first year of the renewed Levy, data on the Levy's success in meeting performance targets was gathered. This data was reviewed, and targets were modified as needed to establish the performance targets for 2013. The 2013 performance targets are included as part of the updated 2012–2017 Levy Evaluation Plan that is available for viewing on the Levy website at: <a href="https://www.kingcounty.gov/DCHS/Levy">www.kingcounty.gov/DCHS/Levy</a>. This mid-year performance evaluation update provides data on Levy activities' success in meeting their performance targets during the first half of 2013. The performance evaluation update includes this narrative and a matrix detailing mid-year performance (Appendix 1; First Half of 2013 – Veterans and Human Services Levy Activity Level Performance).

The second component of the mid-year report is the financial update. The annual financial report provides a detailed financial plan, information on Levy revenues and the status of funding commitments, administrative costs associated with managing the VHS Levy and expenditures for the entire 2013 calendar year. This mid-year financial update provides a snapshot of the funding commitments and expenditures for the first half of 2013. It includes this narrative on the financial status and a financial status update with activity level allocations and expenditures (Appendix 2; First Half of 2013 Veterans and Human Services Levy Financial Status Update).

The 2013 Mid-Year Performance Evaluation and Financial Update demonstrates that the agencies implementing Levy programs continue to be performing well. Levy activities are serving a high number of clients and have been very successful in meeting their performance targets. The financial update demonstrates the VHS Levy is making progress in expending its 2013 allocations. Together the performance evaluation update and financial update describe a successful half-year for second year of the 2012–2017 VHS Levy.

# Mid-Year Performance Update - January 1 through June 30, 2013

# Veterans and Human Services Levy Performance Measurement and Evaluation

Throughout the iterations of the VHS levies, performance measurement and evaluation has been an integral aspect of Levy implementation and management. The Levy performance measurement and evaluation team assisted in the development of the 2012-2017 VHS Levy SIP which was adopted by the Metropolitan King County Council in November 2011. A critical element in the SIP was the continuation and, in some cases, refinement of VHS Levy evaluation and performance reporting requirements, based upon the lessons learned from the prior Levy.

For the 2012–2017 VHS Levy, the SIP defines the purposes of performance evaluation of Levy activities as:

- 1. Providing information for the public and policymakers regarding the impact of Levyfunded activities on the overall goals and strategies of the Levy.
- Providing the Veteran Citizens Levy Oversight Board and Regional Human Services Levy Oversight Board with the information they need to provide sound and informed oversight of Levy activities.
- 3. Providing County program managers with the information they need to continually improve the quality of the Levy activities they manage.

The SIP calls for a schedule of semi-annual reports that detail performance to stakeholders, including oversight boards, partners, the public, media, and others. These two reports, the Mid-Year Performance Evaluation and Financial Report and the Annual Report, will be provided to the County Executive, County Council, and general public, as described in the SIP.

# Mid-Year Performance Update – Levy Implementation Status through June 2013

For the first six months of 2013, Levy evaluation and performance measurement staff have focused on three activities:

- 1. Build upon 2012 performance reporting, update the evaluation framework, and establish 2013 targets (completed).
- 2. Align contracts with established targets (completed).
- 3. At mid-year report on each activity's performance against targets (presented in this report).

#### **Update evaluation framework**

The renewed VHS Levy required the development of performance measurements and targets which were included in all Memorandums of Agreement (MOA's) with other King County agencies and service contracts with community-based service providers. By December 2012, the Community Services Division' (CSD) Performance Measurement and Evaluation Unit established an overall evaluation framework, as well as individual evaluation templates, performance measures and targets for every Levy activity. By spring 2013, the 2012-2017 VHS Levy Evaluation Framework included updated 2013 VHS Levy activity level evaluation templates guiding contract development and targets for all Levy activities in 2013.

#### Renewing continuing Levy activities - updating performance targets

The MOA's between the CSD, Public Health-Seattle & King County, and the Mental Health, Chemical Abuse and Dependency Services Division (MHCADSD) were completed by first quarter 2013 with new targets and performance reporting established. These MOA's were primarily for continuing prior Levy activities. All contracts included either updated targets or referred to the published evaluation framework for specific performance measures.

#### Mid-Year Highlights

The purpose of the mid-year update is to ensure that contract managers and stakeholders are routinely reviewing performance and identifying challenges, mid-course corrections or refined targets based on performance. An activity level performance matrix for the first six months of 2013 is included with this report. Some of the notable changes in Levy service performance or changes at the activity level made during the first six months of 2013 include:

- During the summer of 2012, unspent prior Levy funds were allocated to some existing Levy projects, Program to Encourage Active and Rewarding Lives (PEARLS) and some new ones (e.g. aerospace and veterans employment training).
- Effective late September 2012 that carried over into 2013, annual performance targets were expanded or created to reflect the service impacts of these new or expanded activities.
- A number of the targets under Strategy Two and Strategy Four were modest in 2012.
   Those targets were reviewed and updated prior to negotiating 2013 contracts.
- In 2012/2013 the Performance Measurement and Evaluation Unit created the "Status of Veterans and Veterans Services in King County" including significant review of King County's veterans' service systems and its gaps. Performance reports from the VHS Levy were incorporated into the document, which was published in February 2013.

