ATTACHMENT 5 - Floodplain Analysis and Delineation and King County Flood Hazard Certificate, David Evans and Associates, Inc.



King County Flood Hazard Certification

Project Name: <u>Lakeside Industries - Maple Valley Asphalt Plan</u>

Parcel Number(s): 1923069026 DPER Permit Number: GRDE17-0069

This form is current as of August 29, 2013.

Section A.1 (to be completed by applicant or applicant's engineer)

The goal of Section A.1 is to identify the type and location of the flood hazards on the project parcel and identify study requirements. If the proposed project does not meet the exemptions listed under Zero-Rise Analysis, Compensatory Storage Analysis, or Base Flood Depth and Base Flood Velocity Analysis, then you may need to do the specific analysis. If there are flooding issues on the project parcel, but they are not mapped, then you may need to do the specific analysis.

Find FEMA Map information at <u>http://www.kingcounty.gov/environment/waterandland/flooding/maps.aspx</u> or go directly to FEMA's site <u>https://msc.fema.gov</u>

The proposed development site lies at least partially within the King County regulatory floodplain based on review and determination from any of the following sources:

FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM): Panel # Panel date:	
---	--

Special Study as required by section 4.4.2 of the King County Surface Water Design Manual

□ Other: (please note source)___

Zero-Rise Analysis

Based on section 21A.24.250 of the King County Code, and section 4.4.2 of the King County Surface Water Design Manual, the proposed development cannot create a measurable change to the water surface elevation or energy grade line for the 100year flood event (base flood elevation). This is to be determined and certified by a registered professional engineer using standard methods and practices accepted by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP) and will be referred to as a "zero-rise analysis".

Based on a review of the potential impacts of this project, a "zero-rise analysis":

- ☑ Is required. Completion of Section B of this form by a professional engineer licensed in the State of Washington is a condition of the issuance of this permit.
- □ Is not required for the following reasons:
 - **□** Elevating or improvement to an existing structure without increasing the foundation footprint of the structure.
 - □ Post and pier foundation system with no significant impedance to flow.
 - □ Coastal "A", "VE", "AE" zone.
 - □ Shallow flooding area (AO/AH zone) not adjacent to a riverine system. (Explain)
 - □ Ineffective flow area. (Explain)
 - □ Proposed project lies within a hydraulic shadow. (explain)
 - □ Other (explain)

Compensatory Storage Analysis:

Based on section 21A.24.240 of the King County Code, the proposed development cannot reduce the effective base flood storage volume of the floodplain, and must provide compensatory storage if grading or other activity displaces any effective flood storage volume. This is to be determined and certified by a registered professional engineer using standard methods and practices accepted by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP) and will be referred to as a "compensatory storage analysis".

Based on a review of the potential impacts of this project, a "compensatory storage analysis":

- Is required. Completion of Section B of this form by a professional engineer licensed in the State of Washington is a
 condition of the issuance of this permit.
 - Is not required for the following reasons:
 - **□** Elevating or improvement to an existing structure without increasing the foundation footprint of the structure.
 - **D** Post and pier foundation system with no significant reduction in flood storage.
 - Grading or fill placed within the foundation of an existing residential structure to bring the interior foundation grade to , the same level as the lowest adjacent exterior grade.
 - the same level as the lowest adjacent ex
 - Other (explain)

Fill will not be placed within the floodplain

Base Flood Depth and Base Flood Velocity Analysis

Based on section 21A.24.240 of the King County Code, development proposals and alterations are not allowed if the base flood depth exceeds three feet and the base flood velocity exceeds three feet per second. This is to be determined and certified by a registered professional engineer using standard methods and practices accepted by the King County Department of Natural Resources and Parks (DNRP) and will be referred to as a "base flood depth and base flood velocity analysis".

Based on a review of the potential impacts of this project, a "base flood depth and base flood velocity analysis":

- Is required. Completion of Section B of this form by a professional engineer licensed in the State of Washington is a
 , condition of the issuance of this permit.
- Is not required for the following reasons:
 - The structure is an agricultural structure and will not be used for human habitation.
 - Elevating or improvement an existing structure without increasing the foundation footprint of the structure.
 - ☑ Other (explain)

Development is not occuring within the floodplain.

Submitted by: _

Applicant or Applicant's Engineer

Section A.2 (to be completed by the DPER Drainage Engineer when applicable)

DPER Drainage Engineer Certification

- □ (For sites in unmapped flood hazard areas) The development proposal site is not within the unmapped flood hazard area based on inspection of the site, and therefore further flood hazard review is not required.
- □ The development proposal does not involve any site disturbance, clearing, or grading, and therefore only requires a permit or approval under K.C.C. chapter 16.04 or 17.04. Further flood hazard review is not required.

Reviewed by:

Date: ____

Date: _____

DPER Drainage Engineer

Section B (to be completed by the applicant's engineer)

The goal of Section B is to identify and present which analytical methodologies were used to demonstrate compliance with the King County Code. This section shall be completed by an engineer licensed in the State of Washington when an analysis is required per Section A.

I have considered the hazards represented on Panel <u>53033C1004 F</u> of the Flood Insurance Study for King County, dated <u>May 16</u>, <u>1995</u>, and the supporting documentation for DPER Permit Number <u>GRDE17-0069</u>. I have also searched for and considered all other available information including: Preliminary Flood Insurance Rate Maps (P-FIRMs); Preliminary Flood Insurance Studies; Draft flood boundary work maps and associated technical reports; Critical areas reports prepared in accordance with FEMA standards set forth at 44 C.F.R. Part 65 and consistent with the King County Surface Water Design Manual provisions for floodplain analysis set forth at section 4.4.2; Letter of Map Amendments (LOMAs); Letter of Map Revisions (LOMRs); Channel migration zone maps and studies; Historical flood hazard information; and Site topography and ground elevations. All sources are clearly identified in the attached report. In addition, I have created new data where existing sources are not sufficient to assure compliance, and the attached report clearly documents my methods and assumptions.

I certify that the attached technical data supports the fact that this submitted design will meet requirements for protection of floodplain storage and floodplain conveyance, as well as base flood depth and base flood velocity requirements, as set forth in King County Code, Title 21A. Compliance is achieved as described below.

