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## Executive Summary Report

## Appraisal Date 1/1/2009-2009 Assessment Roll

Area Name / Number: Broadview/Blue Ridge/ 39
Previous Physical Inspection: 2004
Sales - Improved Summary:
Number of Sales: 233
Range of Sale Dates: 1/2007-12/2008

| Sales - Average Improved Valuation Change Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land | Imps | Total | Sale Price** | Ratio | COV* |
| 2008 Value | $\$ 326,100$ | $\$ 379,100$ | $\$ 705,200$ |  |  |  |
| 2009 Value | $\$ 291,700$ | $\$ 294,800$ | $\$ 586,500$ | $\$ 700,200$ | $84 \%$ | $11.27 \%$ |
| Change | $-\$ 34,400$ | $-\$ 84,300$ | $-\$ 118,700$ |  |  |  |
| \% Change | $-10.5 \%$ | $-22.2 \%$ | $-16.8 \%$ |  |  |  |

*COV is a measure of uniformity, the lower the number the better the uniformity.
** Sales adjusted to 1/1/09
Sales used in this analysis: All sales of one to three unit residences on residential lots which were verified as, or appeared to be market sales were considered for analysis. Sales along with values were market adjusted to $1 / 1 / 09$. Individual sales that were excluded are listed later in this report. Multi-parcel sales, multi-building sales, mobile home sales, and sales of new construction where less than a $100 \%$ complete house was assessed for 2008 or any existing residence where the data for 2008 is significantly different from the data for 2009 due to remodeling were also excluded. In addition, the summary above excludes sales of parcels that had improvement value of $\$ 25,000$ or less posted for the 2008 Assessment Roll. This also excludes previously vacant and destroyed property partial value accounts.

| Population - Improved Parcel Summary Data: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land | Imps | Total |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ Value | $\$ 339,500$ | $\$ 361,500$ | $\$ 701,000$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ Value | $\$ 301,500$ | $\$ 270,300$ | $\$ 571,800$ |
| Percent Change | $-11.2 \%$ | $-25.2 \%$ | $-18.4 \%$ |

Number of improved Parcels in the Population: 4347
The population summary above excludes multi-building parcels, mobile home parcels, and new construction where less than $100 \%$ complete house was assessed for 2008 or any existing residence where the data for 2008 is significantly different from the data for 2009 due to remodeling. In addition, parcels with 2008 or 2009 Assessment Roll improvement values of $\$ 1000$ or less, were also excluded to eliminate previously vacant or destroyed property value accounts. These parcels do not reflect accurate percent change results for the overall population. As described in the model validation section of this report, sales and values were adjusted to $1 / 1 / 09$ with an additional adjustment of .85 made to all properties. Exceptions may be found in the Improved Parcel Total Value Model Calibration section of this report.

## Conclusion and Recommendation:

Since the values recommended in this report improve uniformity, assessment level and equity, we recommend posting them for the 2009 Assessment Roll.

## Market Change of Average Sale Price in Area 39

From 1/1/07 to 1/1/09


Sales Sample Representation of Population - Year Built / Year Renovated

| Sales Sample <br> Year Built/Ren | Frequency | \% Sales Sample |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1910 | 2 | $0.86 \%$ |
| 1920 | 4 | $1.72 \%$ |
| 1930 | 19 | $8.15 \%$ |
| 1940 | 13 | $5.58 \%$ |
| 1950 | 36 | $15.45 \%$ |
| 1960 | 75 | $32.19 \%$ |
| 1970 | 21 | $9.01 \%$ |
| 1980 | 11 | $4.72 \%$ |
| 1990 | 12 | $5.15 \%$ |
| 2000 | 11 | $4.72 \%$ |
| 2008 | 29 | $12.45 \%$ |
|  | 233 |  |


| Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Built/Ren | Frequency | \% Population |
| 1910 | 82 | $1.89 \%$ |
| 1920 | 122 | $2.81 \%$ |
| 1930 | 352 | $8.10 \%$ |
| 1940 | 379 | $8.72 \%$ |
| 1950 | 875 | $20.13 \%$ |
| 1960 | 1350 | $31.06 \%$ |
| 1970 | 348 | $8.01 \%$ |
| 1980 | 204 | $4.69 \%$ |
| 1990 | 202 | $4.65 \%$ |
| 2000 | 231 | $5.31 \%$ |
| 2008 | 202 | $4.65 \%$ |
|  | 4347 |  |



Sales of new homes built in the last eight years are over-represented in this sample. This is a common occurrence due to the fact that most new homes will sell shortly after completion.

## Sales Sample Representation of Population - Above Grade Living Area

| Sales Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGLA | Frequency | \% Sales Sample |
| 500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 1000 | 10 | $4.29 \%$ |
| 1500 | 91 | $39.06 \%$ |
| 2000 | 63 | $27.04 \%$ |
| 2500 | 29 | $12.45 \%$ |
| 3000 | 26 | $11.16 \%$ |
| 3500 | 9 | $3.86 \%$ |
| 4000 | 2 | $0.86 \%$ |
| 4500 | 1 | $0.43 \%$ |
| 5000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 5500 | 1 | $0.43 \%$ |
| 8500 | 1 | $0.43 \%$ |
|  | 233 |  |


| Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGLA | Frequency | \% Population |
| 500 | 12 | $0.28 \%$ |
| 1000 | 300 | $6.90 \%$ |
| 1500 | 1509 | $34.71 \%$ |
| 2000 | 1460 | $33.59 \%$ |
| 2500 | 588 | $13.53 \%$ |
| 3000 | 275 | $6.33 \%$ |
| 3500 | 127 | $2.92 \%$ |
| 4000 | 38 | $0.87 \%$ |
| 4500 | 15 | $0.35 \%$ |
| 5000 | 10 | $0.23 \%$ |
| 5500 | 4 | $0.09 \%$ |
| 10000 | 9 | $0.21 \%$ |
|  | 4347 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution closely with regard to Above Grade Living Area. This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

## Sales Sample Representation of Population - Grade

| Sales Sample |  |  | Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Frequency | \% Sales Sample | Grade | Frequency | \% Population |
| 1 | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 1 | 0.02\% |
| 4 | 0 | 0.00\% | 4 | 2 | 0.05\% |
| 5 | 0 | 0.00\% | 5 | 20 | 0.46\% |
| 6 | 4 | 1.72\% | 6 | 74 | 1.70\% |
| 7 | 47 | 20.17\% | 7 | 1095 | 25.19\% |
| 8 | 105 | 45.06\% | 8 | 1875 | 43.13\% |
| 9 | 54 | 23.18\% | 9 | 936 | 21.53\% |
| 10 | 15 | 6.44\% | 10 | 246 | 5.66\% |
| 11 | 6 | 2.58\% | 11 | 70 | 1.61\% |
| 12 | 1 | 0.43\% | 12 | 21 | 0.48\% |
| 13 | 1 | 0.43\% | 13 | 7 | 0.16\% |
| 233 |  |  |  | 4347 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution very closely with regard to Building Grade. This distribution is ideal for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

## Area 39



## Analysis Process

## Effective Date of Appraisal: January 1, 2009

Date of Appraisal Report: June 25, 2009

## Highest and Best Use Analysis

As If Vacant: Market analysis of the area, together with current zoning and current and anticipated use patterns, indicate the highest and best use of the overwhelming majority of the appraised parcels is single family residential. Any other opinion of highest and best use is specifically noted in our records, and would form the basis for the valuation of that specific parcel.

As If Improved: Where any value for improvements is part of the total valuation, we are of the opinion that the present improvements produce a higher value for the property than if the site was vacant. In appraisal theory, the present use is therefore the highest and best (as improved) of the subject property, though it could be an interim use.

Standards and Measurement of Data Accuracy: Sales were verified with the purchaser, seller or real estate agent where possible. Current data was verified via field inspection and corrected. Data was collected and coded per the assessor's residential procedures manual.

## Special Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

The sales comparison and cost approaches to value were considered for this mass appraisal valuation. After the sales verification process, the appraiser concluded that the market participants typically do not consider an income approach to value. Therefore the income approach is not applicable in this appraisal as these properties are not typically leased, but rather owner occupied. The income approach to value was not considered in the valuation of this area.

The following Departmental guidelines were considered and adhered to:

- Sales from $1 / 2007$ to $1 / 2009$ (at minimum) were considered in all analyses.
- Values and sales were adjusted to January 1, 2009.
- All values were adjusted as described in the model validation section of this report.
- This report is intended to meet the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Standard 6.


## Identification of the Area

## Name or Designation:

Area 39-Broadview/Blue Ridge

## Boundaries:

This area is bordered by Northwest $145^{\text {th }}$ Street to the north, Puget Sound on the west and Northwest $54^{\text {th }}$ Street to the south. The eastern boundary fluctuates from $32^{\text {nd }}$ Avenue Northwest, $20^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Northwest, $15{ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Northwest and $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ Avenue Northwest.

## Maps:

A general map of the area is included in this report. More detailed Assessor's maps are located on the 7th floor of the King County Administration Building.

## Area Description:

Area 39 is located in the northwestern part of Seattle. It contains the neighborhoods of Broadview, Carkeek Park, Blue Ridge, North Beach, Olympic Manor, Elford Park, Llandover by the Sound, Northwood and Sunset Hill. The northern portion is primarily made up of three large developments of estate type properties offering a high degree of privacy and seclusion. The most distinguishing characteristic of this area is the westerly view towards Puget Sound, Olympic Mountains and of Bainbridge Island. Over half of the properties have some type of view amenity. Other major attributes of this area include close proximity to Carkeek Park, Shilshole Marina, Ballard Locks and a relatively close commute to the downtown business core. Over 95\% of parcels are improved in this area and over $99 \%$ of those are improved with one unit dwellings.

This area spans a lengthy distance from the south to north. The southern portion contains Sunset Hill, Olympic Manor, North Beach and Blue Ridge. In Sunset Hill over one half of the homes were built prior to 1940. Another 15\% were built between 1939 and 1949. The southern boundary of this area is along the Ship Canal, which has 25 waterfront properties. Olympic Manor is a development located north and east of Sunset Hill that was developed in the mid-1950's to early 1960's. The North Beach area is located west of Olympic Manor and north of Sunset Hill. Blue Ridge is located to the north of North Beach that began development in the mid-1930's and ended in the 1950's. Blue Ridge has a neighborhood association which operates a common beach, indoor swimming pool and tennis courts.

North and east of Carkeek Park is the neighborhood of Broadview. This area was largely developed in the 1940's and 1950's. Puget Sound Views are very common in this area. The area near Carkeek Park has numerous ravines and steep hillsides. However, they provide a higher degree of privacy and a more park like setting with many trees and vegetation.

The area north and west of Broadview changes substantially. Approximately $25 \%$ of the parcels in this area are over 37,000 square feet in size. Covenants restrict further development in the area. Houses are larger than any other neighborhood in Area 39. This is one of the few areas within the City of Seattle where one can live on forested acreage lots. The plats in this area include Elford Park, Llandover by the Sound and Northwood.

Ares 39 is divided into five sub-areas. Sub-area 1 contains the northwesterly portion of Area 39 including the neighborhood of Elford Park, Llandover by the Sound and west Broadview. Sub-Area 2 is comprised of Blue Ridge. Sub-area 6 contains the neighborhoods of Olympic Manor and North Beach. Sub-area 9 is Sunset Hill. Sub-area 14 is Broadview and Carkeek Park.

## Preliminary Ratio Analysis

A Ratio Study was completed just prior to the application of the 2009 recommended values. This study benchmarks the prior assessment level using 2008 posted values ( $1 / 1 / 08$ ) compared to current adjusted sale prices (1/1/09). The study was also repeated after the application of the 2009 recommended values. The results are included in the validation section of this report showing an improvement in the COV from $12.45 \%$ to $11.27 \%$.

## Scope of Data,

## Land Value Data:

Vacant sales from $1 / 2007$ to $1 / 2009$ were given primary consideration for valuing land with emphasis placed on those sales closest to January 1, 2009.

## Improved Parcel Total Value Data:

Sales information is obtained from excise tax affidavits and reviewed initially by the Accounting Division, Sales Identification Section. Information is analyzed and investigated by the appraiser in the process of revaluation. All sales were verified if possible by calling either the purchaser or seller, inquiring in the field or calling the real estate agent. Characteristic data is verified for all sales if possible. Due to time constraints, interior inspections were limited. Sales are listed in the "Sales Used" and "Sales Removed" sections of this report. Additional information resides in the Assessor's procedure manual located in the Public Information area of the King County Administration Building.

The Assessor maintains a cost model, which is specified by the physical characteristics of the improvement, such as first floor area, second floor area, total basement area, and number of bathrooms. The cost for each component is further calibrated to the 13 grades to account for quality of construction. Reconstruction Cost New (RCN) is calculated from adding up the cost of each component. Depreciation is then applied by means of a percent good table which is based on year built, grade, and condition, resulting in Reconstruction Cost New less Depreciation (RCNLD). The appraiser can make furthers adjustments for obsolescence (poor floor plan, design deficiencies, external nuisances etc.) if needed. The Assessor's cost model generates RCN and RCNLD for principal improvements and accessories such as detached garages and pools.