#### Mid-Year Performance Update

The 2013 annual performance report for the entire year will highlight overall accomplishments and progress towards meeting overall Levy goals. The Performance Evaluation Matrix for the first half of 2013 shows that almost all projects are meeting mid-term goals, targets and service objectives.

Levy staff members have reviewed each activity as to whether they are on target to meet annual performance goals. The 38 activities reported on the matrix served a total of 19,964 individuals in the first six months of 2013.

Number of Clients Served by Overarching Strategies - January-June 2013	Number of Clients Served
One: Supporting Veterans	4,230
Two: Ending Homelessness	6,241
Three: Increasing Access to Behavioral Health Services	5,126
Four: Strengthening Families at Risk	4,367
Total Served	19,964

Over 3,700 veterans and over 500 veterans' spouses or minor dependents were served in the first six months of 2013. Of these clients, 3,094 (71.9%) were served under Strategy One services.

Veterans Status of Clients Served - January-June 2013	Number of Clients Served
Veteran	3,759
Veteran's Spouse	284
Veteran Minor Dependent	261
Total Served	4,304

As the chart below shows, over half of the clients with known residences in the first six months of 2013 were from Seattle. Of note is that 2,592 of these individuals were served by the Dutch Shisler Sobering Center, with a presumption of Seattle residence (over 2,400 of these clients were also homeless).

Clients served in the Re	gion - January-June 2013					
Region	Number of Clients Served	Percent of Clients Served				
East	1,295	8.4				
North	463	3.0				
Seattle	8,438	54.7				
South	4,949	32.1				
Out of County	287	1.8				
Unknown	4,532					
Total Clients	19,964	100				

#### **2013 First Half Activity Level Performance**

Almost all VHS Levy activities are on track to meet performance goals for 2013. Specific attention has been paid to agencies whose performance was below 65 percent of target. Out of 38 activities, two were underperforming at mid-year: Activity 1.3, Veterans Employment; and Activity 3.4, Depression Intervention for Seniors. Contract monitors have been working with both agency providers and are confident that they will meet their annual targets for 2013 with program adjustments.

Activities that received a "caution" of 65 percent to 85 percent performance at mid-year have all received scrutiny and are working with staff to improve performance. A number of activities exceeded their targets substantially in the first half of the year. These activities will have their targets reviewed for appropriateness for 2014.

In general, the VHS Levy has demonstrated continued success and service impact in the first half of 2013.

# Mid-Year Financial Update - January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013

The VHS Levy agencies have been very successful in providing services. Using baseline data gathered during the first VHS Levy, performance targets were established for all activities included in the 2012-2017 VHS Levy and these targets were included in all service contracts. The data from agency reporting available at mid-year 2013 demonstrates agencies providing Levy services performed well in the first half of 2013 and are serving high numbers of individuals.

The mid-year financial update also demonstrates the VHS Levy's progress during the first half of 2013. It includes information on contract monitoring and reporting procedures and practices, a description of the implementation of a contract allocation period, and procedures for year-end closing. In addition it provides a report on the status of expenditures for the first half of 2013 for the VHS Levy.

# **Contract Monitoring and Reporting**

In 2012, the CSD implemented additional contract monitoring and reporting procedures for the renewed VHS Levy. Among these were additional financial management practices and a revised contracting period as described below:

- 1. Additional Financial Management Practices: To better track expenditures of VHS Levy funds, CSD implemented improved procedures that include timely monitoring of contract and program expenditure variances. The process includes the following:
  - a. VHS Levy SIP expenditure variances are reviewed and analyzed on a monthly basis by the Levy fiscal staff and program administrators.
  - b. All Levy activity expenses and administrative costs are divided into monthly increments and adjusted as appropriate to facilitate monthly and quarterly monitoring and analysis by VHS Levy fiscal staff, administrators, and responsible program managers.
  - c. On a quarterly basis, formal meetings are held where SIP expenditure variances are discussed and analyzed with input from program and contract managers from the responsible County agencies. These quarterly review sessions enable corrective action and reporting on expenditure variances in a timely and efficient manner.
- 2. Clarification of VHS Levy Award Period of Availability: As described in prior reports on the 2012-2017 VHS Levy contracts and MOA, funding allocations are now made on the basis of annual awards. This permits review and reallocation of unspent funds on an annual basis as part of the adopted budget process. All annual VHS Levy financial reports submitted to the King County Executive and King County Council now include under-expenditure information.