Code Requirement	Analytical Methodology (check one or more)	Engineering Certification Required?
No impact to 100-year flood elevations, floodway elevations and	 Hand calculations showing that flood conveyance (K=1.49/n AR^{2/3}) will equal or exceed existing values at every location. 	Yes
floodway widths (no encroachments or obstruction of floodwaters). No reduction in floodplain conveyance	HEC-RAS analysis showing that neither the water surface nor the energy grade will rise by even 0.01 feet at any location when proposed conditions are compared to existing conditions. See attached information	Yes
both onsite and on adjacent properties, during 100-year flood event ("zero-rise" floodplain).	□ Other. See attached information.	Yes
Compensatory floodplain storage provided (no net fill).	 Volumetric calculations to show that compensatory storage provides equivalent volume at equivalent elevations to that being displaced, and is hydraulically connected to the source of flooding. For this purpose, equivalent elevations means having similar relationship to ordinary high water and to the best available ten-year, fifty-year and one-hundred-year water surface profiles; 	Yes
	□ Other. See attached information.	Yes
Base flood depth does not exceed 3 feet or base flood velocity does not	Base flood depth and base flood velocity mapping and data show less than 3 feet depth or less than a velocity of 3 feet per second at the project location.	Yes
exceed 3 feet per second.	□ Other. See attached information.	Yes

Attached are all support data and calculations.

Professional Engineer's stamp, if methodology requires certification.
RELINE OF WASHINGS

Kam (m) 3

Signature

August 30, 2018

Date

Karen Comings, Water Resources Engineer Name and Title

David Evans and Associates, Inc.

14432 SE Eastgate Way, Suite 400 Address

Bellevue, WA 98007 City, State, Zip

Section C (to be completed by the DNRP, RFMS engineer)

Based on a review of the subject development proposal, the River and Floodplain Management Section of the Department of Natural Resources and Parks determines the following:

- □ No flood hazard analysis is required.
- □ A flood hazard analysis is required and the development proposal meets the zero rise, compensatory storage, and base flood depth and base flood velocity requirements of King County Code 21A.24.240, 21A.24.250, 21A.24.260 and the King County Surface Water Design Manual Section 4.4.2. This determination does not include a review of the other flood hazard areas standards in King County Code 21A.24.240, 21A.24.250, 21A.24.260, 21A.24.270, and 21A.24.272.
- □ A flood hazard analysis is required and the development proposal meets the zero rise, compensatory storage, and base flood depth and base flood velocity requirements of King County Code 21A.24.24.240, 21A.24.250, 21A.24.260 and the King County Surface Water Design Manual Section 4.4.2; however this approval is with additional comments or conditions (DNRP, RFMS shall provide comments in an e-mail or another written format to DPER).
- A flood hazard analysis is required and the development proposal *does not* meet the zero rise, compensatory storage, and base flood depth and base flood velocity requirements of King County Code 21A.24.24.240, 21A.24.250, 21A.24.260 and the King County Surface Water Design Manual Section 4.4.2.

Reason(s) not approved:

Reviewed by:

DNRP, RFMS Engineer

Date: _____



DATE:	September 7, 2018
то:	Rick Tomkins DEA Project Manager
FROM:	Karen Comings, P.E. Water Resources Engineer
SUBJECT:	Floodplain Analysis and Delineation
PROJECT:	Lakeside Industries – Maple Valley Asphalt Plant
CC:	

1. Introduction

Lakeside Industries, Inc. is planning to construct a new asphalt plant on a site east of the City of Renton in King County, Washington. The site is along Renton – Maple Valley Highway in Section 19 of Township 23 North, Range 6 East. Figure 1 shows the site location relative to the City of Renton and the Cedar River.



FIGURE 1. PROJECT LOCATION

The project property is at the bottom of a hillslope that extends across the southerly portion of the site. Runoff from this hillslope forms a narrow linear wetland (Wetland A) that flows from east to west along the south side of the proposed development area. This linear wetland drains through a 54" concrete culvert and emerges as a stream (Stream B). Near the southwest corner of the property a more well defined stream channel (Stream A) flows down the hillslope and merges with flow from Stream B. With combined flow from Stream A, Stream B continues north along the western boundary of the property. Under existing



DATE:	September 7, 2018	FROM:	Karen Comings, P.E.
TO:	Rick Tomkins	SUBJECT:	Floodplain Analysis

conditions, runoff from the project area is collected at field inlets across the property and conveyed to Stream B via pipes to a discharge point along the west edge of the site. The proposed project will infiltrate on-site stormwater eliminating this direct discharge point. At the northwest corner of the property, the flow enters a wetland (Wetland C) and then discharges west into the Renton-Maple Valley Highway roadside ditch before crossing the highway in an off-site culvert further west and discharging to the Cedar River. These channels are illustrated on the map included as Attachment 1.

Stream C is a third channel associated with the property. Stream C is sourced from a groundwater seep in the hillside on the north side of the property. Stream C flows out from bottom of the hillslope and directly into the roadside ditch along the south side of Renton-Maple Valley Highway. The roadside ditch is considerably lower than the buildable area of the property. Because this channel is from a hillside seep, drains directly to a roadside ditch, and will not be affected by the project, a floodplain was not delineated for Stream C as part of this analysis.

Floodplains for the streams associated with this property are not mapped on Department of Homeland Security – Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). The Cedar River floodplain is shown on the FIRMs but the Cedar River floodplain does not cross Renton – Maple Valley Highway. A firmette of this section on the FIRM is provided as Attachment 2.

Hydrologic and Hydraulic analyses were conducted for Wetland A and Stream B to delineate the 100-year floodplain for this channel. Stream A is not directly adjacent to the proposed development area and so a floodplain was not delineated for this channel. Flow from Stream A was included in the hydraulic modeling for Stream B.

2. Hydrologic Analysis

Return period flows were determined using the hydrologic software MGSFlood. Three basins were delineated, one for Stream A flow, one for Stream B flow, and one for the on-site runoff. Figure 2 shows the drainage basin areas and relevant characteristics for the hydrologic modeling. Hydrologic Soil Groups were obtained from the Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey. The Soil Resources Report is provided in Attachment 3. Table 1 shows the stream flow results that were used as input to the hydraulic model. Output reports from MGSFlood are included as Attachment 4.