The Assessor's cost model was developed by the King County Department of Assessments in the early 1970's. It was recalibrated in 1990 to roughly approximate Marshall \& Swift’s square foot cost tables, and is indexed annually to keep up with current costs.

## Land Model

## Model Development, Description and Conclusions

In Area 39 over $95 \%$ of the parcels are improved. Consequently, there were few vacant land sales [10] in the past two years. Included are three land sales in similar neighborhoods. 2 of the sales are located in the adjacent area to the east in Area 5, the North Greenwood/Bitter Lake area and 1 sale in Area 42, the Phinney Ridge/Fremont area that is located to the east of Area 39 and also has waterfront properties along the Ship Canal. There were 2 teardown sales used that are located in Area 39-9. The last time that the land was inspected and sales analyzed by a field appraiser was in 2004. Since the 2004 assessment year, the land values have been annually adjusted similar to the improvement values.

The predominant factors influencing land value in this area were location, lot size, view amenity and traffic. These characteristics as well as others such as zoning, topography, highest and best use as if vacant, external nuisance and known easements were checked for accuracy and considered in the land valuation.

## Neighborhood Descriptions

Neighborhood 1 is known as Olympic Manor which is in the southeastern portion of Sub-Area 6. This neighborhood used to be a golf course and was developed with homes built during the 1950's and 1960's. Many of the homes in this neighborhood have varying views of Puget Sound.

Neighborhood 2 is known as Sunset Hill which is located west of Ballard and runs north to SubArea 6. This neighborhood consists primarily of older homes built mostly from the turn of the century through the 1940's, many with varying views of Puget Sound to the west. Additionally, there are approximately 25 homes that have waterfront location along the Ship Canal. Most of these homes are situated on commercially zoned properties. Both Shilshole Marina and Golden Gardens Park are located just west of Neighborhood 2.

Neighborhood 10 is the Blue Ridge area. Blue Ridge has a neighborhood association that operates an indoor swimming pool, tennis courts and a 1.3 acre Puget Sound beach area. This neighborhood was mostly built up between the 1930's and 1950's.

Neighborhood 11 is located in the northern and western portions of Sub-Area 6. This neighborhood is located in the North Beach Area and is built up with homes varying widely in age from 1904 to present, with each decade having many homes built during that time frame.

Neighborhood 17 is located in the southern portion of Sub-Area 6. There are approximately 44 townhomes that were built between 1995 and 2004. They are mostly located along the north side of NW $85^{\text {th }}$ Street and northwards one block.

Neighborhood 20 consists primarily of Sub-Area 1, the Elford Park area, plus a small portion of Sub-Area 14 located in the northeastern portion. Puget Sound borders the area to the west. This area is comprised mainly of large lot/estate sized lots. Over $50 \%$ of the improved population has over 29,000 square feet in their lot sizes. Many of the parcels have varying views of Puget Sound.

## Neighborhood Descriptions

Neighborhood 21 is comprised mostly of Sub-Area 14, the Broadview area. This area was developed mostly during the 1940's and 1950's, many with varying views of Puget Sound.

Neighborhood 22 is located in Sub-Area 1 in an area called Llandover by the Sound and west Broadview. This area is bordered by Carkeek Park and Puget Sound. There are approximately 11 waterfront bluff lots in this neighborhood.

Neighborhood 23 consists of the Carkeek Park area. Much of this area has ravines and numerous greenbelt areas with a good coverage of trees affording privacy for the different homesites. Homes vary in age from 1910 to 2009 with most being built in the 1950's to the late 1980's.

Area 39 is divided into 9 neighborhoods due to the diversity of the area. There is a map at the end of this section showing the 9 neighborhoods.

All land values were adjusted by .85 as described in the model validation section of this report. A list of vacant sales used and those considered not reflective of market are included in the following sections.

## Area 39 Neighborhoods



Land Value Model Calibration

| Sub-Areas 1 \& 2 <br> Zoning SF 5000 to 9600 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sq Ft Range | Base Land |
| 4,000 - 5,999 sq ft | \$300,000 |
| 6,000-8,999 sq ft | \$330,000 |
| 9,000-13,999 sq ft | \$350,000 |
| 14,000-19,199 sq ft | \$380,000 |
| 19,200-43,559 sq ft | \$400,000 |
| 43,560 sq ft and > | \$444,000 |
| Sub-Areas 6 \& 9 <br> Zoning SF 5000 to 9600 plus LDT |  |
| Sq Ft Range | Base land |
| <2,300 sq ft | \$190,000 |
| 2,300-3,999 sq ft | \$250,000 |
| 4,000-5,999 sq ft | \$270,000 |
| 6,000-8,999 sq ft | \$290,000 |
| 9,000-13,999 sq ft | \$310,000 |
| 14,000-19,199 sq ft | \$330,000 |
| 19,200-43,559 sq ft | \$350,000 |
| 43,560 sq ft and > | \$394,000 |
| Sub-Area 14 <br> Zoning SF 5000 to 9600 |  |
| Sq Ft Range | Base land |
| 2,000-3,999 sq ft | \$200,000 |
| 4,000-5,999 sq ft | \$220,000 |
| 6,000-8,999 sq ft | \$240,000 |
| 9,000-13,999 sq ft | \$260,000 |
| 14,000-19,199 sq ft | \$280,000 |
| 19,200-43,559 sq ft | \$300,000 |
| $43,560 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{>}$ | \$344,000 |

## Land Value Model Calibration

## Excess Land Adjustments

## Examples:

If lot size is greater than $19,200 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ and less than $43,559 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ then calculate

1. Start with Base Land + Nghd Adj
2. Actual lot size minus $19,200 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
3. If difference >999 sq ft then
4. Difference times $\$ 4.00+$ base land (truncated)

If lot size is greater than $43,560 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ then calculate

1. Start with: Base land + Nghd Adj.
2. Actual lot size minus $43,560 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
3. If difference is $>10,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$, then
4. Difference times $\$ 2.00+$ base land (truncated)

## Residential Properties on Commercial Zoned Land

| Sub-Area 9 L-1 (zoning) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lot Size | Base Land |
| <2,200 sq ft | \$150,000 |
| All others | Same as Residential value |
| Sub-Area 6 L-2 (zoning) |  |
| Sq Ft Range | Base Land |
| <1,200 sq ft | \$115,000 |
| 1,200-1,649 sq ft | \$120,000 |
| 1,650-1,949 sq ft | \$130,000 |
| 1,950-2,499 sq ft | \$140,000 |
| 2,500-3,999 sq ft | \$150,000 |
| > 4,000 sq ft | Same as Residential value |
| Sub-Area 9 C1-30 (zoning) Waterfront Properties |  |
| Sq Ft Range | Base Land |
| 1,360-10,453 sq ft | Use Waterfront Land Model |
| Sub-Area 9 C1-40 (zoning) |  |
| Sq Ft Range | Base Land |
| <1,000 sq ft | \$100,000 |
| 1,000-2,499 sq ft | \$125,000 |
| 2,500-3,500 sq ft | \$150,000 |
| Sub-Areas 6 \& 9 $\mathrm{NCl}-30$ (zoning) |  |
| Sq Ft Range | Base Land |
| 3,000-3,999 sq ft | \$150,000 |
| 4,000-4,999 sq ft | \$175,000 |
| 5,000-5,999 sq ft | \$200,000 |

## Adjustments to Base Land

## Neighborhood Market Adjustments

| Neighborhood Codes | Adjustment |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $+\$ 15,000$ |
| 2 | $+\$ 20,000$ |
| 10 | $+\$ 20,000$ |
| 11 | $+\$ 20,000$ |
| 20 | $+\$ 40,000$ |
| 22 | $+\$ 20,000$ |


| Category | Code |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| View |  | Adjustments |
| Puget Sound | Excellent | $+80 \%$ |
|  | Good | $+55 \%$ |
|  | Average | $+30 \%$ |
|  | Fair | $+15 \%$ |
|  |  | $+20 \%$ |
| Upland Canal View | Excellent | $+15 \%$ |
| (Not located on Canal) | Good | $+10 \%$ |
|  | Average | $+15 \%$ |
| *Mountain/Territorial | Excellent | $+10 \%$ |
|  | Good | $+5 \%$ |
|  | Average |  |
|  |  | $-20 \%$ |
| *Note If Puget Sound View; <br> No adjustment for secondary <br> views. |  | $-10 \%$ |
|  | High | Appraiser judgment <br> Traffic |
|  | Moderate | $-5 \%$ to -80\% |
|  | Note: -80\% usually considered |  |
| unbuildable. |  |  |$|$| Topography/Steep Slope |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Other nuisances | Easements, Flag lots, <br> Commercial, other <br> nuisances |
| On site development costs |  |

## Land Value Model Calibration

Land model results were adjusted by .85 as described in the model validation section of this report.

## Examples:

## 1. Sub Area: 14

Neighborhood: 21 (no adjustment)
Lot Size: $7,500 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
Average Puget Sound View
Moderate Traffic
Calculation:

```
Base Land: $240,000
    Average Puget Sound View: +30%
    Moderate Traffic: -10%
    Total Adjustment +20%
    New Base Land: $240,000 times 1.20 = $288,000 x . 85 = $244,000 (truncated)
```


## 2. Sub Area: 1

Neighborhood: 20
Lot Size: $28,400 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
Calculation:
Base Land: \$400,000 (Base Lot 19,200 sq ft)
Neighborhood adjustment + \$40,000
Difference from actual lot size: $28,400 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}-19,200 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}=9,200 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$
Difference times $\$ 4.00$
$9,200 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ times $\$ 4.00=\$ 36,000$ (truncated)
Results:
Base land: \$400,000
Neighborhood Adjustment: +40,000
Lot size Adjustment: $+36,000$
New Base Land: $\quad \$ 476,000 \times .85=\$ 404,000$ (truncated)

## Exceptions:

*If land value $=<\$ 1,000$ no adjustment is applied.
*If adjusted land value falls $<\$ 1,000$, then land value $=\$ 1,000$ or previous, whichever is less.
*If vacant parcels (no improvement value) only the land adjustment applies.
*If a parcel is coded "non-perc" (sewer system=3), the land adjustment is applied.
*If a parcel is coded sewer system public restricted, or water district private restricted, or water district public restricted, the land adjustment is applied.
*Any other properties excluded from the adjustment of .85 are noted in Real Property.

## Land Value Model Calibration

## Ship Canal Waterfront Model

Ship Canal waterfront properties are valued on a per front foot basis. All the ship canal waterfront parcels are considered to be impacted by traffic and railroad noise. This has been taken into considered in the front foot value for each category. Several canal properties have excellent or good Puget Sound views, the majority have excellent to average canal views that are impacted by breakwater and or bridge.

Along with the breakwater and bridge impacts there are also several parcels impacted by restriction to either improvement or waterfront. The dollar per front foot below represents the number of impacts associated with each site. Site impacts are noted in Real Property.

| Canal Waterfront | All Canal values based on 50 front feet <br> of waterfront |
| :--- | :--- |
| Excellent Puget Sound View | $\$ 16,000$ per front ft. |
| Good Puget Sound View | $\$ 14,000$ per front ft. |
| Topography/Steep Slope | $\$ 11,000$ per front ft. |
| 2 additional impacts | $\$ 10,000$ per front ft. |
| 3 + additional impacts | $\$ 7,800$ per front ft. |

Additional Impacts:

- Breakwater
- Topography/Steep Slope
- Restricted to Waterfront
- Restricted to Improvement
- Proximity to Bridge


## Examples:

Canal waterfront with Excellent Puget Sound view and no other impacts: 50 Wtf $x$ \$16,000 = \$800,000

Canal waterfront with steep slope, breakwater \& proximity to bridge: $50 \mathrm{Wtf} \times \$ 7,800=$ \$390,000

## Vacant Sales Used In This Physical Inspection Analysis

 Area 39| Area | Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Lot <br> Size | View | Water- <br> front |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 039 | 006 | 282110 | 0035 | $09 / 03 / 2008$ | $\$ 425,000$ | 8,080 | N | N |
| 039 | 006 | 352603 | 9149 | $06 / 07 / 2007$ | $\$ 300,000$ | 6,170 | N | N |
| 039 | 009 | 102503 | 9272 | $10 / 24 / 2007$ | $\$ 92,700$ | 2,250 | Y | N |
| 039 | 009 | 787050 | 0005 | $04 / 25 / 2008$ | $\$ 618,000$ | 6,120 | Y | N |
| 039 | 014 | 092300 | 0545 | $02 / 21 / 2007$ | $\$ 850,000$ | 7,858 | Y | N |
| 039 | 014 | 152630 | 0031 | $03 / 30 / 2007$ | $\$ 240,000$ | 11,680 | N | N |
| 039 | 014 | 361960 | 0116 | $10 / 09 / 2007$ | $\$ 375,000$ | 9,896 | Y | N |
| 005 | 008 | 923290 | 0145 | $10 / 31 / 2007$ | $\$ 250,000$ | 6,350 | N | N |
| 042 | 003 | 798640 | 0290 | $04 / 03 / 2008$ | $\$ 345,000$ | 4,500 | Y | N |
| 005 | 008 | 350160 | 0114 | $08 / 22 / 2007$ | $\$ 450,000$ | 8,160 | N | N |

## Vacant Sales Removed From This Physical Inspection Analysis

Area 39

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :--- |
| 002 | 086800 | 0585 | $05 / 18 / 2007$ | $\$ 1,336,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 006 | 057900 | 1465 | $08 / 12 / 2008$ | $\$ 702,500$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 006 | 226700 | 0410 | $03 / 11 / 2008$ | $\$ 485,000$ | TEAR DOWN; MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 006 | 613260 | 0415 | $04 / 12 / 2007$ | $\$ 1,600,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |

## Improved Parcel Total Value Model:

## Model Development, Description and Conclusions

Most sales were field verified and characteristics updated prior to model development. Additionally, all sales from $1 / 2007$ to $1 / 2009$ were given equal consideration and weight in the model after adjustment to $1 / 1 / 09$.