# **Additional Financial Management Practices**

Community Services Division has implemented the additional contract monitoring and reporting procedures as described above. The following describes the three-step process used to implement the additional contract monitoring and reporting procedures including:

1. Enterprise Business Suites (EBS) Financial System Update: Raw expenditure data was pulled from the new King County financial system, EBS. The data was reorganized and compiled by individual SIP activities within the four overarching strategies, and put into a

report that included the 2012 SIP budget, actual expenditures, and percent of budget spent.

- 2. Quarterly Reports: The data from the EBS financial system was used to create quarterly expenditure reports that included data down to the activity level and compared budget to actual expenditures. These data served as the basis for the formal budget variance reviews and analysis of all SIP expenditures by activity. The variance between budgeted levels and actual expenditures was calculated for each activity.
- 3. Program Manager Review: The variances provided in the quarterly reports identified activities that needed additional review and clarification by the program managers. The program managers of activities with significant variances were each contacted. Most variances were the result of late submission of invoices or payments pending the correction of data reports that accompanied invoices. Almost all program managers anticipate their 2013 allocation will expended by year-end.

One program area that has been identified as unable to spend their entire 2013 allocation is Activity 1.5, Emerging programs for justice involved veterans – Veterans Court. Veterans Court is still in its pilot phase, and as a result is being supported by the Mental Illness and Drug Dependency (MIDD) sales tax fund. It is estimated \$143,000 of this program's allocation may be unspent by the end of 2013.

The program managers are also aware of the new contracting protocols being implemented with 2012-2017 VHS Levy, specifically that all allocations are annual. All VHS Levy funds unspent by 2013 year end will be re-captured and returned to fund balance for reallocation.

# **Contracting Period**

Community Services Division implemented the new contract period based upon annual allocations instead of six-year allocations for each activity. To reinforce this process, a letter is sent to each program manager implementing a VHS Levy activity. The purpose of the letter is two-fold:

- 1. Remind program managers implementing Levy activities of the importance of efficient, timely billing and monitoring of their program's expenditures.
- 2. Remind the program managers that any VHS Levy funds unspent by 2013 year-end will be re-captured and returned to the County for reallocation.

#### Mid-Year Financial Status

Expenditures of VHS Levy activities are generally accounted for on a cost reimbursement basis. Therefore, Levy expenditures are always recorded after services have been rendered and invoices received. In reviewing VHS Levy expenditures, it was determined that recorded expenditures occurred at a higher rate during the first half of 2013, compared to 2012 presumably because fewer challenges were experienced with the newly implemented King County EBS financial system during the first half of the year. A greater number of invoices were submitted for the first quarter of 2013 by the agencies implementing VHS Levy activities.

However, as was reported in the 2012 Mid-Year Performance Evaluation and Financial Update, almost all Levy-funded activities invoice on a quarterly rather than monthly basis. Second quarter invoices are not received until July, resulting in significant expenditures not being recorded until one quarter later than services were provided (as opposed to a one-month lag if

activities invoiced monthly). Like last year, this one-quarter lag created a false under-reporting of expenditures in the EBS financial report for the first half of 2013. As a result, third quarter data is again included in the mid-year report to more accurately reflect the actual expenditures through the first half of 2013 (this one quarter lag will also mean that accurate year-end expenditure data will not be available until the 13<sup>th</sup> month). The one-quarter lag in expenditure recording is reflected in the low expenditure rate for the first half of 2013.

As the table below shows, as of June 30, 2013, EBS financial data indicated a total of 43 percent of Human Services Levy 2013 allocations and 37 percent of Veterans Levy allocations had been billed to the VHS Levy, for an average expenditure rate for the two funds of 40 percent. The third quarter 2013 EBS financial data, also provided below, indicates that 56 percent of Veterans Levy 2013 allocations and 62 percent of Human Services Levy allocations have been billed, for an average expenditure rate for the two funds of 59 percent. Like last year, this third quarter data indicates that program managers are catching up with their delayed billing to the VHS Levy funds. In addition, these expenditure rates are quite similar to the third quarter 2012 expenditure rates reported in the VHS Levy's 2012 mid-year report.

<b>Cumulative Allocations E</b>	Billed	
Levy Fund	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2013 Cumulative	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2013 Cumulative
Veterans Levy	37%	56%
Human Services Levy	43%	62%
Total Average	40%	59%

As indicated above, the one-quarter lag in expenditure recording will result in an under-reporting of expenditures throughout the year until 13<sup>th</sup> month data is available. The 13<sup>th</sup> month data for 2012 documented that 97 percent of the Levy's allocations for that year had been spent. Staff are working diligently with providers to ensure that all funds are spent and all services are provided in 2013.

# **Procedures for 2013 Year-End Closing**

At 2013 year-end closing, 13<sup>th</sup> month data will be used to determine if there are any unspent 2013 allocations for Levy activities. The program managers implementing Levy activities are aware of the contracting protocols implemented with 2012-2017 VHS Levy, specifically that all allocations are annual and that funds unspent by 2013 year end are re-captured and returned to fund balance for reallocation.