	Cross Section ¹	2-Year (cfs)	10-Year (cfs)	50-Year (cfs)	100-Year (cfs)	200-Year (cfs)
Wetland A and Stream B	1155	1.77	2.87	4.31	6.45	6.87
Wetland A, Stream B and	443	3.14	5.02	7.84	11.87	12.56
Stream A combined						
Wetland A, Stream B , Stream	308	5.79	8.79	12.34	16.89	18.48
A and On-Site						

TABLE 1. FLOW VALUES USED FOR HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

1. The HEC-RAS cross-section where flows were applied.



BasinArea		sinArea	Existing Co	nditions Drainage Basins		
\mathbf{N}			Lakeside Industries - Maple Valley		56	
0	250	500	750	LKSD0000002	Figure 2	
	230		Feet	August 2018		ANDASSUCIATES



This map was created by David Evans and Associates, Inc. (DEA) for Lakeside Industries. Accuracy and currency depend upon the source data at the time it is acquired. DEA makes no representation or warranty as to the correctness of the information depicted on this map. It is intended for limited planning purposes as agreed to between DEA and its client and is not suitable for design, survey, construction, or other uses or for other projects. It is strictly forbidden to modify, sell, distribute or reproduce this map for any reason without the written consent of DEA.



DATE: September 7, 2018

TO: Rick Tomkins

FROM:Karen Comings, P.E.SUBJECT:Floodplain Analysis

3. Hydraulic Analysis

A hydraulic model of Wetland A and Stream B was developed using the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Hydraulic Engineering Centers River Analysis System (HEC-RAS) software version 5.0.4. The existing conditions model geometry was determined from survey data taken of the site topography including the bordering channels. The geometry of Wetland A and the upstream section of Stream B along the south side of the project site consists of a steep left bank from the southern hillslope. The right bank is formed by a berm that was likely created during past grading of the site. Along the south side of the site, the right overbank area is generally lower than the channel bottom under existing conditions. Within the hydraulic model, a levee marker was placed along the top of the berm to prevent the model from using the right overbank as the low point of the channel. The hydraulic model shows that the 100-year floodplain is contained within the channel by the berm. However, because the overbank area is lower than the channel, it is possible that, during high flow conditions, water could seep through the berm and onto the site. If this were to happen, the water on-site would be collected by inlet drains across the site and discharged back to the channel at a point along the west edge of the site.

Under proposed conditions, the overbank area will be raised and graded so that the overbank within the stream buffer slopes toward the channel. This will restore the overbank morphology to natural conditions and eliminate the issue of leakage through the berm.

Wetland A drains through a culvert just upstream of the confluence with Stream A and emerges as Stream B. This culvert is included in the hydraulic model, though the project will not modify the culvert.

Downstream of the confluence with Stream A, along the west side of the site, Stream B becomes entrenched with a depth from thalweg to bank of about five feet.

4. No-Rise Analysis

The Proposed plan for the site will improve the right channel bank within the stream buffer by providing an overbank that slopes toward the channel within the stream buffer area. This will improve the channel and floodplain morphology. Buffer restoration activities will not occur within the active channel, and no buildings will be constructed within the floodplain. A comparison of the existing 100-year water surface elevations and energy grade lines to the proposed project shows that the proposed project will not cause a rise of the 100-year floodplain. This comparison is shown in Table 2. Output data tables from the HEC-RAS model are provided as Attachment 5.



DATE: September 7, 2018

FROM: Karen Comings, P.E.

TO: Rick Tomkins

SUBJECT: Floodplain Analysis

TABLE 2. COMPARISION OF EXISTING TO PROPOSED 100-YEAR WATER SURFACE ELEVATIONS

Cross Section No.	Existing WSEL (ft)	Proposed WSEL (ft)	Change in WSEL (ft)	Existing EGL (ft)	Proposed EGL (ft)	Change in EGL (ft)
1155	172.36	172.36	0	172.39	172.39	0
1076	171.63	171.62	-0.01	171.90	171.90	0
1002	171.73	171.72	-0.01	171.73	171.73	0
917	171.59	171.59	0	171.65	171.65	0
834	171.42	171.42	0	171.43	171.43	0
756	171.35	171.35	0	171.36	171.36	0
678	170.84	170.83	-0.01	171.16	171.16	0
Culvert						
598	170.67	170.46	-0.21	170.68	170.47	-0.21
549	170.67	170.46	-0.21	170.67	170.46	-0.21
486	170.56	170.41	-0.15	170.63	170.42	-0.21
443	169.56	169.56	0	169.74	169.74	0
375	166.83	166.83	0	167.16	167.16	0
308	166.35	166.35	0	166.39	166.39	0
237	165.72	165.72	0	165.97	165.97	0
161	164.75	164.75	0	164.82	164.82	0
109	163.67	163.67	0	163.98	163.98	0
19	160.51	160.51	0	160.54	160.54	0

5. Floodplain Delineation

Once the water surface elevations were determined for the 100-year flow, the floodplain was mapped onto the site plan layout. This map is provided as Attachment 6. The 100-year floodplain is contained within the channel due to the very small flows that Wetland A and Stream B receive and due to the previously placed berm along the south side of the project site. There is some overbank flow at the southwest corner of the property within the channel buffer.

Attachments/Enclosures:

Attachment 1 – Flow Path Map Attachment 2 – Firmette Attachment 3 – Soil Report Attachment 4 – MGSFlood Output Reports Attachment 5 – HEC-RAS Output Data Attachment 6 – 100-year Floodplain Map







United States Department of Agriculture

NRCS

Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for **King County Area**, **Washington**

Lakeside Industries - Maple Valley



Preface

Soil surveys contain information that affects land use planning in survey areas. They highlight soil limitations that affect various land uses and provide information about the properties of the soils in the survey areas. Soil surveys are designed for many different users, including farmers, ranchers, foresters, agronomists, urban planners, community officials, engineers, developers, builders, and home buyers. Also, conservationists, teachers, students, and specialists in recreation, waste disposal, and pollution control can use the surveys to help them understand, protect, or enhance the environment.

Various land use regulations of Federal, State, and local governments may impose special restrictions on land use or land treatment. Soil surveys identify soil properties that are used in making various land use or land treatment decisions. The information is intended to help the land users identify and reduce the effects of soil limitations on various land uses. The landowner or user is responsible for identifying and complying with existing laws and regulations.

Although soil survey information can be used for general farm, local, and wider area planning, onsite investigation is needed to supplement this information in some cases. Examples include soil quality assessments (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/main/soils/health/) and certain conservation and engineering applications. For more detailed information, contact your local USDA Service Center (https://offices.sc.egov.usda.gov/locator/app?agency=nrcs) or your NRCS State Soil Scientist (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/contactus/? cid=nrcs142p2_053951).

