REGULATORY NOTE CHECKLIST OF CRITERIA

Proposed No.:	2018 Prepared By: <u>Ivan Miller</u>
	Date: March 1, 2018
Yes No N/A [X] [] []	NEED: Does the proposed regulation respond to a specific, identifiable need? The proposed legislation addresses a clear need to restructure the Community Service Area Subarea Planning Program to ensure that plans are developed through a strong planning process and are of sufficient quality that the County Council can consider them for review and adoption.
[X] [] []	If so, is county government the most appropriate organization to address this need? The Community Service Area Subarea Planning Program is a County program and it is appropriate for the County address this need.
[] [X] []	ECONOMY & JOB GROWTH: Has the economic impact of the proposed regulation been reviewed to ensure it will not have a long-term adverse impact on the economy and job growth in King County? There is no significant near term economic impact of the proposed legislation. Long term impacts are to be net-positive as the County engages with residents regarding the needs in their subareas.
[X] [] []	PURPOSE: Is the purpose of the proposed ordinance clear? The proposed legislation has a clear and narrow focus – the restructuring of the Community Service Area Subarea Planning Program.
[X] [] []	Are the steps for implementation clear? The steps for implementation are clear – Executive transmittal; Council review and adoption; Executive implementation through the restructured program. Importantly, the implementation is improved after the Executive and Council have reached agreement on the restructured process.
[] [] [X]	EVALUATION: Does the proposed ordinance identify specific measurable outcomes that the proposed regulation should achieve?

Yes No N/A [] [] [X]	Is an evaluation process identified?
[] [] [X]	INTERESTED PARTIES: Has adequate collaboration occurred with all those affected by the proposed regulation (including the public, the regulated and the regulators)? The restructure of the County program has been internal. As the Council reviews the proposed restructure, there will be opportunities for public input.
[X] [] []	COSTS & BENEFITS: Will the proposed regulation achieve the goal with the minimum cost and burden? The restructured program will have greater certainty and agreement on the approach and the outcomes; this will reduce rework and improve the cost benefit ratio.
[] [] [X]	Has the cost of not adopting the proposed regulation been considered? The Council directed the program be restructured, hence, the cost of not acting was not considered.
[X] [] []	Do the benefits of the proposed regulations outweigh the costs? The restructured program will have greater certainty and agreement on the approach and the outcomes; this will reduce rework and improve the cost benefit ratio.
[] [] [X]	VOLUNTARY COMPLIANCE: Does the proposed ordinance inspire voluntary compliance?
[X] [] []	CLARITY: Is the proposed ordinance written clearly and concisely, without ambiguities?
[] [] [X]	CONSISTENCY: Is the proposed regulation consistent with existing federal, state and local statutes? Subarea planning is optional under the Washington State Growth Management Act. Plans are adopted as elements of the Comprehensive Plan and are required to be consistent.