A multiplicative model was developed for Area 39 using market sales data adjusted to 1/1/09. All the sales were verified and characteristics confirmed at the time of sale. During this time, all the land characteristics such as views, topography, traffic and other nuisances were reviewed in order to determine an accurate land value for each sale. The model was tested for accuracy on all possible types of property in the population. Supplemental models were developed and applied to properties where the main model was not deemed accurate. The uniformity of assessments also improved, with the COV being reduced from $12.45 \%$ to $11.27 \%$.

The regression model included the following variables: land value, age, Total Replacement Cost New less depreciation (RCNLD), sub-area, neighborhood code, grade and condition. It was applicable to houses with grades 7 and above, all ages and all conditions with the exception of "fair' and "poor". It was not applicable to houses that were grade 7 or less, multiple building sites, parcels with more than one house, waterfront parcels, percent completes and properties with obsolescence.

All total values were adjusted by .85 as described in the model validation section of this report. A list of improved sales used and those considered not reflective of market are included in the following sections.

## Improved Parcel Total Value Model Calibration

Estimated Market Value Equation Multiple Regression Model<br>Area 39

| Variable | Transformation | Coefficients |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intercept |  | 2.130358 |
| +Sub9YN | (SubNumeric=9)*((LN(10))) | 0.07083297 |
| +Nghb10YN | (Nghb=10)*(LN(10)) | 0.05455052 |
| +Nghb11YN | (Nghb=11)*(LN(10)) | 0.0357952 |
| +Nghb23YN | (Nghb=23)*(LN(10)) | 0.06424413 |
| +BaseLandC | LN(BaseLand/1000) | 0.5572907 |
| +TotalRcnldC | LN(TotalRCNLD/1000) | 0.3049963 |
| +AgeC | LN(Age+1) | -0.07284779 |
| +Grade7 | (Grade=7)*(LN(10)) | -0.1348058 |
| +Grade10 | (Grade=10)*(LN(10)) | -0.09696915 |
| +Grade9 | (Grade=9)*(LN(10)) | -0.1201507 |
| +Grade8 | (Grade=8)*(LN(10)) | -0.1416497 |
| +VGoodYN | (Cond=5)*(LN(10)) | 0.05389027 |

EMV=
EXP(2.130358+ 0.07083297*Sub9YN+ 0.05455052*Nghb10YN+ 0.0357952*Nghb11YN+ $0.06424413 * N g h b 23 Y N+0.5572907 *$ BaseLandC+ 0.3049963*TotalRcnldC-0.07284779*AgeC-0.1348058*Grade7-0.09696915*Grade10-0.1201507*Grade9-0.1416497*Grade8+ $0.05389027 *$ VGoodYN)*1000

Truncate to nearest $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 0 0 0}$

## EMV = TOTAL VALUE <br> LAND VALUE = BASE LAND VALUE IMPROVEMENT VALUE = EMV-LAND VALUE

EMV values were not generated for:

- Grade 11’s in Sub-6 Neighborhood 11
- Building 2 or greater. (EMV is generated for building 1 only.)
- If total EMV is less than base land value
- Building with Percent Complete
- Buildings with Net Condition
- Buildings with Obsolescence
- Buildings with grade less than 7

Improved Model Calibration (continued)

Value Methods for Exceptions:
Improvement value if:

| Exception | Valuation Method |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade 11 in Sub-6 Neighborhood 11 | $=$ EMV improvement for Grade 10, then x |
|  | 1.05 |
| Multi-imps: | $=$ EMV for Imp 1, then RCNLD for Imp \#2 |
|  | and RCNLD for Imp \#3 |
| EMV is less than Base Land | = TRCNLD for Imp |
| Improvements with \% Obsolescence | = Improvement EMV x \% Good |
| Improvements with \% Net Condition | = Improvement EMV x \% Net Condition |
| Improvements with \% Complete | = Improvement EMV x \% Complete |

## Valuation for Grades Less Than 7

Use for Imp \#1 only

## Improvement value if:

|  | Grades < 5 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |
| Condition | Valuation Method | Valuation Method | Valuation Method |
| All conditions | \$10,000 or \$1,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Fair |  | Previous | Previous |
| If previous < \$25K |  | TRCNLD | TRCNLD |
| If previous > \$25K |  |  |  |
|  |  | TRCNLD | TRCNLD |
| Average |  |  | TRCNLD * 1.65 |
| If previous < \$25K |  | TRCNLD |  |
| If previous > \$25K |  | TRCNLD *1.70 | TRCNLD * 1.70 |
|  |  | TRCNLD * 1.75 | TRCNLD *1.75 |
| Good |  |  |  |
| If previous < \$25K |  |  |  |
| If previous > \$25K |  |  |  |
| Very Good |  |  |  |

## Improved Model Calibration (continued)

Model results were adjusted by . 85 as described in the model validation section of this report.

## Exceptions:

*If land value $=<\$ 1,000$ no adjustment is applied.
*If improvements and accessories $=<\$ 1,000$ no further adjustment applied.
*If adjusted land value falls $<\$ 1,000$, then land value $=\$ 1,000$ or previous, whichever is less.
*If adjusted improvement value falls $<\$ 1,000$, then improvement value $=\$ 1,000$ or previous whichever is less.
*If vacant parcels (no improvement value) only the land adjustment applies.
*If a parcel is coded "non-perc" (sewer system=3), the land adjustment is applied.
*If a parcel is coded sewer system public restricted, or water district private restricted, or water district public restricted, the land adjustment is applied.
*If an improvement is coded "\% net condition" or is in "poor" condition, then the model adjustment is applied.
*Any other properties excluded from the adjustment of .85 are noted in Real Property.
Of the improved parcels in the population (see Executive Summary Report on page 4), 90 parcels increased in value. They were comprised of 19 single family residences on commercially zoned land and 71 single family residences or other parcels.

Of the vacant land parcels greater than $\$ 1000$, 12 parcels increased in value. (tax exempt parcels were excluded from the number of parcels increased)

## Glossary for Improved Sales

## Condition: Relative to Age and Grade

| 1= Poor | Many repairs needed. Showing serious deterioration <br> 2= Fair |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3= Average | Some repairs needed immediately. Much deferred maintenance. <br> Depending upon age of improvement; normal amount of upkeep <br> for the age of the home. |
| $4=$ Good | Condition above the norm for the age of the home. Indicates extra <br> attention and care has been taken to maintain |
| $5=$ Very Good | Excellent maintenance and updating on home. Not a total renovation. |

## Residential Building Grades

Grades 1-3 Falls short of minimum building standards. Normally cabin or inferior structure.
Grade $4 \quad$ Generally older low quality construction. Does not meet code.
Grade 5 Lower construction costs and workmanship. Small, simple design.
Grade 6 Lowest grade currently meeting building codes. Low quality materials, simple designs.
Grade $7 \quad$ Average grade of construction and design. Commonly seen in plats and older subdivisions.
Grade 8 Just above average in construction and design. Usually better materials in both the exterior and interior finishes.
Grade $9 \quad$ Better architectural design, with extra exterior and interior design and quality.
Grade 10 Homes of this quality generally have high quality features. Finish work is better, and more design quality is seen in the floor plans and larger square footage.
Grade 11 Custom design and higher quality finish work, with added amenities of solid woods, bathroom fixtures and more luxurious options.
Grade 12 Custom design and excellent builders. All materials are of the highest quality and all conveniences are present
Grade 13 Generally custom designed and built. Approaching the Mansion level. Large amount of highest quality cabinet work, wood trim and marble; large entries.

## Area 39 Sales Price Changes (relative to 1/1/2009 valuation date)

In a declining market recognition of a sales trend is required to accurately predict value as of a certain date. Assessed values are determined as of January 1 of a given year.

| djustm |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Date | Downward Adjustment (Factor) | Equivalent Percent |
| 1/1/2007 | 0.836 | -16.4\% |
| 2/1/2007 | 0.843 | -15.7\% |
| 3/1/2007 | 0.848 | -15.2\% |
| 4/1/2007 | 0.855 | -14.5\% |
| 5/1/2007 | 0.861 | -13.9\% |
| 6/1/2007 | 0.868 | -13.2\% |
| 7/1/2007 | 0.874 | -12.6\% |
| 8/1/2007 | 0.881 | -11.9\% |
| 9/1/2007 | 0.888 | -11.2\% |
| 10/1/2007 | 0.894 | -10.6\% |
| 11/1/2007 | 0.901 | -9.9\% |
| 12/1/2007 | 0.907 | -9.3\% |
| 1/1/2008 | 0.914 | -8.6\% |
| 2/1/2008 | 0.921 | -7.9\% |
| 3/1/2008 | 0.928 | -7.2\% |
| 4/1/2008 | 0.935 | -6.5\% |
| 5/1/2008 | 0.942 | -5.8\% |
| 6/1/2008 | 0.949 | -5.1\% |
| 7/1/2008 | 0.956 | -4.4\% |
| 8/1/2008 | 0.963 | -3.7\% |
| 9/1/2008 | 0.971 | -2.9\% |
| 10/1/2008 | 0.978 | -2.2\% |
| 11/1/2008 | 0.985 | -1.5\% |
| 12/1/2008 | 0.992 | -0.8\% |
| 1/1/2009 | 1.000 | 0.0\% |

The chart above shows the \% adjustment required for sales to be representative of the assessment date of $1 / 1 / 09$.

| Example: | Sales <br> Price | Sales Date | Adjustment <br> factor | Adjusted Sales price* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

* The adjusted sale price has been rounded to the nearest $\$ 1000$.

The time adjustment formula for Area 39 is 1/EXP(-0.0002445432*SaleDay)
SaleDay = SaleDate - 39814
SaleDaySq $=(\text { SaleDate }-39814)^{\wedge 2}$