Great differences in soil properties can occur within short distances. Some soils are seasonally wet or subject to flooding. Some are too unstable to be used as a foundation for buildings or roads. Clayey or wet soils are poorly suited to use as septic tank absorption fields. A high water table makes a soil poorly suited to basements or underground installations.

The National Cooperative Soil Survey is a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local agencies. The Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has leadership for the Federal part of the National Cooperative Soil Survey.

Information about soils is updated periodically. Updated information is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey, the site for official soil survey information.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or a part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require

alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write to USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents

Preface	2
Soil Map	5
Soil Map	6
Legend	7
Map Unit Legend	8
Map Unit Descriptions	8
King County Area, Washington	10
AgC—Alderwood gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	10
AkF—Alderwood and Kitsap soils, very steep	11
BeC—Beausite gravelly sandy loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes	13
Or—Orcas peat	14
Pc—Pilchuck loamy fine sand	15
Py—Puyallup fine sandy loam	16
Rh-Riverwash	17
Ur—Urban land	18
W—Water	18
References	19

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of Int	terest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1:24,000.
Soils		0	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Man may not be valid at this scale
	Soil Map Unit Polygons	10	Wet Spot	Warning. Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	~	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special	Point Features	Water Fea	tures	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed
<u></u>	Biowout	~	Streams and Canals	Stale.
×	BOLLOW HIL	Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
×	Clay Spot	++++	Rails	measurements.
\diamond	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Man: Natural Resources Conservation Service
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:
0 0 0	Gravelly Spot	\sim	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Ô	Landfill	~	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
A.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	nd	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
عله	Marsh or swamp	No.	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
~	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.
\vee	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: King County Area. Washington
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 13, Sep 7, 2017
**	Sandy Spot			Soil man units are labeled (as snace allows) for man scales
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.
۵	Sinkhole			Data(c) agrial images were photographed: Jul 8, 2014 Jul 15
ې ک	Slide or Slip			2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014 2014
<i>m</i>	Sodic Spot			
				compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
AgC	Alderwood gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes	216.2	51.1%
AkF	Alderwood and Kitsap soils, very steep	84.2	19.9%
BeC	Beausite gravelly sandy loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes	1.1	0.3%
Or	Orcas peat	2.4	0.6%
Pc	Pilchuck loamy fine sand	31.6	7.5%
Ру	Puyallup fine sandy loam	4.5	1.1%
Rh	Riverwash	27.7	6.5%
Ur	Urban land	47.7	11.3%
W	Water	7.8	1.9%
Totals for Area of Interest		423.2	100.0%

Map Unit Legend

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor

components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

King County Area, Washington

AgC—Alderwood gravelly sandy loam, 8 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2t626 Elevation: 50 to 800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 20 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 46 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 160 to 240 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Alderwood and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Alderwood

Setting

Landform: Ridges, hills Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder Landform position (three-dimensional): Nose slope, talf Down-slope shape: Linear, convex Across-slope shape: Convex Parent material: Glacial drift and/or glacial outwash over dense glaciomarine deposits

Typical profile

A - 0 to 7 inches: gravelly sandy loam Bw1 - 7 to 21 inches: very gravelly sandy loam Bw2 - 21 to 30 inches: very gravelly sandy loam Bg - 30 to 35 inches: very gravelly sandy loam 2Cd1 - 35 to 43 inches: very gravelly sandy loam 2Cd2 - 43 to 59 inches: very gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 8 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 39 inches to densic material
Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 37 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 2.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s Hydrologic Soil Group: B Forage suitability group: Limited Depth Soils (G002XN302WA), Limited Depth Soils (G002XS301WA), Limited Depth Soils (G002XF303WA) Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Everett

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Kames, eskers, moraines Landform position (two-dimensional): Shoulder, footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Crest, base slope Down-slope shape: Convex Across-slope shape: Convex Hydric soil rating: No

Indianola

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Eskers, kames, terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

Shalcar

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Depressions Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

Norma

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions, drainageways Landform position (three-dimensional): Dip Down-slope shape: Concave, linear Across-slope shape: Concave Hydric soil rating: Yes

AkF—Alderwood and Kitsap soils, very steep

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1hmsn Elevation: 50 to 800 feet Mean annual precipitation: 25 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 160 to 220 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Alderwood and similar soils: 50 percent *Kitsap and similar soils:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Alderwood

Setting

Landform: Moraines, till plains Parent material: Basal till with some volcanic ash

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 12 inches: gravelly ashy sandy loam *H2 - 12 to 27 inches:* very gravelly sandy loam *H3 - 27 to 60 inches:* very gravelly sandy loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 25 to 70 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 24 to 40 inches to densic material
Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very low to moderately low (0.00 to 0.06 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 37 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Very low (about 2.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: B Hydric soil rating: No

Description of Kitsap

Setting

Landform: Terraces Parent material: Lacustrine deposits with a minor amount of volcanic ash

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 5 inches: ashy silt loam

H2 - 5 to 24 inches: ashy silt loam

H3 - 24 to 60 inches: stratified silt to silty clay loam

Properties and qualities

Slope: 25 to 70 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Moderately well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 18 to 36 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: High (about 11.4 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 7e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Hydric soil rating: No

BeC—Beausite gravelly sandy loam, 6 to 15 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1hmss Elevation: 0 to 1,500 feet Mean annual precipitation: 30 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 160 to 220 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Beausite and similar soils: 95 percent Minor components: 5 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Beausite

Setting

Parent material: Till over residuum from sandstone

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: gravelly ashy sandy loam H2 - 6 to 19 inches: gravelly ashy sandy loam H3 - 19 to 38 inches: very gravelly sandy loam H4 - 38 to 42 inches: bedrock

Properties and qualities

Slope: 6 to 15 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: 24 to 40 inches to lithic bedrock
Natural drainage class: Well drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately high to high (0.57 to 1.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: None
Available water storage in profile: Low (about 3.5 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s Hydrologic Soil Group: C Forage suitability group: Droughty Soils (G002XF403WA) Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Norma

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes Seattle

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Or—Orcas peat

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1hmtl Elevation: 10 to 1,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 27 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 160 to 180 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if drained

Map Unit Composition

Orcas and similar soils: 75 percent Minor components: 25 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