#### Conclusion

Several new policies and procedures were implemented in 2012 to better track the expenditure of VHS Levy funds; in particular, the regular review of expenditure variances by Levy fiscal staff and program administrators with program managers from the responsible County agencies. At year-end 2012, a total of 97 percent of the 2012 allocation was expended. While the quarterly invoicing procedure for VHS Levy activities results in a one-quarter lag in the recording of actual expenditures, the third quarter 2013 expenditure data included as part of this report indicates the Levy is on track to spend its allocation by year-end at a similar rate to that of 2012.

		FIFST HA	First Hail of 2013 – Veterans and Human Services Levy Activity Level Performance Update	tivity Level	Pertorman	ce Update	Appendix 1	×
		Household	Services Performance First Half 2013	talf 2013			Outcomes	
	Levy Activity	members First Half 2013*	Service Measures	First Half 2013 Targets	Actual Service	Percent of Target Reached***	Rates of success*** Comments	
Strat	Strategy One: Supporting Veterans	su						
4 4	MCVD - established of the control of	974	Satellite site service contacts	N/A	1,899	N/A	Outcomes are reflected in overall KCVP	
Ç		7	Persons and vets served	A/N	271	N/A	performance	
	KCVP - contracted shelter	090	Emergency shelter bed nights	8,145	7,674	P 94%	44% of the clients were able to move into	
	services	223	Transitional housing unit nights	5,703	6,335	<b>▶</b> 111%	more stable housing	
<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>		1 202	Financial assistance recip	006	1,202	↑ 134%	Targets are Levy only but financial	
<u>:</u>	(KCVP) financial assistance	2021	Total levy and State RCW assistance	\$275,000	\$494,368	P 180%	assistance reported for all sources combined	
7	KCVP - employment, and case	720	Client assessments	006	720 =	%08 <	Implemented new client assessment	
 j		7.20	Case plans created	200	1,078	▶ 216%	approach. 68% of case plans are completed	
104		VVV	Number of clients contacted	204	444	▶ 218%	99% of enrolled clients are successfully	
	veterans and veterans of color		Clients applying for and/or receiving benefits/services	128	441	A 345%	connected with benefits and/or services	
2 G	Veteran information and referral	476	Number of information and referral clients	450	476	Å 106%	oogs of sites and section of the sec	
i i			Number of calls receiving referrals to services	424	467	110%	90% of clients reporting satisfaction	
100	Homeless veteran street	78	Number of veterans completing assessment	09	17	r 128%	79% of assessed clients were successfully	
	outreach	ò	Number of veterans contacted through outreach	80	₩ 28	₩ 109%	connected with benefits and/or services	
			Number of veterans assessed	54	63	▶ 117%	O Clients completing training program or achieved credential	
<del>.</del> .3	Veteran employment and training	83	63 Number of veterans placed in jobs	7	7	%85 <b>1</b>	0% of clients placed in jobs retained	
			Number of veterans placed in training options	12	15	125%	employment for 90 days	
	Contracted DTCD Treatment /		Hours of individual and group counseling	1,300	1,703	r 131%	(OF C	
4.1	Military Sexual Trauma treatment		188 Number of clients in counseling (unduplicated)	180	190	<b>%901</b> √	87% of clients demonstrating reduced impacts of PTSD	
		0.00	Hours of professional training	20	P 25	114%		

<sup>\*</sup> Household members include all members of a family and may be larger than "clients served" where heads of households are counted.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Up arrow (green) indicates meeting 85% or more of target; horizontal arrow (yellow) indicates 65% - 85%; down arrow (red) indicates less than 65%.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Note: In many cases, longitudinal success rates are established from either prior year clients, or only a segment of the populations served in 2013.

FIRST HAIT OF ZUL	First Half of 2013 – Veterans and Human Services Levy Activity Level Performance Update	I Performance Update
Household	Services Performance First Half 2013	

Appendix 1

members First Half 2013\*

Outcomes

	Levy Activity	First Half 2013*	Service Measures	First Half 2013 Targets	Actual	Percent of Target	Rates of success*** Comments
Strate	Strategy One: Supporting Veterans continued	ns continue	þ				
1.5.A.1		213	Number of veterans screened	100	190	<b>190</b> %	TO be seen in 2004 at
	(VIP)	2	Number of veterans enrolled	77	213	.276%	O De evaluated III 20 14
	Vaterane Lonal Accietance		Initial case assessments	170	158	₽ 93%	
1.5.B	Program	158	158 Case referrals for services to outside counsel	137	110	%08	77% of civil legal cases successfully resolved
			Number of cases successfully resolved (by NJP)	42	84	114%	
	Emerging programs for justice		Number of veterans screened	9	49	<b>⇔</b> 85%	in 2014 a report will present the number of
1.5.C	involved veterans - Veterans Court	49	49 Eligible veterans accessing needed services from the VA and other identified community-based providers	16	32 4	<b>\$</b> 200%	veterans successfully transitioning from jail to housing stability
			Number of households completing assessment	8	8	113%	
1.6.A	Military family outreach	06	90 Number of referrals made	8	211	₽ 234%	To be evaluated in 2nd half of 2013
			Number of referred clients with successful connection to services	30	₩ 02	P 233%	
Total S	Total Strategy One Clients Served First Half 2013: 4,230	lalf 2013: 4,23	01				

\* Household members include all members of a family and may be larger than "clients served" where heads of households are counted.