## Improved Sales Used In This Physical Inspection Analysis

 Area 39| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 241710 | 0060 | 05/18/2007 | \$485,000 | \$419,000 | 1,500 | 7 | 1954 | 4 | 6,750 | N | N | 1212 NW 120TH ST |
| 001 | 769340 | 0025 | 08/13/2007 | \$654,000 | \$578,000 | 1,320 | 8 | 1958 | 4 | 7,000 | Y | N | 1232 NW 119TH ST |
| 001 | 620260 | 0170 | 10/13/2008 | \$699,000 | \$685,000 | 1,360 | 8 | 1953 | 4 | 60,548 | N | N | 14010 NORTHWOOD PL NW |
| 001 | 252603 | 9224 | 06/11/2008 | \$705,000 | \$671,000 | 2,990 | 8 | 1998 | 3 | 10,404 | N | N | 12013 12TH AVE NW |
| 001 | 085340 | 0240 | 07/25/2007 | \$700,000 | \$616,000 | 3,010 | 8 | 1969 | 3 | 17,864 | N | N | 12608 BLAKELY PL NW |
| 001 | 437960 | 0005 | 04/26/2007 | \$1,075,000 | \$925,000 | 1,650 | 9 | 1963 | 4 | 50,965 | Y | N | 801 NW CULBERTSON DR |
| 001 | 763370 | 0080 | 08/27/2008 | \$825,000 | \$800,000 | 2,070 | 9 | 1976 | 3 | 9,831 | Y | N | 1250 NW 126TH ST |
| 001 | 777280 | 0110 | 11/27/2007 | \$870,000 | \$789,000 | 2,220 | 9 | 1968 | 4 | 7,050 | N | N | 11707 SHORELINE PARK DR NW |
| 001 | 777280 | 0130 | 07/23/2008 | \$698,000 | \$671,000 | 2,530 | 9 | 1972 | 4 | 8,500 | N | N | 1231 NW 117TH ST |
| 001 | 085340 | 0270 | 03/24/2008 | \$805,000 | \$751,000 | 2,560 | 9 | 1969 | 4 | 9,620 | N | N | 12630 BLAKELY PL NW |
| 001 | 769340 | 0045 | 04/18/2007 | \$850,000 | \$730,000 | 3,070 | 9 | 2005 | 3 | 6,000 | Y | N | 1239 NW 119TH ST |
| 001 | 242603 | 9251 | 05/20/2008 | \$925,000 | \$875,000 | 2,060 | 10 | 1965 | 4 | 14,100 | Y | N | 797 NW CULBERTSON DR |
| 001 | 361660 | 0005 | 07/26/2007 | \$960,000 | \$844,000 | 2,650 | 10 | 1961 | 4 | 19,877 | Y | N | 13205 9TH AVE NW |
| 001 | 620260 | 0110 | 11/06/2008 | \$1,560,000 | \$1,539,000 | 3,280 | 10 | 1990 | 3 | 29,712 | Y | N | 13741 3RD AVE NW |
| 001 | 361660 | 0130 | 06/17/2008 | \$1,965,000 | \$1,872,000 | 3,770 | 11 | 1985 | 3 | 30,257 | Y | N | 13055 12TH AVE NW |
| 001 | 361660 | 0002 | 02/06/2007 | \$1,830,000 | \$1,544,000 | 4,370 | 11 | 2006 | 3 | 14,205 | Y | N | 1016 NW 132ND ST |
| 001 | 620260 | 0090 | 05/03/2007 | \$2,875,950 | \$2,478,000 | 5,450 | 11 | 2006 | 3 | 62,726 | Y | N | 320 NW 137TH ST |
| 001 | 242603 | 9123 | 03/01/2007 | \$2,350,000 | \$1,994,000 | 7,880 | 13 | 1996 | 3 | 24,250 | Y | N | 14405 3RD AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 0410 | 06/02/2008 | \$520,000 | \$494,000 | 1,060 | 7 | 1946 | 4 | 7,104 | N | N | 10508 14TH AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 0155 | 03/07/2007 | \$799,000 | \$679,000 | 1,120 | 8 | 1951 | 4 | 9,525 | N | N | 1309 NW NORCROSS WAY |
| 002 | 086800 | 0160 | 06/26/2007 | \$675,000 | \$589,000 | 1,250 | 8 | 1953 | 3 | 9,556 | N | N | 1313 NW NORCROSS WAY |
| 002 | 086800 | 0250 | 04/12/2007 | \$846,500 | \$726,000 | 1,290 | 8 | 1951 | 4 | 7,800 | Y | N | 1230 NW WOODBINE WAY |
| 002 | 086800 | 0265 | 06/18/2007 | \$796,000 | \$694,000 | 1,440 | 8 | 1959 | 3 | 10,143 | N | N | 10725 12TH AVE NW |
| 002 | 252603 | 9148 | 08/08/2007 | \$620,000 | \$547,000 | 1,560 | 8 | 1956 | 3 | 8,700 | N | N | 10712 12TH AVE NW |
| 002 | 610770 | 0085 | 12/24/2007 | \$720,000 | \$657,000 | 1,620 | 8 | 1956 | 4 | 7,730 | N | N | 10817 12TH AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 1290 | 06/28/2007 | \$935,000 | \$817,000 | 1,760 | 8 | 1950 | 4 | 10,587 | N | N | 1647 NW GREENBRIER WAY |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 002 | 086800 | 0495 | 05/25/2007 | \$850,000 | \$736,000 | 1,770 | 8 | 1946 | 4 | 12,240 | N | N | 10563 14TH AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 0187 | 01/16/2007 | \$1,135,000 | \$953,000 | 2,320 | 8 | 1950 | 4 | 14,313 | Y | N | 1409 NW NORCROSS WAY |
| 002 | 086800 | 0885 | 06/02/2007 | \$1,295,000 | \$1,124,000 | 2,430 | 8 | 1998 | 4 | 14,089 | Y | N | 10505 VALMAY AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 2056 | 06/25/2007 | \$1,050,000 | \$917,000 | 2,470 | 8 | 1949 | 4 | 12,483 | Y | N | 2355 NW BLUE RIDGE DR |
| 002 | 086800 | 0150 | 06/15/2007 | \$766,000 | \$667,000 | 2,640 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 9,394 | N | N | 1233 NW NORCROSS WAY |
| 002 | 086800 | 1985 | 09/13/2008 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,168,000 | 1,470 | 9 | 1958 | 5 | 33,375 | Y | N | 10003 VINTON CT NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 0700 | 06/11/2007 | \$1,095,000 | \$953,000 | 1,570 | 9 | 1941 | 4 | 7,321 | Y | N | 10568 VALMAY AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 2356 | 07/17/2007 | \$1,800,000 | \$1,580,000 | 1,650 | 9 | 1959 | 5 | 12,324 | Y | N | 2320 NW BLUE RIDGE DR |
| 002 | 086800 | 1935 | 07/24/2008 | \$1,002,350 | \$964,000 | 1,830 | 9 | 1958 | 4 | 8,880 | Y | N | 10252 BELGROVE CT NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 0825 | 10/06/2008 | \$975,000 | \$954,000 | 1,840 | 9 | 1960 | 4 | 24,380 | Y | N | 1542 NW WOODBINE WAY |
| 002 | 086800 | 0580 | 04/27/2007 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,032,000 | 2,380 | 9 | 1946 | 4 | 8,000 | Y | N | 1543 NW WOODBINE WAY |
| 002 | 086800 | 1835 | 01/10/2007 | \$926,600 | \$777,000 | 2,470 | 9 | 1961 | 4 | 7,800 | Y | N | 10032 RICHWOOD AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 1795 | 03/06/2007 | \$1,628,000 | \$1,383,000 | 2,840 | 9 | 2006 | 3 | 7,750 | Y | N | 10224 RICHWOOD AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 0810 | 05/10/2007 | \$1,280,161 | \$1,105,000 | 3,130 | 9 | 1941 | 4 | 12,834 | Y | N | 1520 NW WOODBINE WAY |
| 002 | 086800 | 2226 | 12/05/2008 | \$1,150,000 | \$1,142,000 | 1,800 | 10 | 1969 | 4 | 14,544 | Y | N | 2000 NW BLUE RIDGE DR |
| 002 | 086800 | 0975 | 02/06/2007 | \$1,342,000 | \$1,132,000 | 3,030 | 10 | 2000 | 3 | 6,786 | N | N | 10305 15TH AVE NW |
| 002 | 086800 | 1650 | 06/06/2007 | \$2,100,000 | \$1,825,000 | 2,980 | 11 | 1985 | 3 | 13,078 | Y | N | 1855 NW ROUNDHILL CIR |
| 002 | 086800 | 1645 | 07/16/2008 | \$2,588,000 | \$2,483,000 | 2,640 | 12 | 1931 | 5 | 18,677 | Y | N | 1857 NW ROUNDHILL CIR |
| 006 | 613260 | 0220 | 06/26/2007 | \$340,000 | \$297,000 | 610 | 6 | 1938 | 5 | 3,857 | N | N | 2632 NW NORTH BEACH DR |
| 006 | 226700 | 0060 | 08/07/2007 | \$400,000 | \$353,000 | 840 | 6 | 1938 | 3 | 7,590 | N | N | 8907 31ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 613260 | 0315 | 08/06/2008 | \$379,950 | \$366,000 | 980 | 6 | 1927 | 3 | 5,250 | N | N | 2617 NW 98TH ST |
| 006 | 226700 | 0484 | 03/13/2007 | \$479,900 | \$408,000 | 960 | 7 | 1949 | 3 | 7,065 | N | N | 8733 29TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 046400 | 1252 | 05/01/2007 | \$485,126 | \$418,000 | 1,030 | 7 | 1953 | 3 | 7,455 | N | N | 8924 30TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 352603 | 9115 | 05/02/2007 | \$475,000 | \$409,000 | 1,070 | 7 | 1950 | 3 | 8,000 | N | N | 8722 28TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 226700 | 0485 | 06/22/2007 | \$585,000 | \$510,000 | 1,120 | 7 | 1955 | 4 | 5,300 | N | N | 8725 29TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 057900 | 3780 | 05/16/2007 | \$588,000 | \$508,000 | 1,150 | 7 | 1949 | 4 | 5,000 | Y | N | 2311 NW 95TH ST |
| 006 | 356680 | 0290 | 06/08/2007 | \$535,000 | \$465,000 | 1,180 | 7 | 1988 | 3 | 2,940 | N | N | 2627 NW 87TH ST |
| 006 | 269810 | 0105 | 11/13/2007 | \$475,000 | \$429,000 | 1,200 | 7 | 1942 | 3 | 7,150 | N | N | 8550 25TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 352603 | 9127 | 09/26/2007 | \$525,000 | \$469,000 | 1,230 | 7 | 1953 | 3 | 7,200 | N | N | 8620 26TH AVE NW |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | 057900 | 2675 | 12/18/2007 | \$480,000 | \$437,000 | 1,240 | 7 | 1930 | 4 | 5,200 | N | N | 1914 NW 96TH ST |
| 006 | 613260 | 0620 | 02/20/2007 | \$600,000 | \$508,000 | 1,240 | 7 | 1982 | 3 | 5,000 | N | N | 9521 25TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 226700 | 0440 | 04/11/2007 | \$552,790 | \$474,000 | 1,260 | 7 | 1938 | 4 | 4,200 | N | N | 8502 30TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 226700 | 0085 | 08/22/2008 | \$487,500 | \$472,000 | 1,270 | 7 | 1924 | 4 | 7,590 | N | N | 8723 31ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 613260 | 0685 | 07/20/2007 | \$628,000 | \$552,000 | 1,270 | 7 | 1948 | 4 | 5,000 | N | N | 9608 26TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 057900 | 2590 | 08/29/2007 | \$500,000 | \$443,000 | 1,290 | 7 | 1912 | 4 | 5,200 | Y | N | 1941 NW 97TH ST |
| 006 | 046400 | 0575 | 10/24/2008 | \$480,000 | \$472,000 | 1,300 | 7 | 1942 | 3 | 5,712 | Y | N | 2824 NW 92ND ST |
| 006 | 226700 | 0065 | 07/05/2007 | \$479,000 | \$419,000 | 1,320 | 7 | 1949 | 3 | 7,590 | N | N | 8901 31ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 281910 | 0115 | 06/10/2008 | \$740,000 | \$704,000 | 1,320 | 7 | 1942 | 4 | 6,480 | Y | N | 3120 NW 93RD ST |
| 006 | 057900 | 2010 | 10/14/2008 | \$670,000 | \$657,000 | 1,450 | 7 | 1928 | 4 | 5,500 | Y | N | 9700 24TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 356680 | 0135 | 06/27/2007 | \$499,900 | \$437,000 | 1,550 | 7 | 1921 | 3 | 5,880 | N | N | 2620 NW 85TH ST |
| 006 | 613260 | 0675 | 05/28/2008 | \$520,000 | \$493,000 | 1,020 | 8 | 1949 | 4 | 5,000 | N | N | 9602 26TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 226700 | 0535 | 07/10/2007 | \$400,000 | \$350,000 | 1,130 | 8 | 2002 | 3 | 1,316 | N | N | 2910 NW 85TH ST |
| 006 | 226700 | 0535 | 02/07/2007 | \$379,950 | \$321,000 | 1,130 | 8 | 2002 | 3 | 1,316 | N | N | 2910 NW 85TH ST |
| 006 | 613260 | 0611 | 11/09/2007 | \$575,000 | \$519,000 | 1,140 | 8 | 1956 | 3 | 5,000 | N | N | 9525 25TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 226700 | 0455 | 07/22/2008 | \$407,000 | \$391,000 | 1,160 | 8 | 2000 | 3 | 1,629 | N | N | 2926 NW 85TH ST |
| 006 | 226700 | 0458 | 04/14/2008 | \$407,500 | \$382,000 | 1,160 | 8 | 2000 | 3 | 1,475 | N | N | 2920 NW 85TH ST |
| 006 | 226700 | 0760 | 10/01/2007 | \$349,990 | \$313,000 | 1,200 | 8 | 2003 | 3 | 807 | N | N | 2810 B NW 85TH ST |
| 006 | 613310 | 0106 | 05/27/2007 | \$540,750 | \$469,000 | 1,220 | 8 | 1946 | 4 | 7,094 | N | N | 2511 NW NORTH BEACH DR |
| 006 | 046400 | 1150 | 04/15/2008 | \$489,000 | \$459,000 | 1,240 | 8 | 1952 | 3 | 5,100 | N | N | 9007 28TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 057900 | 2395 | 11/28/2007 | \$730,000 | \$662,000 | 1,250 | 8 | 1969 | 4 | 5,200 | Y | N | 2161 NW 97TH ST |
| 006 | 356680 | 0065 | 03/08/2007 | \$678,000 | \$576,000 | 1,270 | 8 | 1937 | 5 | 5,880 | N | N | 2627 NW 86TH ST |