Description of Orcas

Setting

Landform: Depressions Parent material: Mossy organic material

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 6 inches: peat *H2 - 6 to 60 inches:* peat

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 1 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Very poorly drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Very high (19.98 to 99.90 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 0 inches
Frequency of flooding: None
Frequency of ponding: Frequent
Available water storage in profile: Very high (about 26.9 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 6w Hydrologic Soil Group: A/D Forage suitability group: Wet Soils (G002XN102WA) Hydric soil rating: Yes

Minor Components

Seattle

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Tukwila

Percent of map unit: 10 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Bellingham

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Pc—Pilchuck loamy fine sand

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1hmtr Elevation: 0 to 820 feet Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 48 to 52 degrees F Frost-free period: 160 to 210 days Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated

Map Unit Composition

Pilchuck and similar soils: 90 percent *Minor components:* 10 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Pilchuck

Setting

Landform: Flood plains, terraces Parent material: Gravelly and sandy alluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 20 inches: loamy fine sand H2 - 20 to 38 inches: loamy fine sand H3 - 38 to 60 inches: gravelly sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Natural drainage class: Excessively drained
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High to very high (5.95 to 19.98 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 24 to 48 inches
Frequency of flooding: Occasional

Frequency of ponding: None *Available water storage in profile:* Low (about 3.3 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 4s Hydrologic Soil Group: A Forage suitability group: Droughty Soils (G002XN402WA) Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Oridia

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Briscot

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Flood plains Hydric soil rating: Yes

Py—Puyallup fine sandy loam

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 1hmtv Elevation: 0 to 820 feet Mean annual precipitation: 35 to 60 inches Mean annual air temperature: 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 170 to 200 days Farmland classification: All areas are prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Puyallup and similar soils: 75 percent *Minor components:* 25 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Puyallup

Setting

Landform: Terraces, flood plains Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 8 inches: ashy fine sandy loam *H2 - 8 to 34 inches:* very fine sandy loam *H3 - 34 to 60 inches:* sand

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 2 percent Depth to restrictive feature: 20 to 40 inches to strongly contrasting textural stratification Natural drainage class: Well drained

Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): High (1.98 to 5.95 in/hr) Depth to water table: About 48 to 60 inches

Frequency of flooding: Occasional *Frequency of ponding:* None *Available water storage in profile:* Low (about 4.7 inches)

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 3w Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3w Hydrologic Soil Group: A Forage suitability group: Droughty Soils (G002XN402WA) Hydric soil rating: No

Minor Components

Briscot

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Newberg

Percent of map unit: 6 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Woodinville

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Nooksack

Percent of map unit: 3 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

Oridia

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Depressions Hydric soil rating: Yes

Rh—Riverwash

Map Unit Composition

Riverwash: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Riverwash

Setting

Landform: Drainageways Parent material: Alluvium

Typical profile

H1 - 0 to 60 inches: Error

Properties and qualities

Slope: 0 to 3 percent Depth to water table: About 0 to 24 inches Frequency of flooding: Frequent

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydric soil rating: Yes

Ur—Urban land

Map Unit Composition

Urban land: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Urban Land

Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): None specified Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 8 Hydric soil rating: No

W-Water

Map Unit Composition

Water: 100 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

References

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO). 2004. Standard specifications for transportation materials and methods of sampling and testing. 24th edition.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM). 2005. Standard classification of soils for engineering purposes. ASTM Standard D2487-00.

Cowardin, L.M., V. Carter, F.C. Golet, and E.T. LaRoe. 1979. Classification of wetlands and deep-water habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service FWS/OBS-79/31.

Federal Register. July 13, 1994. Changes in hydric soils of the United States.

Federal Register. September 18, 2002. Hydric soils of the United States.

Hurt, G.W., and L.M. Vasilas, editors. Version 6.0, 2006. Field indicators of hydric soils in the United States.

National Research Council. 1995. Wetlands: Characteristics and boundaries.

Soil Survey Division Staff. 1993. Soil survey manual. Soil Conservation Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 18. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_054262

Soil Survey Staff. 1999. Soil taxonomy: A basic system of soil classification for making and interpreting soil surveys. 2nd edition. Natural Resources Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 436. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2_053577

Soil Survey Staff. 2010. Keys to soil taxonomy. 11th edition. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/?cid=nrcs142p2 053580

Tiner, R.W., Jr. 1985. Wetlands of Delaware. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Wetlands Section.

United States Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers wetlands delineation manual. Waterways Experiment Station Technical Report Y-87-1.

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National forestry manual. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/soils/ home/?cid=nrcs142p2 053374

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National range and pasture handbook. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/ detail/national/landuse/rangepasture/?cid=stelprdb1043084

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. National soil survey handbook, title 430-VI. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/ nrcs/detail/soils/scientists/?cid=nrcs142p2_054242

United States Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2006. Land resource regions and major land resource areas of the United States, the Caribbean, and the Pacific Basin. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 296. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/wps/portal/nrcs/detail/national/soils/? cid=nrcs142p2_053624

United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service. 1961. Land capability classification. U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbook 210. http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_052290.pdf

Subbasin B

(Basin for Wetland A and Stream B)

MGS FLOOD PROJECT REPORT

Program Version: MGSFlood 4.46 Program License Number: 200410013 Project Simulation Performed on: 08/28/2018 7:35 AM Report Generation Date: 08/28/2018 7:35 AM

Input File Name:LakesideInd.fldProject Name:Lakeside IndustriesAnalysis Title:Flood Hazard CertificationComments:Comments:

– PRECIPITATION INPUT ——

Computational Time Step (Minutes): 60

Extended Precipitation Time Series Selected Climatic Region Number: 5

Full Period of Record Available used for RoutingPrecipitation Station :95004805 Puget West 48 in_5min 10/01/1939-10/01/2097Evaporation Station :951048 Puget West 48 in MAPEvaporation Scale Factor :0.750

HSPF Parameter Region Number:1HSPF Parameter Region Name :USGS Default

Predevelopment/Post Development Tributary Area Summary

F	Predeveloped	Post Developed
Total Subbasin Area (acres)	65.900	65.900
Area of Links that Include Precip/Evap (ad	cres) 0.000	0.000
Total (acres)	65.900	65.900

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED Number of Subbasins: 3

------ Subbasin : Subbasin A ------------Area (Acres) ------Outwash Forest 13.000 Outwash Grass 9.000 Impervious 4.000 Subbasin Total 26.000