\*\* Up arrow (green) indicates meeting 85% or more of target; horizontal arrow (yellow) indicates 65% - 85%; down arrow (red) indicates less than 65%.

\*\*\* Note: In many cases, longitudinal success rates are established from either prior year clients, or only a segment of the populations served in 2013.

First Half of 2013 – Veterans and Human Services Levy Activity Level Performance Update

		11131110	riist naii oi 2013 – Veteralis and numan Services Levy Activity Level Performance Update	ואונא רבאבו	Periorina	ice Update	
		Diouasnou	Services Performance First Half 2013	alf 2013			Outcomes
	Levy Activity	members First Half 2013*	Service Measures	First Half 2013 Targets	Actual	Percent of Target Reached***	Rates of success*** Comments
Strat	Strategy Two: Ending Homelessness	ness	CPA CALL AND				
2.1.A	Homeless street outreach (REACH)	417	Number of clients contacted through outreach Number of clients engaged Clients moved into or stabilized in permanent housing	310 244 42	417	135% 171% 171%	61% of the clients engaged improved or maintained their housing situation
2.1.B	Dutch Shisler Sobering Center and Emergency Service Patrol	2,592	Number of persons contacted  Number of clients transported to Sobering Center	006	2,592	190%	100% of the clients were connected with supportive services
2.1.C	Mobile Medical outreach	504	Clients receiving services from mobile medical van Total visits for medical care or psychiatric social worker	240	504	1 210% 132%	The 38% of clients with mental health meeds linked to treatment sites is consistent with the program's past performance on this outcome measure.
2.1.D	South King County homeless outreach (PATH)	1.1	Number of clients engaged in service Number of persons contacted	52	71	137% 155%	100% of the homeless veterans contacted engaged in services
2.2	Capital funds for permanent housing		Housing units funded in 2013	TBD		N/A	2013 capital round scheduled in the fall
2.3	Housing Stability Program (HSP)	717	Number of Households enrolled  Number of unduplicated non-Veteran Households assisted  Number of unduplicated Veteran Households assisted	N/A 156	125	\$08% 116%	94% of clients served retained housing at 1 year following initial stabilization
2.4A	Health Housing Outreach Team	617	Number of clients linked Number of clients server Number of clients self-m	140 350 158			91% of HHOT clients are able to maintain housing for at least a year
2.4.B	On-site support services	893	Case management hours Hours of housing support services Number of total households served	21,101 4,200 N/A	41,956	199% 103% 103%	An average of 63% of the clients served retain housing for at least a year
2.5.A	Forensic Assertive Community Treatment program (FACT)	52	Clients engaged in services but not housed Clients moved into or maintained in supportive housing	8 8 8		₽ 300% ₽ 161%	FACT participants show a 45% reduction in jail and prison bookings within the first year
2.5.B	Forensic Intensive Supportive Housing program (FISH)	122	Clients moved into or maintained in supportive housing Total clients served	90 30	122	\$ 290% \$ 407%	92% of FISH clients in housing retained it for at least 6 months
2.6.A	Community employment services	185	Number of clients enrolled Number of job placements	160	185	116% P 95%	65% of the enrolled clients met the goals for increased income and retention of employment
2.6.B	Career Connections	129	Number of clients entering an educational program  Number of clients served  Number of clients obtained new jobs	N/A 75 42	58 129 41	N/A 172% \$ 98%	71% of clients increase self-sufficiency and/or retain jobs
Total S	Total Strategy Two Clients Served First Half 2013:	talf 2013: 6,299	6				2

<sup>\*</sup> Household members include all members of a family and may be larger than "clients served" where heads of households are counted.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Up arrow (green) indicates meeting 85% or more of target; horizontal arrow (yellow) indicates 65% - 85%; down arrow (red) indicates less than 65%.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Note: In many cases, longitudinal success rates are established from either prior year clients, or only a segment of the populations served in 2013.