| 006 | 356680 | 0375 | 01/22/2008 | \$525,000 | \$483,000 | 1,270 | 8 | 1951 | 3 | 5,880 | N | N | 2618 NW 86TH ST |
| 006 | 638300 | 0035 | 06/09/2008 | \$575,000 | \$547,000 | 1,300 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 7,440 | Y | N | 8720 21ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 638300 | 0025 | 12/19/2008 | \$540,000 | \$538,000 | 1,310 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 7,440 | N | N | 8732 21ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 226700 | 0766 | 04/14/2008 | \$372,500 | \$349,000 | 1,330 | 8 | 2003 | 3 | 1,382 | N | N | 2812 A NW 85TH ST |
| 006 | 226700 | 0766 | 03/16/2007 | \$389,000 | \$331,000 | 1,330 | 8 | 2003 | 3 | 1,382 | N | N | 2812 A NW 85TH ST |
| 006 | 352603 | 9101 | 12/10/2007 | \$664,500 | \$604,000 | 1,340 | 8 | 1959 | 4 | 6,120 | N | N | 9210 28TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 352603 | 9151 | 05/21/2007 | \$640,000 | \$554,000 | 1,340 | 8 | 1955 | 3 | 6,480 | Y | N | 3017 NW 94TH ST |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | 226700 | 0427 | 10/24/2007 | \$545,000 | \$490,000 | 1,360 | 8 | 1933 | 4 | 5,525 | N | N | 8508 30TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 057900 | 0785 | 05/23/2007 | \$875,000 | \$758,000 | 1,380 | 8 | 1958 | 4 | 6,032 | Y | N | 2112 NW 98TH ST |
| 006 | 046400 | 0385 | 02/18/2008 | \$560,000 | \$518,000 | 1,390 | 8 | 1993 | 3 | 2,550 | Y | N | 2801 NW 93RD ST |
| 006 | 444130 | 0230 | 08/16/2007 | \$965,000 | \$853,000 | 1,400 | 8 | 1955 | 4 | 13,400 | Y | N | 9231 VIEW AVE NW |
| 006 | 607350 | 0190 | 08/21/2007 | \$595,000 | \$527,000 | 1,410 | 8 | 1968 | 4 | 5,500 | N | N | 9233 26TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 352603 | 9189 | 07/03/2008 | \$498,000 | \$476,000 | 1,420 | 8 | 1963 | 3 | 7,800 | N | N | 2655 NW 90TH ST |
| 006 | 638300 | 0185 | 07/24/2007 | \$510,000 | \$448,000 | 1,420 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 6,490 | Y | N | 8625 21ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 282110 | 0005 | 08/05/2008 | \$547,000 | \$527,000 | 1,460 | 8 | 1962 | 3 | 6,720 | Y | N | 9026 31ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 638300 | 0570 | 06/18/2007 | \$605,000 | \$527,000 | 1,460 | 8 | 1956 | 4 | 6,000 | N | N | 8715 23RD AVE NW |
| 006 | 613310 | 0056 | 06/02/2008 | \$644,750 | \$612,000 | 1,470 | 8 | 1955 | 4 | 7,970 | N | N | 9602 MARMOUNT DR NW |
| 006 | 873060 | 0031 | 08/28/2007 | \$599,000 | \$531,000 | 1,500 | 8 | 1953 | 4 | 6,200 | N | N | 2631 NW 95TH ST |
| 006 | 281810 | 0330 | 06/01/2007 | \$817,000 | \$709,000 | 1,510 | 8 | 1937 | 5 | 11,627 | Y | N | 2839 NW GOLDEN DR |
| 006 | 057900 | 0655 | 06/08/2007 | \$819,500 | \$712,000 | 1,520 | 8 | 1958 | 4 | 5,408 | Y | N | 2117 NW 99TH ST |
| 006 | 638300 | 0070 | 09/25/2007 | \$529,200 | \$472,000 | 1,540 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 7,320 | N | N | 8630 21ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 226700 | 0585 | 05/15/2007 | \$589,950 | \$510,000 | 1,570 | 8 | 1930 | 3 | 6,460 | N | N | 8803 28TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 638300 | 0835 | 12/10/2007 | \$623,161 | \$567,000 | 1,590 | 8 | 1958 | 4 | 8,035 | N | N | 2315 NW 86TH ST |
| 006 | 057900 | 0360 | 06/06/2007 | \$830,000 | \$721,000 | 1,610 | 8 | 2005 | 3 | 2,900 | Y | N | 2330 NW 99TH ST |
| 006 | 226700 | 0575 | 11/28/2007 | \$620,000 | \$562,000 | 1,630 | 8 | 1930 | 3 | 6,780 | N | N | 8811 28TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 638300 | 0690 | 11/29/2007 | \$520,000 | \$472,000 | 1,670 | 8 | 1961 | 4 | 6,414 | N | N | 8701 JONES PL NW |
| 006 | 226700 | 0534 | 04/17/2008 | \$475,000 | \$446,000 | 1,790 | 8 | 2004 | 3 | 2,013 | N | N | 8507 29TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 638350 | 0110 | 03/13/2007 | \$735,000 | \$625,000 | 1,810 | 8 | 1960 | 4 | 7,272 | N | N | 9232 20TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 046400 | 1025 | 05/02/2007 | \$732,000 | \$631,000 | 1,820 | 8 | 2003 | 3 | 5,100 | N | N | 2858 90TH ST NW |
| 006 | 057900 | 2140 | 05/14/2007 | \$790,000 | \$683,000 | 1,880 | 8 | 1962 | 4 | 5,200 | Y | N | 2317 NW 97TH ST |
| 006 | 638300 | 0085 | 06/24/2008 | \$620,000 | \$592,000 | 1,890 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 10,561 | N | N | 8612 21ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 282010 | 0030 | 01/31/2008 | \$565,000 | \$520,000 | 2,010 | 8 | 1954 | 3 | 11,704 | N | N | 3126 NW 91ST ST |
| 006 | 638300 | 0350 | 10/28/2008 | \$535,000 | \$527,000 | 2,180 | 8 | 1956 | 5 | 7,470 | N | N | 2131 NW 86TH ST |
| 006 | 057900 | 0630 | 11/10/2008 | \$825,000 | \$815,000 | 2,270 | 8 | 1971 | 4 | 6,448 | Y | N | 9815 21ST AVE NW |
| 006 | 352603 | 9234 | 04/02/2008 | \$762,500 | \$713,000 | 2,630 | 8 | 2001 | 3 | 15,834 | N | N | 3154 NW 90TH ST |
| 006 | 281810 | 0285 | 06/25/2007 | \$1,100,000 | \$960,000 | 1,250 | 9 | 1941 | 4 | 18,461 | Y | N | 9520 31ST AVE NW |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | 444130 | 0445 | 06/22/2007 | \$886,500 | \$773,000 | 1,300 | 9 | 1948 | 4 | 7,334 | Y | N | 9214 CYRUS AVE NW |
| 006 | 638320 | 0025 | 08/27/2008 | \$745,000 | \$722,000 | 1,500 | 9 | 1958 | 4 | 6,948 | Y | N | 8739 JONES AVE NW |
| 006 | 352603 | 9157 | 12/03/2007 | \$790,000 | \$717,000 | 1,530 | 9 | 1957 | 4 | 6,480 | Y | N | 3019 NW 94TH ST |
| 006 | 057900 | 3845 | 07/09/2008 | \$865,000 | \$829,000 | 1,580 | 9 | 1952 | 4 | 6,500 | Y | N | 2343 NW 95TH ST |
| 006 | 638300 | 0685 | 09/25/2008 | \$640,000 | \$625,000 | 1,620 | 9 | 1957 | 4 | 7,035 | Y | N | 8710 JONES AVE NW |
| 006 | 638350 | 0535 | 05/09/2007 | \$787,000 | \$679,000 | 1,640 | 9 | 1957 | 4 | 6,500 | Y | N | 2209 NW 93RD ST |
| 006 | 638370 | 0030 | 12/16/2008 | \$770,000 | \$767,000 | 1,660 | 9 | 1965 | 4 | 10,464 | Y | N | 2340 NW 94TH ST |
| 006 | 638300 | 0290 | 09/05/2007 | \$800,000 | \$711,000 | 1,730 | 9 | 1955 | 4 | 6,000 | Y | N | 8742 22ND AVE NW |
| 006 | 638350 | 0080 | 10/07/2008 | \$689,000 | \$675,000 | 1,730 | 9 | 1955 | 4 | 6,600 | Y | N | 9215 20TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 638300 | 0500 | 05/20/2008 | \$651,000 | \$616,000 | 1,850 | 9 | 1956 | 4 | 5,902 | Y | N | 2215 NW 90TH ST |
| 006 | 057900 | 0760 | 06/08/2007 | \$1,330,000 | \$1,156,000 | 1,860 | 9 | 2004 | 3 | 6,032 | Y | N | 2128 NW 98TH ST |
| 006 | 613260 | 0490 | 08/27/2008 | \$705,000 | \$683,000 | 1,860 | 9 | 1993 | 3 | 5,250 | N | N | 2609 NW 96TH ST |
| 006 | 638350 | 0740 | 06/18/2007 | \$718,000 | \$626,000 | 2,020 | 9 | 1975 | 3 | 7,200 | Y | N | 9015 23RD AVE NW |
| 006 | 281860 | 0005 | 02/16/2007 | \$1,100,000 | \$930,000 | 2,040 | 9 | 1927 | 4 | 8,910 | Y | N | 2710 NW ESPLANADE |
| 006 | 057900 | 0425 | 11/14/2008 | \$830,000 | \$820,000 | 2,150 | 9 | 1979 | 3 | 5,700 | Y | N | 2315 NW 99TH ST |
| 006 | 613260 | 0253 | 12/19/2007 | \$1,075,000 | \$980,000 | 2,640 | 9 | 2006 | 3 | 5,498 | N | N | 2620 NW 98TH ST |
| 006 | 281860 | 0060 | 02/22/2008 | \$1,425,000 | \$1,320,000 | 2,750 | 9 | 1968 | 5 | 14,150 | Y | N | 2722 TRITON DR NW |
| 006 | 444130 | 0215 | 05/01/2007 | \$1,410,000 | \$1,214,000 | 2,860 | 9 | 1924 | 5 | 20,500 | Y | N | 9215 VIEW AVE NW |
| 006 | 352603 | 9022 | 09/09/2008 | \$750,000 | \$729,000 | 3,060 | 9 | 2000 | 3 | 8,640 | N | N | 8614 26TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 352603 | 9149 | 10/15/2008 | \$785,000 | \$770,000 | 3,130 | 9 | 2008 | 3 | 6,170 | N | N | 8716 26TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 281810 | 0216 | 03/12/2007 | \$1,175,000 | \$1,000,000 | 1,930 | 10 | 2005 | 3 | 3,061 | Y | N | 3019 NW ESPLANADE |
| 006 | 613260 | 0025 | 06/10/2008 | \$1,300,000 | \$1,236,000 | 2,210 | 10 | 1976 | 4 | 9,000 | Y | N | 2636 NW ESPLANADE |
| 006 | 613260 | 0725 | 05/06/2008 | \$1,039,000 | \$980,000 | 2,420 | 10 | 2006 | 3 | 5,000 | N | N | 9648 NW NORTH BEACH DR |
| 006 | 638390 | 0060 | 03/31/2008 | \$1,000,000 | \$935,000 | 2,740 | 10 | 1966 | 4 | 13,735 | Y | N | 9277 20TH AVE NW |
| 006 | 281810 | 0340 | 04/19/2007 | \$1,365,000 | \$1,172,000 | 2,870 | 10 | 1963 | 3 | 15,025 | Y | N | 2855 NW GOLDEN DR |
| 009 | 048600 | 0165 | 05/10/2007 | \$399,950 | \$345,000 | 700 | 6 | 1916 | 5 | 2,665 | N | N | 6720 33RD AVE NW |
| 009 | 117600 | 0046 | 10/24/2008 | \$350,000 | \$344,000 | 700 | 7 | 1953 | 3 | 2,000 | N | N | 5915 36TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 117600 | 0875 | 06/12/2007 | \$565,000 | \$492,000 | 840 | 7 | 1945 | 3 | 6,000 | Y | N | 3228 NW 56TH ST |
| 009 | 117700 | 0085 | 08/07/2008 | \$398,000 | \$384,000 | 880 | 7 | 1925 | 4 | 3,015 | Y | N | 5801 32ND AVE NW |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 009 | 690820 | 0146 | 05/14/2007 | \$630,000 | \$544,000 | 990 | 7 | 1960 | 3 | 4,800 | Y | N | 6206 34TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 117700 | 0070 | 11/10/2008 | \$615,000 | \$607,000 | 1,030 | 7 | 1933 | 5 | 6,000 | N | N | 3219 NW 59TH ST |
| 009 | 102503 | 9183 | 07/28/2008 | \$685,000 | \$659,000 | 1,040 | 7 | 1946 | 4 | 4,247 | Y | N | 6027 35TH PL NW |
| 009 | 117600 | 0205 | 05/04/2007 | \$600,000 | \$517,000 | 1,040 | 7 | 1941 | 3 | 6,000 | N | N | 3440 NW 59TH ST |
| 009 | 690820 | 0295 | 07/29/2008 | \$612,000 | \$589,000 | 1,160 | 7 | 1910 | 5 | 8,100 | Y | N | 3408 NW 64TH ST |
| 009 | 102503 | 9118 | 05/12/2008 | \$525,000 | \$496,000 | 1,210 | 7 | 1930 | 4 | 3,760 | Y | N | 6026 35TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 787050 | 0120 | 01/19/2007 | \$650,000 | \$546,000 | 1,260 | 7 | 1906 | 5 | 3,250 | Y | N | 3412 NW 65TH ST |
| 009 | 117600 | 0903 | 02/08/2008 | \$607,000 | \$560,000 | 1,330 | 7 | 1946 | 4 | 4,000 | Y | N | 3252 NW 56TH ST |
| 009 | 309600 | 0010 | 01/25/2007 | \$640,000 | \$538,000 | 1,400 | 7 | 1919 | 5 | 3,935 | N | N | 6109 32ND AVE NW |
| 009 | 808240 | 0205 | 07/17/2007 | \$976,000 | \$857,000 | 1,980 | 7 | 1927 | 4 | 4,500 | Y | N | 7203 34TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 117600 | 1110 | 07/22/2008 | \$624,950 | \$601,000 | 2,080 | 7 | 1948 | 3 | 7,101 | Y | N | 3408 NW MARKET ST |
| 009 | 808240 | 0020 | 04/07/2007 | \$650,000 | \$557,000 | 2,190 | 7 | 1927 | 5 | 4,301 | N | N | 7207 32ND AVE NW |
| 009 | 341360 | 0125 | 09/23/2008 | \$462,000 | \$451,000 | 1,010 | 8 | 1950 | 3 | 2,750 | N | N | 3230 NW 68TH ST |
| 009 | 808190 | 0021 | 06/25/2007 | \$537,000 | \$469,000 | 1,160 | 8 | 1946 | 3 | 4,200 | N | N | 3208 NW 70TH ST |