Subbasin	: Subbasin B
/	Area (Acres)
Outwash Forest	15.100
Outwash Grass	10.000
Impervious	5.000
Subbasin Total	30.100

Subbasin :	On-Site Area
/	Area (Acres)
Till Grass	1.800
Impervious	8.000
Subbasin Total	9.800

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3

Subbasin	: Subbasin A
/	Area (Acres)
Outwash Forest	13.000
Outwash Grass	9.000
Impervious	4.000
Subbasin Total	26.000

Subbasin	: Subbasin B
	-Area (Acres)
Outwash Forest	15.100
Outwash Grass	10.000
Impervious	5.000
Subbasin Total	30.100

Subbasin : On-Site Area	
	Area (Acres)
Till Grass	1.800
Impervious	8.000

Subbasin Total 9.800

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED Number of Links: 1

Link Name: New Channel Lnk1

Link Type: Open Channel Downstream Link: None

Left Overbank Upper Sideslope (z) Upper Width (ft) Middle Sideslope (z) Middle Width (ft) Mannings n	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 10.000 : 10.000 : 0.040
Main Channel Lower Sideslope Left (z) Lower Width Left (ft) Lower Sideslope Right (z) Lower Width Right (ft) Mannings n Base Width (ft) Elevation (ft) Channel Slope (ft/ft) Channel Length (ft)	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 0.500 : 3.000 : 0.024 : 10.0 : 100.00 : 0.020 : 1000.0
Right Overbank Upper Sideslope (z) Upper Width (ft) Middle Sideslope (z) Middle Width (ft) Mannings n	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 10.000 : 10.000 : 0.040
Massmann Infiltration Option I Hydraulic Conductivity (in/hr) Depth to Water Table (ft) Bio-Fouling Potential Maintenance	Used : 0.0 : 100.0 : Low : Average or Better

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED Number of Links: 1

Link Name: New Channel Lnk1

Link Type: Open Channel Downstream Link: None

Left Overbank	
Upper Sideslope (z)	: 0.500
Upper Width (ft)	: 3.000
Middle Sideslope (z)	: 10.000
Middle Width (ft)	: 10.000
Mannings n	: 0.040

-----Main Channel

Lower Sideslope Left (z)	: 0.500
Lower Width Left (ft)	: 3.000
Lower Sideslope Right (z)	: 0.500
Lower Width Right (ft)	: 3.000
Mannings n	: 0.024
Base Width (ft)	: 10.0
Elevation (ft)	: 100.00
Channel Slope (ft/ft)	: 0.020
Channel Length (ft)	: 1000.0
Right Overbank	
Upper Sideslope (z)	: 0.500
Upper Width (ft)	: 3.000
Middle Sideslope (z)	: 10.000
Middle Width (ft)	: 10.000
Mannings n	: 0.040
Massmann Infiltration Option Us	sed
Hydraulic Conductivity (in/hr)	: 0.0
Depth to Water Table (ft)	: 100.0
Bio-Fouling Potential	: Low
Maintenance	: Average or Better

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3 Number of Links: 1

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3 Number of Links: 1

Total Predeveloped F Model Element	Recharge During Simulation Recharge Amount (ac-ft)
Subbasin: Subbasin A	8713.084
Subbasin: Subbasin B	9922.893
Subbasin: On-Site Area	240.883
Link: New Channel Lnk1	Not Computed
Total:	18876.860
Total Post Developed	Recharge During Simulation
Model Element	Recharge Amount (ac-ft)
Subbasin: Subbasin A	8713.084
Subbasin: Subbasin B	9922.893
Subbasin: On-Site Area	240.883
Link: New Channel Lnk1	Not Computed

Total: 18876.860

Total Predevelopment Recharge Equals Post Developed Average Recharge Per Year, (Number of Years= 158) Predeveloped: 119.474 ac-ft/year, Post Developed: 119.474 ac-ft/year

***********Water Quality Facility Data ***********************

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED

Number of Links: 1

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Links: 1

***********Compliance Point Results *************

Scenario Predeveloped Compliance Subbasin: Subbasin B

Scenario Postdeveloped Compliance Subbasin: Subbasin B

*** Point of Compliance Flow Frequency Data ***

Recurrence Interval Computed Using Gringorten Plotting Position

Predevelopment Runoff		Postdevelopment Runoff		
Tr (Years)	Discharge (cfs)	Tr (Years)	Discharge (cfs)	
2-Year	1.774	2-Year	1.774	
5-Year	2.378	5-Year	2.378	
10-Year	2.870	10-Year	2.870	
25-Year	3.740	25-Year	3.740	
50-Year	4.312	50-Year	4.312	
100-Year	6.450	100-Year	6.450	
200-Year	6.871	200-Year	6.871	

** Record too Short to Compute Peak Discharge for These Recurrence Intervals

**** Flow Duration Performance ****

Excursion at Predeveloped 50%Q2 (Must be Less Than or Equal to 0%):	0.0%	PASS
Maximum Excursion from 50%Q2 to Q2 (Must be Less Than or Equal to 0%):	0.1%	FAIL
Maximum Excursion from Q2 to Q50 (Must be less than 10%):	0.0%	PASS
Percent Excursion from Q2 to Q50 (Must be less than 50%):	2.7%	PASS

Subbasins A and B

(Basin for Combined Wetland A, Stream B and Stream A)

MGS FLOOD PROJECT REPORT

Program Version: MGSFlood 4.46 Program License Number: 200410013 Project Simulation Performed on: 08/28/2018 7:43 AM Report Generation Date: 08/28/2018 7:43 AM

Input File Name: Project Name: Analysis Title: Comments:	LakesideInd.fld Lakeside Industries Flood Hazard Certification	
Computational Time St	ep (Minutes): 60	
Extended Precipitation Time Series Selected Climatic Region Number: 5		
Full Period of Record Available used for RoutingPrecipitation Station :95004805 Puget West 48 in_5min 10/01/1939-10/01/2097Evaporation Station :951048 Puget West 48 in MAPEvaporation Scale Factor :0.750		
HSPF Parameter Region Number: 1 HSPF Parameter Region Name: USGS Default		

Predevelopment/Post Development Tributary Area Summary

	Predeveloped	Post Developed
Total Subbasin Area (acres)	65.900	65.900
Area of Links that Include Precip/Evap (a	cres) 0.000	0.000
Total (acres)	65.900	65.900