	The second secon	רווארוומ	list rial of 2013 - Veteralis and number shows Levy Activity Level Ferrolliance Opdate	וואונא רבאבו	Le lo li la	ice Opaale	- Appellaly
		Household	Services Performance First Half 2013	alf 2013			Outcomes
	Levy Activity	members First Half 2013*	Service Measures	First Half 2013 Targets	Actual Service	Percent of Target	Rates of success*** Comments
Strat	Strategy Three: Improving Health						
2 1 4	Rehavioral bealth interration	1 801	Number of persons screened	2,500	1,775	⇒ 71%	46% of clients who are served have
5	Donayoran idamining and in		Total number of all clients receiving treatment	2,000	1,801	- 06%	reduced depression or anxiety scale
			Veterans or dependents screened for PSTD /MH issues	300	225	<b>%52</b>	
3.1.B	Behavioral health integration for veterans	472	472 Number of veterans or their dependents enrolled	250	472	189%	59% of client treated have reduced depression scale
			Number of clients contacted through outreach	88	103	117%	
			Number of mainstream providers trained	374	350	<b>1</b> 94%	
3.2.A	Veterali and trauma competency training	1,160	1,160 Number of professionals trained	376	815	<b>1</b> 217%	99% of professionals trained integrate treatment/service strategies
	D		Number of training sessions	28	41	146%	
9.4 4.4	Depression intervention for	46	Older adults/veterans who completed PEARLS program	23	16	%0Z <del></del>	100% of clients who completed all 8
	seniors (PEARLS)		Older adults/veterans enrolled in the PEARLS program	56	28	<b>%09</b>	sessions have reduced depression scale
9	Client Care Coordination (CCC)	1 647	Number of clients with high system utilization/vulnerability and referred for possible tenancy	113	304	%69Z <b>↓</b>	68% of housing referrals of high utilization were able to secure housing. Three new
			Number of persons in the data base meeting system utilization/vulnerability requirements	200	1,647	1,647 👚 329%	housing programs opened in 2013 adding 227 new units.
Total	Total Strategy Three Clients Served First Half 2013: 5,126	t Half 2013: 5,	126		- C		

\* Household members include all members of a family and may be larger than "clients served" where heads of households are counted.

\*\* Up arrow (green) indicates meeting 85% or more of target; horizontal arrow (yellow) indicates 65% - 85%; down arrow (red) indicates less than 65%.

\*\*\* Note: In many cases, longitudinal success rates are established from either prior year clients, or only a segment of the populations served in 2013.

		DI 1 SE II I	machine of 2013 Actorians and manner services teat Activity Level Fellominance Opdate	וווא דבאכו ו	נוכוומו	ורב חלחםוב	
31		Household	Services Performance First Half 2013	alf 2013			Outcomes
	Levy Activity	members First Half 2013*	Service Measures	First Half 2013 Targets	Actual Service	Percent of Target Reached**	Rates of success*** Comments
Strat	Strategy 4: Strengthening Families	S					
4.1.A	Nurse Family Partnership (NFP)	175	175 Number of persons enrolled	29	129	<b>1</b> 93%	85% of clients achieving successful birth outcomes
4.1.B	Healthy Start	147	Number of persons/households assessed  147 Clients receiving home visits  Number of clients linked with medical care	150 150 150	147 312 134	↑ 98% ↑ 208% ↑ 89%	92% of clients delay the birth of their second child
4.2	Matemal depression reduction	1,532	Number of persons screened 1,532 Total number of all clients receiving treatments Number of persons screened positive for depression	1,500 375 550	1,402 327 123	♣ 93% ♣ 87% ♣ 22%	71% of clients have reduced scores on depression anxiety scale
4.3.A	Parent education and support - Promoting First Relationships (PFR)	4	Number of agency learners delivering PFR intervention  Number of community members that show improved skills and knowledge	Ø	4 4	% 67% N/A	100% increased skills to train other staff in their agency to deliver the PFR intervention.
4.3.B	Parent education and support - Family, friend and neighbor Play & Learn Groups	25	Number of facilitators educated in play and learn  22 Number of parents participating in program  Number of play and learn groups	16 TBD 30	389	138% TBD 1297%	77% of parents confident of promoting healthy and nurturing parent-child relationships
4.4.A	Passage Point	53	Life skills assistance hours 53 Hours of employment services Number new individuals and carryover enrolled	385 645	992	↑ 258% ↑ 99%	90% of clients do not re-enter the CJ system
4.5.A	2-1-1 Community Information Line	1,682	1,682 Number of callers	1,500	1,682	112%	98% of clients access the services to which they are referred
4.5.B	Cultural Navigator	537	Number of clients receiving information and referral Number of agencies receiving technical assistance	500	334	120% 267%	180
Total	Total Strategy Four Clients Served First Half 2013: 4.152	laif 2013: 4.15	.5	N POST OF THE PARTY OF THE PART			

<sup>\*</sup> Household members include all members of a family and may be larger than "clients served" where heads of households are counted.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Up arrow (green) indicates meeting 85% or more of target; horizontal arrow (yellow) indicates 65% - 85%; down arrow (red) indicates less than 65%.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Note: In many cases, longitudinal success rates are established from either prior year clients, or only a segment of the populations served in 2013.