| 009 | 808190 | 0120 | 08/01/2007 | \$838,000 | \$738,000 | 1,230 | 8 | 1976 | 3 | 7,500 | Y | N | 7111 35TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 808240 | 0135 | 02/11/2008 | \$525,000 | \$485,000 | 1,230 | 8 | 1942 | 4 | 4,808 | N | N | 3221 NW 75TH ST |
| 009 | 048600 | 0052 | 04/15/2008 | \$570,000 | \$535,000 | 1,260 | 8 | 1952 | 3 | 7,640 | N | N | 3228 NW 65TH ST |
| 009 | 047200 | 0140 | 07/14/2008 | \$665,000 | \$638,000 | 1,270 | 8 | 1949 | 4 | 4,500 | N | N | 7708 33RD AVE NW |
| 009 | 341360 | 0095 | 07/23/2007 | \$650,000 | \$571,000 | 1,380 | 8 | 1951 | 3 | 4,895 | N | N | 3200 NW 68TH ST |
| 009 | 767940 | 0055 | 11/07/2008 | \$625,000 | \$617,000 | 1,380 | 8 | 1959 | 3 | 4,416 | Y | N | 6712 35TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 047200 | 0740 | 08/23/2007 | \$1,149,500 | \$1,018,000 | 1,530 | 8 | 1925 | 5 | 4,750 | Y | N | 7538 34TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 117600 | 0790 | 04/18/2008 | \$725,000 | \$681,000 | 1,580 | 8 | 1929 | 4 | 3,750 | N | N | 3259 NW 57TH ST |
| 009 | 117700 | 0005 | 07/27/2007 | \$905,000 | \$796,000 | 1,670 | 8 | 1940 | 4 | 6,400 | Y | N | 3259 NW 59TH ST |
| 009 | 690820 | 0105 | 06/27/2007 | \$590,000 | \$515,000 | 1,690 | 8 | 1932 | 5 | 4,140 | N | N | 3200 NW 62ND ST |
| 009 | 444130 | 0075 | 04/22/2008 | \$899,950 | \$846,000 | 1,700 | 8 | 2004 | 3 | 9,577 | N | N | 8720 GOLDEN GARDENS DR NW |
| 009 | 808190 | 0055 | 05/04/2007 | \$1,225,000 | \$1,056,000 | 1,960 | 8 | 1918 | 5 | 9,200 | Y | N | 3310 NW 70TH ST |
| 009 | 690820 | 0145 | 10/08/2008 | \$835,000 | \$818,000 | 2,060 | 8 | 1948 | 5 | 6,000 | Y | N | 3252 NW 62ND ST |
| 009 | 117600 | 0040 | 06/15/2007 | \$1,090,000 | \$949,000 | 2,080 | 8 | 1985 | 3 | 6,000 | Y | N | 3607 NW 60TH ST |
| 009 | 808190 | 0185 | 10/31/2007 | \$1,000,000 | \$901,000 | 2,360 | 8 | 1924 | 5 | 8,850 | Y | N | 7102 34TH AVE NW |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 009 | 047200 | 0560 | 03/28/2007 | \$760,000 | \$649,000 | 1,690 | 9 | 1930 | 5 | 4,750 | N | N | 7537 33RD AVE NW |
| 009 | 808190 | 0101 | 10/15/2008 | \$850,000 | \$834,000 | 1,800 | 9 | 1929 | 5 | 4,560 | Y | N | 7001 35TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 048600 | 0510 | 08/18/2008 | \$1,040,000 | \$1,006,000 | 1,860 | 9 | 1988 | 3 | 7,920 | Y | N | 3524 NW 67TH ST |
| 009 | 048600 | 0620 | 08/27/2008 | \$1,250,000 | \$1,212,000 | 1,980 | 9 | 1991 | 3 | 7,308 | Y | N | 6505 37TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 808240 | 0076 | 11/19/2007 | \$785,000 | \$710,000 | 2,240 | 9 | 1948 | 4 | 4,876 | N | N | 7319 33RD AVE NW |
| 009 | 808240 | 0112 | 04/19/2007 | \$850,000 | \$730,000 | 2,240 | 9 | 2002 | 3 | 4,010 | N | N | 3200 NW 73RD ST |
| 009 | 867440 | 0090 | 03/13/2007 | \$965,000 | \$821,000 | 2,530 | 9 | 1987 | 3 | 10,258 | N | N | 8027 32ND AVE NW |
| 009 | 341360 | 0035 | 01/03/2007 | \$915,000 | \$766,000 | 2,840 | 9 | 1986 | 3 | 5,000 | N | N | 3301 NW 70TH ST |
| 009 | 117600 | 0115 | 06/27/2007 | \$1,271,000 | \$1,110,000 | 2,630 | 10 | 2003 | 3 | 6,000 | N | N | 3527 NW 60TH ST |
| 009 | 808190 | 0036 | 10/08/2007 | \$1,124,000 | \$1,007,000 | 3,030 | 10 | 1998 | 3 | 4,255 | Y | N | 7010 34TH AVE NW |
| 009 | 117600 | 0740 | 02/14/2008 | \$1,030,000 | \$952,000 | 3,330 | 10 | 2007 | 3 | 7,600 | Y | N | 3426 NW 56TH ST |
| 009 | 690820 | 0435 | 03/15/2007 | \$1,515,000 | \$1,290,000 | 3,750 | 10 | 2005 | 3 | 8,100 | Y | N | 3431 NW 62ND ST |
| 009 | 690820 | 0540 | 03/06/2008 | \$1,425,000 | \$1,324,000 | 2,590 | 11 | 2002 | 3 | 10,000 | N | N | 6414 37TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 092300 | 0170 | 03/20/2008 | \$417,000 | \$389,000 | 990 | 7 | 1947 | 3 | 7,675 | N | N | 13219 4TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 299480 | 0010 | 01/22/2007 | \$380,000 | \$319,000 | 1,040 | 7 | 1948 | 4 | 8,160 | N | N | 12755 3RD AVE NW |
| 014 | 437820 | 0010 | 06/21/2007 | \$512,000 | \$446,000 | 1,060 | 7 | 1953 | 4 | 8,100 | N | N | 12050 12TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 391840 | 0125 | 09/12/2007 | \$570,000 | \$507,000 | 1,110 | 7 | 1951 | 5 | 10,031 | N | N | 11502 4TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 092300 | 0510 | 05/15/2008 | \$500,000 | \$473,000 | 1,200 | 7 | 1940 | 4 | 7,680 | N | N | 13049 6TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 112700 | 0070 | 12/15/2007 | \$418,000 | \$381,000 | 1,280 | 7 | 1953 | 3 | 6,420 | N | N | 12026 10TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 093300 | 0063 | 09/20/2007 | \$430,000 | \$383,000 | 1,440 | 7 | 1948 | 4 | 7,680 | N | N | 12717 4TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 112700 | 0005 | 07/11/2007 | \$499,950 | \$438,000 | 1,530 | 7 | 1953 | 4 | 6,045 | N | N | 1011 NW 122ND ST |
| 014 | 093000 | 0185 | 08/17/2007 | \$493,950 | \$437,000 | 1,550 | 7 | 1940 | 4 | 7,680 | N | N | 12238 4TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 252603 | 9038 | 04/15/2008 | \$485,000 | \$455,000 | 1,890 | 7 | 1957 | 3 | 8,040 | Y | N | 12001 8TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 112400 | 0015 | 06/10/2008 | \$552,450 | \$525,000 | 2,070 | 7 | 1951 | 5 | 8,100 | N | N | 12246 12TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 764040 | 0100 | 04/10/2007 | \$569,950 | \$488,000 | 1,100 | 8 | 1955 | 3 | 8,143 | Y | N | 1004 NW 127TH ST |
| 014 | 764090 | 0005 | 09/04/2007 | \$485,000 | \$431,000 | 1,130 | 8 | 1955 | 3 | 8,100 | N | N | 12527 11TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 889400 | 0015 | 07/17/2007 | \$730,000 | \$641,000 | 1,250 | 8 | 1951 | 4 | 14,012 | Y | N | 626 NW 116TH ST |
| 014 | 610690 | 0015 | 10/08/2007 | \$722,000 | \$647,000 | 1,300 | 8 | 1976 | 4 | 13,100 | N | N | 11414 9TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 891050 | 0453 | 12/18/2007 | \$490,000 | \$447,000 | 1,330 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 7,630 | N | N | 311 NW 112TH ST |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 014 | 242603 | 9063 | 05/02/2007 | \$685,000 | \$590,000 | 1,400 | 8 | 1957 | 4 | 6,750 | Y | N | 12530 9TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 242603 | 9216 | 03/28/2007 | \$699,500 | \$597,000 | 1,410 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 6,750 | Y | N | 12524 9TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 764040 | 0040 | 05/25/2007 | \$640,000 | \$554,000 | 1,420 | 8 | 1955 | 4 | 7,476 | Y | N | 918 NW 127TH ST |
| 014 | 092300 | 0046 | 04/20/2007 | \$692,000 | \$594,000 | 1,470 | 8 | 1957 | 4 | 7,680 | N | N | 13215 7TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 252603 | 9195 | 01/08/2007 | \$534,950 | \$448,000 | 1,480 | 8 | 1972 | 4 | 7,200 | Y | N | 12208 9TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 252603 | 9045 | 08/06/2007 | \$680,000 | \$600,000 | 1,500 | 8 | 1954 | 5 | 7,477 | Y | N | 12053 8TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 361660 | 0045 | 08/14/2007 | \$812,000 | \$717,000 | 1,510 | 8 | 1952 | 4 | 19,952 | N | N | 13025 10TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 764040 | 0145 | 05/08/2007 | \$494,500 | \$427,000 | 1,510 | 8 | 1952 | 4 | 8,044 | N | N | 12563 10TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 764040 | 0065 | 07/11/2007 | \$500,000 | \$438,000 | 1,600 | 8 | 1952 | 3 | 8,078 | Y | N | 12759 10TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 252603 | 9140 | 03/09/2007 | \$620,000 | \$527,000 | 1,620 | 8 | 1961 | 4 | 10,880 | N | N | 12051 7TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 764040 | 0242 | 11/07/2007 | \$455,000 | \$410,000 | 1,680 | 8 | 1954 | 4 | 6,319 | N | N | 1116 NW 127TH ST |
| 014 | 764040 | 0185 | 07/20/2007 | \$495,000 | \$435,000 | 1,700 | 8 | 1953 | 4 | 8,143 | N | N | 12560 11TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 093300 | 0055 | 06/20/2008 | \$645,000 | \$615,000 | 1,770 | 8 | 1948 | 4 | 7,680 | Y | N | 12703 4TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 361660 | 0010 | 02/28/2007 | \$499,000 | \$423,000 | 1,770 | 8 | 1952 | 4 | 8,100 | N | N | 1018 NW 130TH ST |
| 014 | 603740 | 0045 | 08/31/2007 | \$564,000 | \$500,000 | 1,790 | 8 | 1962 | 4 | 8,280 | Y | N | 12505 4TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 391840 | 0092 | 12/10/2007 | \$587,500 | \$534,000 | 1,970 | 8 | 1973 | 3 | 8,430 | N | N | 711 NW 120TH ST |
| 014 | 764040 | 0295 | 02/07/2007 | \$455,000 | \$384,000 | 2,000 | 8 | 1952 | 4 | 8,100 | N | N | 12551 11TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 112400 | 0090 | 02/14/2008 | \$525,000 | \$485,000 | 2,210 | 8 | 1951 | 5 | 8,100 | N | N | 12215 11TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 092300 | 0115 | 09/26/2008 | \$609,000 | \$595,000 | 2,310 | 8 | 1988 | 3 | 7,620 | N | N | 13250 6TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 361660 | 0070 | 06/22/2007 | \$675,000 | \$589,000 | 2,600 | 8 | 1935 | 5 | 11,212 | Y | N | 13018 12TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 093000 | 0395 | 05/15/2007 | \$610,000 | \$527,000 | 2,780 | 8 | 1947 | 5 | 7,680 | N | N | 12040 7TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 361960 | 0144 | 05/24/2007 | \$1,075,000 | \$931,000 | 1,550 | 9 | 2003 | 3 | 9,000 | Y | N | 13034 10TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 228760 | 0076 | 10/10/2007 | \$599,900 | \$538,000 | 1,680 | 9 | 1959 | 3 | 7,257 | N | N | 12526 4TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 228760 | 0050 | 07/19/2007 | \$515,000 | \$452,000 | 1,860 | 9 | 1958 | 3 | 8,160 | Y | N | 12503 3RD AVE NW |
| 014 | 135525 | 0110 | 07/09/2007 | \$649,950 | \$569,000 | 2,080 | 9 | 1987 | 3 | 9,692 | N | N | 361 NW 113TH PL |
| 014 | 891050 | 0450 | 11/14/2007 | \$788,200 | \$712,000 | 2,170 | 9 | 2005 | 3 | 8,139 | N | N | 325 NW 112TH ST |
| 014 | 619000 | 0110 | 10/17/2007 | \$805,000 | \$723,000 | 2,460 | 9 | 1963 | 4 | 9,608 | N | N | 13547 SHERMAN RD NW |
| 014 | 093000 | 0470 | 05/08/2008 | \$882,000 | \$832,000 | 2,540 | 9 | 2001 | 4 | 7,680 | Y | N | 12037 6TH AVE NW |
| 014 | 135520 | 0010 | 07/23/2007 | \$726,000 | \$638,000 | 2,740 | 9 | 1971 | 4 | 10,308 | N | N | 11404 8TH AVE NW |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale <br> Price | Above <br> Grade <br> Living | Bld <br> Grade | Year <br> Built $/$ <br> Ren | Cond | Lot <br> Size | View | Water- <br> front | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Improved Sales Removed From This Physical Inspection Analysis