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED Number of Subbasins: 3

------ Subbasin : Subbasin A ------------Area (Acres) ------Outwash Forest 13.000 Outwash Grass 9.000 Impervious 4.000 Subbasin Total 26.000

Subbasin : Subbasin B		
/	Area (Acres)	
Outwash Forest	15.100	
Outwash Grass	10.000	
Impervious	5.000	
Subbasin Total	30.100	

Subbasin : On-Site Area		
/	Area (Acres)	
Till Grass	1.800	
Impervious	8.000	
Subbasin Total	9.800	

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3

Subbasin	: Subbasin A
/	Area (Acres)
Outwash Forest	13.000
Outwash Grass	9.000
Impervious	4.000
Subbasin Total	26.000

Subbasin : Subbasin B		
Area (Acres)		
Outwash Forest	15.100	
Outwash Grass	10.000	
Impervious	5.000	
Subbasin Total	30.100	

Subbasin : On-Site Area		
Area (Acres)		
Till Grass	1.800	
Impervious	8.000	

Subbasin Total 9.800

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED Number of Links: 1

Link Name: New Channel Lnk1

Link Type: Open Channel Downstream Link: None

Left Overbank Upper Sideslope (z) Upper Width (ft) Middle Sideslope (z) Middle Width (ft) Mannings n	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 10.000 : 10.000 : 0.040
Main Channel Lower Sideslope Left (z) Lower Width Left (ft) Lower Sideslope Right (z) Lower Width Right (ft) Mannings n Base Width (ft) Elevation (ft) Channel Slope (ft/ft) Channel Length (ft)	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 0.500 : 3.000 : 0.024 : 10.0 : 100.00 : 0.020 : 1000.0
Right Overbank Upper Sideslope (z) Upper Width (ft) Middle Sideslope (z) Middle Width (ft) Mannings n	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 10.000 : 10.000 : 0.040
Massmann Infiltration Option I Hydraulic Conductivity (in/hr) Depth to Water Table (ft) Bio-Fouling Potential Maintenance	Used : 0.0 : 100.0 : Low : Average or Better

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED Number of Links: 1

Link Name: New Channel Lnk1

Link Type: Open Channel Downstream Link: None

Left Overbank	
Upper Sideslope (z)	: 0.500
Upper Width (ft)	: 3.000
Middle Sideslope (z)	: 10.000
Middle Width (ft)	: 10.000
Mannings n	: 0.040

-----Main Channel

Lower Sideslope Left (z)	: 0.500
Lower Width Left (ft)	: 3.000
Lower Sideslope Right (z)	: 0.500
Lower Width Right (ft)	: 3.000
Mannings n	: 0.024
Base Width (ft)	: 10.0
Elevation (ft)	: 100.00
Channel Slope (ft/ft)	: 0.020
Channel Length (ft)	: 1000.0
Right Overbank	
Upper Sideslope (z)	: 0.500
Upper Width (ft)	: 3.000
Middle Sideslope (z)	: 10.000
Middle Width (ft)	: 10.000
Mannings n	: 0.040
Massmann Infiltration Option Us	sed
Hydraulic Conductivity (in/hr)	: 0.0
Depth to Water Table (ft)	: 100.0
Bio-Fouling Potential	: Low
Maintenance	: Average or Better

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3 Number of Links: 1

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3 Number of Links: 1

-

Total: 18876.860

Total Predevelopment Recharge Equals Post Developed Average Recharge Per Year, (Number of Years= 158) Predeveloped: 119.474 ac-ft/year, Post Developed: 119.474 ac-ft/year

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED

Number of Links: 1

*********** Link: New Channel Lnk1 **********

Infiltration/Filtration Statistics------Inflow Volume (ac-ft): 5055.52 Inflow Volume Including PPT-Evap (ac-ft): 5055.52 Total Runoff Infiltrated (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Total Runoff Filtered (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Primary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 5060.93 Secondary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 0.00 Percent Treated (Infiltrated+Filtered)/Total Volume: 0.00%

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Links: 1

*********** Link: New Channel Lnk1 **********

Infiltration/Filtration Statistics------Inflow Volume (ac-ft): 5055.52 Inflow Volume Including PPT-Evap (ac-ft): 5055.52 Total Runoff Infiltrated (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Total Runoff Filtered (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Primary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 5060.93 Secondary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 0.00 Percent Treated (Infiltrated+Filtered)/Total Volume: 0.00%

Prede	evelopment Runoff	Posto	levelopment Runoff	
Tr (Years)	Discharge (cfs)	Tr (Years)	Discharge (cfs)	
2-Year	3.138	2-Year	3.138	
5-Year	4.237	5-Year	4.237	
10-Year	5.023	10-Year	<u>5.023</u>	
25-Year	6.638	25-Year	6.638	
50-Year	7.841	50-Year	<mark>7.841</mark>	
100-Year	11.868	100-Year	<mark>11.868</mark>	
200-Year	12.556	200-Year	12.556	

** Record too Short to Compute Peak Discharge for These Recurrence Intervals

Subbasins A and B, and On-Site Area

(Basin for Combined Wetland A, Stream B, Stream A and On-Site Area)

MGS FLOOD PROJECT REPORT

Program Version: MGSFlood 4.46 Program License Number: 200410013 Project Simulation Performed on: 08/28/2018 7:31 AM Report Generation Date: 08/28/2018 7:31 AM

Input File Name: Project Name: Analysis Title: Comments:	LakesideInd.fld Lakeside Indus Flood Hazard C	tries Certification RECIPITATION INPUT	
Computational Time Step (Minutes): 60			
Extended Precipitation Time Series Selected Climatic Region Number: 5			
Full Period of Record Available used for RoutingPrecipitation Station :95004805 Puget West 48 in_5min 10/01/1939-10/01/2097Evaporation Station :951048 Puget West 48 in MAPEvaporation Scale Factor :0.750			
HSPF Parameter Region HSPF Parameter Region	n Number: n Name :	1 USGS Default	

Predevelopment/Post Development Tributary Area Summary

	Predeveloped	Post Developed		
Total Subbasin Area (acres)	65.900	65.900		
Area of Links that Include Precip/Evap (a	cres) 0.000	0.000		
Total (acres)	65.900	65.900		