1		Service Improvement Plan Strategy		2013 lopted SIP Budget	c	2013 pplemental Budget ordinance	Adjustment		Total Operating Budget	Ct	nd Quarter 2013 ummulative spenditures	Cı	rd Quarter 2013 ummulative penditures*
Stra 1.1		y 1: Supporting veterans and their families to build stat King County Veterans Program	ole III	es and stro	ng re	ationships		$\vdash$		<del> </del>		_	
	Н	Total	\$	2,485,000	\$		\$ -	\$	2,485,000	\$	1,051,198	\$	1,429,520
1.2	Н	Outreach and engagement	Ť	2,,00,000	Ť	W. W.	-	Ť		Ť	.,,,,,	Ť	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
	Α	Enhanced outreach to women veterans and veterans of col	_								Tpc It		
		Total	\$	300,000	\$		\$	\$	300,000	\$	112,500	\$	162,500
$\vdash$	R	Veteran information and referral Total	\$	100,000	4		\$ -	ŝ	100,000	\$	25,000	\$	50,000
	С	Homeless veterans street outreach	<u> </u>	100,000	<b> </b>		*	Ť	100,000	Ť	20,000	Ť	00,000
		Total	\$	84,000	\$		\$ -	\$	84,000	\$	21,000	\$	42,000
1.3		Veterans employment and training			_			_	200 000		44.005		04.000
1.4	Н	Total Contracted PTSD treatment/Military Sexual Trauma	\$	200,000	\$		\$ =	\$	200,000	\$_	41,665	\$	64,998
1,-4	Н	Totai	\$	400,000	\$	200,000	\$ -	\$	600,000	\$		\$	200,000
1.5		Veterans justice						\$	•		A 10		
	Α	Veterans Incarcerated Program	_					Ļ		_	25.000	_	40.005
<u> </u>	-	Total Veterans Legal Assistance Program	\$	100,000	\$	· .	\$ -	\$	100,000	\$	25,000	\$	49,995
$\vdash$	۳	Total	\$	20,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$	20,000	\$	10,000	\$	10,000
	С	Emerging programs for justice involved veterans											
	Ш	Totai	\$	305,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$	305,000	\$	30,874	\$	71,216
1.6		Support for military families Military family outreach						$\vdash$				<u> </u>	-
	Ĥ	Total	\$	174,000	\$		\$ -	\$	174,000	\$	43,500	\$	87,000
	В	Military family counseling	-					Ė					
		Total	\$	-	\$	100,000	\$ -	\$	100,000	\$	-	\$	8,000
	-	Total Strategy 1 Percent of Total Program	\$	4,168,000	\$	300,000	-	\$	4,468,000	\$	1,360,737	\$	2,175,229 49%
Stra		y 2: Ending Homelessness through outreach, preventio	n, pe	rmanent su	ppor	tive housing	and employme	nt			30 /8	Н	4070
2.1		Outreach and engagement											1
	-	Homeless street outreach	•	070 000			•		076 000		60.070	•	120 574
$\vdash$	_	Total Sobering/Emergency Services Patrol	\$	276,000	3	•	\$ -	\$	276,000	3	69,379	\$	138,574
-		Total	\$	145,000	\$		\$ -	\$	145,000	\$	29,585	\$	72,320
	_	Mobile medical outreach					10/						
	_	Total	\$	300,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$	300,000	\$	75,000	\$	150,000
$\vdash$		South King County homeless outreach Total	\$	80,000	\$		\$ -	\$	80,000	\$	20,000	\$	20,000
2.2	$\boldsymbol{\vdash}$	Housing capital	•	00,000	•			Ť	00,000	Ť	20,000	-	20,000
	П	Total	\$	1,325,000	\$		\$ -	\$	1,325,000	\$	1,325,000	\$	1,325,000
2.3		Housing Stability Program			•		•		000.000	_	040.040		682,733
2.4	ightharpoonup	Total Support services for housing	\$	800,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$	800,000	Þ	346,912	\$	002,733
		Housing Health Outreach Team											
		Total	\$	240,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$	240,000	\$	60,000	\$	120,000
$\vdash$		On-site support services	\$	1,000,000	œ	544,000	\$ -	\$	1,544,000	¢	1,219,688	\$	1,267,457
2.5		Total Criminal Justice Initiatives	*	1,000,000		344,000	φ -	3	1,344,000	φ	175 12,000	Ψ	1,601,401
		FACT											
		Total	\$	205,000	\$	-	\$ -	\$	205,000	\$	50,431	\$	100,431
$\vdash$	_	FISH Total	\$	690,000	¢		\$ -	\$	690,000	•	172,500	¢	345,000
2.6		Total Employment and training	4	050,000	Ψ		ψ •	- P	390,000	φ	112,500	φ	J-10,000
		Community employment services											
П	П	Total	\$	670,000	\$	•	\$ -	\$	670,000	\$	16 <b>7</b> ,5 <b>0</b> 0	\$	335,000
$\vdash\vdash$		Career Connections	¢	420.000	¢		œ	<b>.</b>	420,000	•	105,000	•	210,000
$\vdash\vdash$		Total Aerospace and Veteran Employment Training Initiative	\$	420,000	Þ	-	\$ -	\$	420,000	ф	105,000	Ф	£ 10,000
	П	Total	\$		\$	7 <b>7</b> 1,912	\$ -	\$	771,912	\$	192,978	\$	385,956
	D	King County Internship Program for Veterans						_					
	$\sqcup$	Total Youth/Young Adult Homelessness Plan Private Fund Match	\$	-	\$	100,000	\$ -	\$	100,000	r		r.	
2.7	_	Youth/Young Adult Homelessness Plan Private Fund Match Total	\$			<del></del>		\$	-	\$	-	\$ \$	$= \exists$
		Total Strategy 2	\$	6,151,000	\$	1,551,912	\$ -	\$	7,702,912		3,858,973		5,202,473
		Percent of Total Program				*					50%		68%