## Area 39

| Sub Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 085340 | 0220 | 07/10/2007 | \$679,500 | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR; |
| 001 | 230390 | 0044 | 07/16/2007 | \$1,900,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 001 | 241710 | 0050 | 07/19/2007 | \$510,000 | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR; |
| 001 | 361660 | 0003 | 06/20/2007 | \$1,006,309 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 001 | 777280 | 0050 | 09/08/2008 | \$465,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE; |
| 001 | 777280 | 0240 | 02/13/2008 | \$612,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE; |
| 002 | 086800 | 0490 | 09/13/2007 | \$605,000 | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR; |
| 002 | 086800 | 0590 | 05/18/2007 | \$1,336,000 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE; |
| 002 | 086800 | 0754 | 11/02/2007 | \$1,561,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 002 | 086800 | 1015 | 08/25/2008 | \$1,016,500 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 002 | 086800 | 1825 | 05/20/2008 | \$970,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 002 | 086800 | 2020 | 04/05/2007 | \$214,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR; |
| 002 | 086800 | 2070 | 01/08/2007 | \$1,035,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 002 | 252603 | 9104 | 10/26/2007 | \$765,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 002 | 610770 | 0075 | 11/13/2008 | \$400,000 | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 006 | 057900 | 0630 | 11/10/2008 | \$825,000 | RELOCATION - SALE TO SERVICE; |
| 006 | 057900 | 1655 | 08/12/2008 | \$702,500 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE; |
| 006 | 057900 | 1980 | 07/14/2008 | \$710,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 006 | 057900 | 2250 | 05/28/2007 | \$750,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 006 | 138080 | 0005 | 02/20/2008 | \$419,700 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 006 | 269810 | 0010 | 03/31/2007 | \$40,000 | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 006 | 281810 | 0215 | 01/04/2007 | \$235,914 | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 006 | 281860 | 0015 | 09/06/2007 | \$1,000,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 006 | 281910 | 0100 | 05/30/2007 | \$909,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 006 | 282010 | 0005 | 06/12/2007 | \$780,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 006 | 352603 | 9061 | 03/07/2007 | \$135,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 006 | 356680 | 0305 | 04/10/2007 | \$376,280 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE; |
| 006 | 444130 | 0250 | 04/08/2008 | \$949,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 006 | 444130 | 0450 | 08/06/2007 | \$760,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 006 | 613260 | 0140 | 11/06/2007 | \$1,700,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR; |
| 006 | 613260 | 0700 | 03/05/2007 | \$240,000 | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 006 | 613310 | 0140 | 04/12/2007 | \$1,600,000 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE; |
| 006 | 638300 | 0085 | 06/24/2008 | \$620,000 | RELOCATION - SALE TO SERVICE; |
| 006 | 638300 | 0130 | 03/27/2008 | \$553,400 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 006 | 638300 | 0460 | 02/05/2008 | \$610,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 006 | 638300 | 0680 | 12/08/2008 | \$521,900 | BANKRUPTCY - RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE; |
| 006 | 638300 | 0800 | 10/23/2008 | \$447,900 | BANKRUPTCY - RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE |
| 006 | 638320 | 0010 | 05/21/2008 | \$690,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 006 | 864450 | 0015 | 06/04/2008 | \$167,787 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR; |
| 006 | 864450 | 0015 | 07/03/2007 | \$261,000 | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR; |
| 009 | 047200 | 0510 | 04/11/2007 | \$575,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; | Area 39


| Sub Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 009 | 047200 | 0845 | 03/11/2008 | \$175,000 | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 009 | 048600 | 0175 | 05/30/2007 | \$402,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 009 | 048600 | 0260 | 03/15/2007 | \$425,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 009 | 048600 | 0411 | 12/12/2008 | \$372,000 | BANKRUPTCY - RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE; |
| 009 | 048600 | 0535 | 07/09/2007 | \$1,450,000 | MP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 009 | 048600 | 0568 | 03/05/2007 | \$850,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 009 | 048600 | 0569 | 03/05/2007 | \$850,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 009 | 102503 | 9164 | 02/28/2008 | \$600,000 | BUILDER OR DEVELOPER SALES; |
| 009 | 102503 | 9164 | 09/05/2007 | \$800,000 | BUILDER OR DEVELOPER SALES; |
| 009 | 102503 | 9175 | 12/26/2007 | \$188,616 | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 009 | 117600 | 0035 | 06/20/2007 | \$880,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 009 | 117600 | 0150 | 09/21/2008 | \$687,600 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 009 | 117600 | 1310 | 05/30/2007 | \$1,225,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL |
| 009 | 117600 | 1375 | 04/27/2007 | \$800,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL |
| 009 | 341360 | 0096 | 03/06/2007 | \$485,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR; |
| 009 | 444130 | 0320 | 05/30/2007 | \$575,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE; |
| 009 | 690820 | 0242 | 12/12/2008 | \$900,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 009 | 690820 | 0285 | 11/27/2007 | \$775,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 009 | 690820 | 0615 | 02/27/2007 | \$650,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE; |
| 009 | 690820 | 0615 | 03/29/2007 | \$600,000 | IIMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 009 | 787050 | 0005 | 04/25/2008 | \$618,000 | TEAR DOWN; |
| 009 | 787050 | 0130 | 04/01/2008 | \$608,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 009 | 867440 | 0070 | 07/17/2007 | \$1,000,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 014 | 092300 | 0295 | 09/24/2008 | \$399,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 014 | 093000 | 0085 | 02/08/2008 | \$618,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 014 | 093000 | 0129 | 02/14/2007 | \$735,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 014 | 093000 | 0230 | 09/15/2008 | \$335,000 | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR; |
| 014 | 112400 | 0150 | 12/12/2008 | \$308,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE; |
| 014 | 228150 | 0100 | 06/22/2007 | \$453,750 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 014 | 228760 | 0050 | 07/19/2007 | \$515,000 | RELOCATION - SALE TO SERVICE; |
| 014 | 252603 | 9027 | 06/03/2008 | \$1,745,000 | QUESTIONABLE PER APPRAISAL; |
| 014 | 252603 | 9074 | 06/05/2007 | \$622,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 014 | 361660 | 0051 | 10/31/2007 | \$350,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR; |
| 014 | 763990 | 0080 | 09/25/2008 | \$660,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE; |
| 014 | 763990 | 0090 | 08/02/2007 | \$735,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; |
| 014 | 764040 | 0260 | 06/11/2008 | \$475,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE; |
| 014 | 891050 | 0487 | 08/25/2008 | \$131,667 | QUIT CLAIM DEED |

## Model Validation

## Total Value Model Conclusions, Recommendations and Validation:

Appraiser judgment prevails in all decisions regarding individual parcel valuation. Each parcel is field reviewed and a value selected based on general and specific data pertaining to the parcel, the neighborhood, and the market. The Appraiser determines which available value estimate may be appropriate and may adjust particular characteristics and conditions as they occur in the valuation area.

The resulting assessment level is $84 \%$. The standard statistical measures of valuation performance are presented in the 2009 Ratio Analysis chart included in this report.

The reason the assessment level falls outside the IAAO recommended range of $90 \%-110 \%$ is related to an additional market shift (downward) which has not been reflected in the sale analysis. The current real estate market both in this county and nationally is unprecedented in its quick and widespread downturn.
Market participants appear to have taken a cautious approach evidenced by the significant reduction in sales transactions in the last month of 2008 and initial months of 2009. Foreclosures appear to be playing a bigger role in the market and may ultimately impact the behavior of other market participants for some time to come. This phenomenon appears to be widespread occurring throughout the country.

The sale analysis and model building effort was well underway before receiving the IAAO's exposure draft entitled "Market Value Principles in a time of Economic Crisis-A Position Paper of the International Association of Assessing Officers". ${ }^{1}$ This exposure draft recognizes the distressed market conditions which are presently plaguing this country. In its continued attempt to maximize fairness and understandability in a property tax system, the IAAO suggests the consideration of inclusion of certain sale types which have previously been disregarded. These sale types include short sales and financial institution re-sales. The financial institution re-sales were not readily available to the appraisers for analysis this assessment cycle. A cursory review of sales where financial institutions were identified as the seller to non institutional third parties was made. This analysis showed these sales comprised $14.9 \%$ of the market on $1 / 1 / 09$ and sold for $31.8 \%$ less than the overall average.

Knowing this market information was not considered, but may in fact eventually define where our local market is and may continue to be headed, a downward market adjustment to the valuation models estimated and described in this report appears reasonable and appropriate. All values established through the revalue analysis were adjusted at .85 in an effort to accommodate the relevant economic conditions at the time of this valuation.

The Appraisal Team recommends application of the Appraiser selected values, as indicated by the appropriate model or method.

[^0]Application of these recommended values for the 2009 assessment year (taxes payable in 2010) results in an average total change from the 2008 assessments of $-18.4 \%$. This decrease is due partly to downward market changes over time and the previous assessment levels.

Note: More details and information regarding aspects of the valuations and the report are retained in the working files and folios kept in the appropriate district office.

Ratio studies of assessments before and after this physical inspection are included later in this report

## Area 39 Physical Inspection Ratio Confidence Intervals

These tables provide evidence that assessment levels (NewAV / AdjustedSP * 100\%) are equitable across key strata of building, land and location characteristics.

For this purpose, the actual overall 2009 weighted mean of $84 \%$ in Area 39 has been displayed below. A Lower $95 \%$ C.L. greater than $85 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively high; An Upper $95 \%$ C.L. less than $85 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively low.

When the sales count is low, the uncertainties are larger, and it is difficult to draw valid conclusions. The confidence interval for the arithmetic mean is used as an estimate for the weighted mean.

| OVERALL | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | 2009 Lower <br> 95\% C.L. | 2009 Upper <br> $95 \%$ C.L. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL | 233 | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Bldg Grade | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | 2009 Lower <br> $95 \%$ C.L. | 2009 Upper <br> $95 \%$ C.L. |
| $<=7$ | 51 | $84 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| 8 | 105 | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 9 | 54 | $83 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| 10 | 15 | $85 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $92 \%$ |
| $>=11$ | 8 | $83 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Year Built or Year <br> Renovated | Count | Weighted <br> Mean | 2009 Lower <br> $95 \%$ C.L. | 2009 Upper <br> $95 \%$ C.L. |
| $<1950$ | 70 | $81 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $84 \%$ |
| $1950-1959$ | 76 | $85 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| $1960-1969$ | 24 | $89 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| $1970-1979$ | 11 | $87 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $94 \%$ |
| $1980-1989$ | 11 | $83 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| $1990-1999$ | 8 | $79 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| $2000-2008$ | 33 | $83 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Condition | Count | Weighted <br> Mean | 2009 Lower <br> $95 \%$ C.L. | 2009 Upper <br> $95 \%$ C.L. |
| Average | 97 | $85 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Good | 107 | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Very Good | 29 | $80 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $84 \%$ |

## Area 39 Physical Inspection Ratio Confidence Intervals

These tables provide evidence that assessment levels (NewAV / AdjustedSP * 100\%) are equitable across key strata of building, land and location characteristics.

For this purpose, the actual overall 2009 weighted mean of $84 \%$ in Area 39 has been displayed below. A Lower $95 \%$ C.L. greater than $85 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively high; An Upper $95 \%$ C.L. less than $85 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively low.