3.1 A	y 3: Improving health through the integration of medica Behavioral health integration		2013 Adopted SIP Budget		2013 Supplemental Budget Ordinance		Adjustment		Total Operating Budget		2nd Quarter 2013 Cummulative Expenditures		3rd Quarter 2013 Cummulative Expenditures*	
Α	Rehavioral health integration	al an	d behavioral	hea	lth services						perce and			
												$\vdash$	7-1	
-	Behavioral health integration	_						_		<u> </u>				
IR1	Total	\$	625,000	\$		\$	-	\$	625,000	\$	156,250	\$	312,500	
	Behavioral health integration-veterans	1		L.				\$	5 THE 18 B	<u> </u>				
	Total	\$	600,000	\$	-	\$	•	\$	600,000	\$	150,000	\$	300,000	
	Veteran and trauma competency training	-	250.000					-	050.000	<u> </u>	40.050		470.750	
	Total	\$	250,000	\$	•	\$	•	\$	250,000	\$	46,250	\$	178,750	
	Health care reform system design and implementation Total	S	270,000	\$		\$		\$	270,000	\$	-	\$	79,300	
o	***	13	270,000	1		3	<u>-</u>	3	270,000	4		- <del>3</del>	79,300	
	Depression intervention for seniors Total	s	224,000	<del>  -</del>	66,000	4		s	290,000	\$	128,500	\$	201,000	
	Facilitation of ongoing partnerships	+*	224,000	1	00,000	Ψ		*	230,000	Ψ.	120,000	<u> </u>	201,000	
	Total	s	140,000	4		\$		\$	140,000	\$	43,288	\$	44,002	
	Client care coordination	+*	140,000	<b>*</b>		Ψ		Ť	140,000	-	40,200	<b>—</b>	44,00L	
_	Total	\$	140,000	\$		\$		\$	140,000	\$	30,331	\$	59,313	
-	Total Strategy 3	\$	2,249,000	_	66,000			\$	2,315,000		554,618	\$	1.174,865	
	Percent of Total Program	† <u>*</u>		Ť	A	Ť		Ť		Ť	24%		51%	
	y 4: Strengthening families at risk	1						_				$\overline{}$		
	Home visiting				-				100					
	Nurse Family Partnership												10.00	
	Total	\$	470,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	470,000	\$	117,500	\$	235,000	
В	Healthy Start	$\top$												
	Total	\$	270,000	\$	·	\$	-	\$	270,000	\$	67,500	\$	135,000	
4.2	Maternal depression reduction													
	Total	\$	625,000	\$	<u>.</u>	\$	-	\$	625,000	\$	156,250	\$	312,500	
	Parent education and support	<u> </u>			117			Ļ.,		_				
-	Total	\$	260,000	\$	-	\$		\$	260,000	\$	65,981	\$	130,981	
	Passage Point	1	445.000	_	- 5	_		•	445.000		440 400		000.077	
	Total	\$	415,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	415,000	\$	149,108	\$	. 260,077	
	Information and referral 2-1-1 Community Information Line	+-		$\vdash$	7 7							_		
	2-1-1 Community Information Line Total	\$	50,000	\$		\$	-	\$	50,000	\$	25,000	\$	37,500	
	Cultural Navigator	-	30,000	Ψ_		Ψ		Ψ.	30,000	Ψ	20,000	_	- 07,000	
	Total	\$	70,000	\$	-	\$	-	\$	70,000	\$	17,500	\$	35,000	
	Total Strategy 4	s	2,160,000		-	\$		\$	2,160,000	\$	598,839	\$	1,146,058	
	Percent of Total Program	1	_,,	Ť		Ė		<u> </u>			28%		53%	
	Evaluation 5	$\top$			_==	-								
	Total 5	\$	545,000	\$	-	\$		\$	545,000	\$	313,359	\$	420,161	
	Admin 6													
	Total 6	\$	761,240	\$		\$	59,260	\$	820,500	\$	414,789	\$	429,929	
	Board Support 7													
	Total 7	\$	121,166	\$	•	\$	-	\$	121,166		106,459	_	107,728	
<b>GRAND</b>	TOTAL	\$	16,155,406	\$	1,917,912	\$	59,260	\$	18,132,578	\$	7,207,775	\$	10,656,442	
I	Percent of Total Program Most 2nd Quarter inovices were processed in the 3rd										40%		59%	