When the sales count is low, the uncertainties are larger, and it is difficult to draw valid conclusions. The confidence interval for the arithmetic mean is used as an estimate for the weighted mean.

| Stories | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { Upper } \\ \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 133 | 86\% | 84\% | 88\% |
| 1.5 | 27 | 79\% | 74\% | 83\% |
| 2 | 63 | 82\% | 79\% | 85\% |
| 2.5 | 3 | 86\% | 66\% | 107\% |
| 3 | 7 | 84\% | 78\% | 90\% |
| Above Grade Living Area | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| <1200 | 34 | 87\% | 83\% | 91\% |
| 1200-1499 | 63 | 84\% | 82\% | 87\% |
| 1500-1799 | 47 | 82\% | 79\% | 86\% |
| 1800-2099 | 30 | 85\% | 80\% | 90\% |
| 2100-2499 | 19 | 83\% | 78\% | 89\% |
| 2500-2999 | 26 | 80\% | 76\% | 84\% |
| 3000> | 14 | 86\% | 79\% | 93\% |
| View Y/N | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Y | 106 | 83\% | 81\% | 86\% |
| N | 127 | 84\% | 83\% | 86\% |
| Wft Y/N | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 233 | 84\% | 82\% | 85\% |
| Sub | Count | $2009$ <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 001 | 18 | 87\% | 81\% | 92\% |
| 002 | 26 | 82\% | 77\% | 88\% |
| 006 | 94 | 84\% | 82\% | 86\% |
| 009 | 46 | 83\% | 80\% | 87\% |
| 014 | 49 | 83\% | 80\% | 86\% |

## Area 39 Physical Inspection Ratio Confidence Intervals

These tables provide evidence that assessment levels (NewAV / AdjustedSP * 100\%) are equitable across key strata of building, land and location characteristics.

For this purpose, the actual overall 2009 weighted mean of $84 \%$ in Area 39 has been displayed below. A Lower $95 \%$ C.L. greater than $85 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively high; An Upper $95 \%$ C.L. less than $85 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively low.

When the sales count is low, the uncertainties are larger, and it is difficult to draw valid conclusions. The confidence interval for the arithmetic mean is used as an estimate for the weighted mean.

| Lot Size | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | 2009 Lower <br> $95 \%$ C.L. | 2009 Upper <br> $95 \%$ C.L. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $<4000$ | 22 | $85 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| $4000-4999$ | 18 | $86 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| $5000-6999$ | 63 | $84 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| $7000-8999$ | 73 | $85 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| $9000-14999$ | 40 | $82 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| $15000-24999$ | 10 | $82 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| $25000>$ | 7 | $82 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| Nghb 10 Y/N | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | 2009 Lower <br> $95 \%$ C.L. | 2009 Upper <br> $95 \%$ C.L. |
| N | 207 | $84 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Y | 26 | $82 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Nghb 11 Y/N | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | 2009 Lower <br> $95 \%$ C.L. | 2009 Upper <br> $95 \%$ C.L. |
| N | 177 | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Y | 56 | $83 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| Nghb 23 Y/N | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | 2009 Lower <br> $95 \%$ C.L. | 2009 Upper <br> $95 \%$ C.L. |
| N | 224 | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| Y | 9 | $86 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $94 \%$ |

## Physical Inspection Ratio Study Report (Before)

2008 Assessment


## Physical Inspection Ratio Study Report (After)

## 2009 Assessment



## USPAP Compliance

## Client and Intended Use of the Appraisal:

This mass appraisal report is intended for use only by the King County Assessor and other agencies or departments administering or confirming ad valorem property taxes. Use of this report by others is not intended by the appraiser. The use of this appraisal, analyses and conclusions is limited to the administration of ad valorem property taxes in accordance with Washington State law. As such it is written in concise form to minimize paperwork. The assessor intends that this report conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) requirements for a mass appraisal report as stated in USPAP SR 6-8. To fully understand this report the reader may need to refer to the Assessor's Property Record Files, Assessors Real Property Data Base, separate studies, Assessor's Procedures, Assessor's field maps, Revalue Plan and the statutes.

The purpose of this report is to explain and document the methods, data and analysis used in the revaluation of King County. King County is on a six year physical inspection cycle with annual statistical updates. The revaluation plan is approved by Washington State Department of Revenue. The Revaluation Plan is subject to their periodic review.

## Definition and Date of Value Estimate:

## Market Value

The basis of all assessments is the true and fair value of property. True and fair value means market value (Spokane etc. R. Company v. Spokane County, 75 Wash. 72 (1913); Mason County Overtaxed, Inc. v. Mason County, 62 Wn. $2 d$ (1963); AGO 57-58, No. 2, 1/8/57; AGO 65-66, No. $65,12 / 31 / 65)$. The true and fair value of a property in money for property tax valuation purposes is its "market value" or amount of money a buyer willing but not obligated to buy would pay for it to a seller willing but not obligated to sell. In arriving at a determination of such value, the assessing officer can consider only those factors which can within reason be said to affect the price in negotiations between a willing purchaser and a willing seller, and he must consider all of such factors. (AGO 65,66, No. 65, 12/31/65)

## Highest and Best Use

RCW 84.40.030 All property shall be valued at one hundred percent of its true and fair value in money and assessed on the same basis unless specifically provided otherwise by law.

An assessment may not be determined by a method that assumes a land usage or highest and best use not permitted, for that property being appraised, under existing zoning or land use planning ordinances or statutes or other government restrictions.

WAC 458-07-030 (3) True and fair value -- Highest and best use. Unless specifically provided otherwise by statute, all property shall be valued on the basis of its highest and best use for assessment purposes. Highest and best use is the most profitable, likely use to which a property can be put. It is the use which will yield the highest return on the owner's investment. Any reasonable use to which the property may be put may be taken into consideration and if it is peculiarly adapted to some particular use, that fact may be taken into consideration. Uses that are within the realm of possibility, but not reasonably probable of occurrence, shall not be considered in valuing property at its highest and best use.

If a property is particularly adapted to some particular use this fact may be taken into consideration in estimating the highest and best use. (Sammish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922)) The present use of the property may constitute its highest and best use. The appraiser shall, however, consider the uses to which similar property similarly located is being put. (Finch v. Grays Harbor County, 121 Wash. 486 (1922)) The fact that the owner of the property chooses to use it for less productive purposes than similar land is being used shall be ignored in the highest and best use estimate. (Sammish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922))

Where land has been classified or zoned as to its use, the county assessor may consider this fact, but he shall not be bound to such zoning in exercising his judgment as to the highest and best use of the property. (AGO 63-64, No. 107, 6/6/64)

## Date of Value Estimate

All property now existing, or that is hereafter created or brought into this state, shall be subject to assessment and taxation for state, county, and other taxing district purposes, upon equalized valuations thereof, fixed with reference thereto on the first day of January at twelve o'clock meridian in each year, excepting such as is exempted from taxation by law. [1961 c 15 §84.36.005]

The county assessor is authorized to place any property that is increased in value due to construction or alteration for which a building permit was issued, or should have been issued, under chapter 19.27, 19.27A, or 19.28 RCW or other laws providing for building permits on the assessment rolls for the purposes of tax levy up to August 31st of each year. The assessed valuation of the property shall be considered as of July 31st of that year. [1989 c 246 § 4]

Reference should be made to the property card or computer file as to when each property was valued. Sales consummating before and after the appraisal date may be used and are analyzed as to their indication of value at the date a valuation. If market conditions have changed then the appraisal will state a logical cutoff date after which no market date is used as an indicator of value.

## Property Rights Appraised:

## Fee Simple

Wash Constitution Article 7 § 1 Taxation: All taxes shall be uniform upon the same class of property within the territorial limits of the authority levying the tax and shall be levied and collected for public purposes only. The word "property" as used herein shall mean and include everything, whether tangible or intangible, subject to ownership. All real estate shall constitute one class.
Trimble v. Seattle, 231 U.S. 683, 689, 58 L. Ed. 435, 34 S. Ct. 218 (1914)" the entire [fee] estate is to be assessed and taxed as a unit"
Folsom v. Spokane County, 111 Wn. $2 d 256$ (1988) "the ultimate appraisal should endeavor to arrive at the fair market value of the property as if it were an unencumbered fee"

The definition of fee simple estate as taken from The Third Edition of The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, published by the Appraisal Institute. "Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat."

## Assumptions and Limiting Conditions:

1. No opinion as to title is rendered. Data on ownership and legal description were obtained from public records. Title is assumed to be marketable and free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, easements and restrictions unless shown on maps or property record files. The property is appraised assuming it to be under responsible ownership and competent management and available for its highest and best use.
2. No engineering survey has been made by the appraiser. Except as specifically stated, data relative to size and area were taken from sources considered reliable, and no encroachment of real property improvements is assumed to exist.
3. No responsibility for hidden defects or conformity to specific governmental requirements, such as fire, building and safety, earthquake, or occupancy codes, can be assumed without provision of specific professional or governmental inspections.
4. Rental areas herein discussed have been calculated in accord with generally accepted industry standards.
5. The projections included in this report are utilized to assist in the valuation process and are based on current market conditions and anticipated short term supply demand factors. Therefore, the projections are subject to changes in future conditions that cannot be accurately predicted by the appraiser and could affect the future income or value projections.
6. The property is assumed uncontaminated unless the owner comes forward to the Assessor and provides other information.
7. The appraiser is not qualified to detect the existence of potentially hazardous material which may or may not be present on or near the property. The existence of such substances may have an effect on the value of the property. No consideration has been given in this analysis to any potential diminution in value should such hazardous materials be found (unless specifically noted). We urge the taxpayer to retain an expert in the field and submit data affecting value to the assessor.
8. No opinion is intended to be expressed for legal matters or that would require specialized investigation or knowledge beyond that ordinarily employed by real estate appraisers, although such matters may be discussed in the report.
9. Maps, plats and exhibits included herein are for illustration only, as an aid in visualizing matters discussed within the report. They should not be considered as surveys or relied upon for any other purpose.
10. The appraisal is the valuation of the fee simple interest. Unless shown on the Assessor's parcel maps, easements adversely affecting property value were not considered.
11. An attempt to segregate personal property from the real estate in this appraisal has been made.
12. Items which are considered to be "typical finish" and generally included in a real property transfer, but are legally considered leasehold improvements are included in the valuation unless otherwise noted.
13. The movable equipment and/or fixtures have not been appraised as part of the real estate. The identifiable permanently fixed equipment has been appraised in accordance with RCW 84.04.090 and WAC 458-12-010.
14. I have considered the effect of value of those anticipated public and private improvements of which I have common knowledge. I can make no special effort to contact the various jurisdictions to determine the extent of their public improvements.
15. Exterior inspections were made of all properties in the physical inspection areas (outlined in the body of the report) however; due to lack of access and time few received interior inspections.

## Scope of Work Performed:

Research and analyses performed are identified in the body of the revaluation report. The assessor has no access to title reports and other documents. Because of legal limitations we did not research such items as easements, restrictions, encumbrances, leases, reservations, covenants, contracts, declarations and special assessments. Disclosure of interior home features and, actual income and expenses by property owners is not a requirement by law therefore attempts to obtain and analyze this information are not always successful. The mass appraisal performed must be completed in the time limits indicated in the Revaluation Plan and as budgeted. The scope of work performed and disclosure of research and analyses not performed are identified throughout the body of the report.

## CERTIFICATION:

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct
- The report analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- The area(s) physically inspected for purposes of this revaluation are outlined in the body of this report.
- The individuals listed below were part of the "appraisal team" and provided significant real property appraisal assistance to the person signing this certification.

The King County Assessor, as elected representative of the people of King County, is your client for the mass appraisal and report. The King County Department of Assessments subscribes to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice 2008. You will perform your appraisals and complete your mass appraisal reports in compliance with Standard 6 of USPAP 2008. The following are your appraisal instructions and conditions:

You are to timely appraise the area or properties assigned to you by the revalue plan. The Scope of Work may be modified as necessary including special limiting conditions to complete the Revalue Plan.

You are to use all appropriate mass appraisal techniques as stated in USPAP, Washington State Law; Washington State Administrative Code, IAAO texts or classes.

The standard for validation models is the standard as delineated by IAAO in their Standard on Ratio Studies (approved July 2007). You are to follow all other standards as published by the IAAO.

Appraise land as if vacant and available for development to its highest and best use. The improvements are to be valued at their contribution to the total.

You must complete the revalue in compliance with all Washington and King County laws, codes and with due consideration of Department of Revenue guidelines. The Jurisdictional Exception is to be invoked in case USPAP does not agree with federal, state or local laws or regulations

Physical inspections should be completed per the revaluation plan and statistical updates completed on the remainder of the properties as appropriate.

You must complete a written mass appraisal report for each area and a statistical update report in compliance with USPAP Standard 6.

All sales of land and improved properties should be validated as correct and verified with participants as necessary. Continue to review dollar per square foot as a check and balance to assessment value.

The intended use of the appraisal and report is the administration of ad valorem property taxation.
The intended users include the Assessor, Board of Equalization, Board of Tax Appeals, King County Prosecutor and Department of Revenue.


Scott Noble
Assessor


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Market Value Principles in a time of Economic Crisis, A Position Paper of the International Association of Assessing Officers", by the IAAO Technical Standards Committee. Draft 2- March 3, 2009; posted to the IAAO website March 9, 2009