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED Number of Subbasins: 3

------ Subbasin : Subbasin A ------------Area (Acres) ------Outwash Forest 13.000 Outwash Grass 9.000 Impervious 4.000 Subbasin Total 26.000

Subbasin	: Subbasin B
/	Area (Acres)
Outwash Forest	15.100
Outwash Grass	10.000
Impervious	5.000
Subbasin Total	30.100

Subbasin : On-Site Area					
/	Area (Acres)				
Till Grass	1.800				
Impervious	8.000				
Subbasin Total	9.800				

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3

Subbasin	: Subbasin A
/	Area (Acres)
Outwash Forest	13.000
Outwash Grass	9.000
Impervious	4.000
Subbasin Total	26.000

Subbasin	: Subbasin B
	-Area (Acres)
Outwash Forest	15.100
Outwash Grass	10.000
Impervious	5.000
Subbasin Total	30.100

Subbasin : On-Site Area				
	Area (Acres)			
Till Grass	1.800			
Impervious	8.000			

Subbasin Total 9.800

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED Number of Links: 1

Link Name: New Channel Lnk1

Link Type: Open Channel Downstream Link: None

Left Overbank Upper Sideslope (z) Upper Width (ft) Middle Sideslope (z) Middle Width (ft) Mannings n	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 10.000 : 10.000 : 0.040
Main Channel Lower Sideslope Left (z) Lower Width Left (ft) Lower Sideslope Right (z) Lower Width Right (ft) Mannings n Base Width (ft) Elevation (ft) Channel Slope (ft/ft) Channel Length (ft)	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 0.500 : 3.000 : 0.024 : 10.0 : 100.00 : 0.020 : 1000.0
Right Overbank Upper Sideslope (z) Upper Width (ft) Middle Sideslope (z) Middle Width (ft) Mannings n	: 0.500 : 3.000 : 10.000 : 10.000 : 0.040
Massmann Infiltration Option I Hydraulic Conductivity (in/hr) Depth to Water Table (ft) Bio-Fouling Potential Maintenance	Used : 0.0 : 100.0 : Low : Average or Better

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED Number of Links: 1

Link Name: New Channel Lnk1

Link Type: Open Channel Downstream Link: None

Left Overbank	
Upper Sideslope (z)	: 0.500
Upper Width (ft)	: 3.000
Middle Sideslope (z)	: 10.000
Middle Width (ft)	: 10.000
Mannings n	: 0.040

-----Main Channel

Lower Sideslope Left (z)	: 0.500
Lower Width Left (ft)	: 3.000
Lower Sideslope Right (z)	: 0.500
Lower Width Right (ft)	: 3.000
Mannings n	: 0.024
Base Width (ft)	: 10.0
Elevation (ft)	: 100.00
Channel Slope (ft/ft)	: 0.020
Channel Length (ft)	: 1000.0
Right Overbank	
Upper Sideslope (z)	: 0.500
Upper Width (ft)	: 3.000
Middle Sideslope (z)	: 10.000
Middle Width (ft)	: 10.000
Mannings n	: 0.040
Massmann Infiltration Option Us	sed
Hydraulic Conductivity (in/hr)	: 0.0
Depth to Water Table (ft)	: 100.0
Bio-Fouling Potential	: Low
Maintenance	: Average or Better

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3 Number of Links: 1

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Subbasins: 3 Number of Links: 1

-

Total: 18876.860

Total Predevelopment Recharge Equals Post Developed Average Recharge Per Year, (Number of Years= 158) Predeveloped: 119.474 ac-ft/year, Post Developed: 119.474 ac-ft/year

**********Water Quality Facility Data ***********

-----SCENARIO: PREDEVELOPED

Number of Links: 1

*********** Link: New Channel Lnk1 **********

Infiltration/Filtration Statistics------Inflow Volume (ac-ft): 10009.50 Inflow Volume Including PPT-Evap (ac-ft): 10009.50 Total Runoff Infiltrated (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Total Runoff Filtered (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Primary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 10028.61 Secondary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 0.00 Percent Treated (Infiltrated+Filtered)/Total Volume: 0.00%

-----SCENARIO: POSTDEVELOPED

Number of Links: 1

*********** Link: New Channel Lnk1 **********

Infiltration/Filtration Statistics------Inflow Volume (ac-ft): 10009.50 Inflow Volume Including PPT-Evap (ac-ft): 10009.50 Total Runoff Infiltrated (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Total Runoff Filtered (ac-ft): 0.00, 0.00% Primary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 10028.61 Secondary Outflow To Downstream System (ac-ft): 0.00 Percent Treated (Infiltrated+Filtered)/Total Volume: 0.00%

Prede	levelopment Runoff			
Tr (Years) Discharge (cfs)		Tr (Years)	Discharge (cfs)	
2-Year	5.787	2-Year	5.787	
5-Year	7.538	5-Year	7.538	
10-Year	8.787	10-Year	8.787	
25-Year	10.810	25-Year	10.810	
50-Year	12.337	50-Year	12.337	
100-Year	16.888	100-Year	16.888	
200-Year	18.477	200-Year	18.477	

** Record too Short to Compute Peak Discharge for These Recurrence Intervals

Existing Conditions

HEC-RAS Plan: Existing River: Stream B Reach: Stream B Profile Min Ch El W.S. Elev Crit W.S. E.G. Elev Froude # Chl Reach River Sta Q Total E.G. Slope Vel Chnl Flow Area Top Width (cfs) (ft) (ft/ft) (ft/s) (sq ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) Stream B 1155 2 Year 171.04 171.78 0.001599 3.96 0.17 1.77 171.31 171.79 0.78 2.30 2.87 171.04 171.95 171.39 171.97 3.02 Stream B 1155 10 Year 0.001801 0.97 4.33 0.19 1155 171.04 172.14 171.49 172.16 0.001973 0.21 Stream B 50 Year 4.31 1.17 3.85 4.64 171.62 Stream B 1155 100 Year 6.45 171.04 172.36 172.39 0.002204 1.42 4.89 4.97 0.23 Stream B 1155 200 Year 6.87 171.04 172.39 171.64 172.43 0.002244 1.46 5.08 5.03 0.23 Stream B 1076 2 Year 1.77 171 00 171 28 171 28 171 40 0.063611 2.83 0.63 2 52 0.99 0.056515 1076 10 Year 2.87 171.00 171.37 171.37 171.54 3.31 0.88 2.72 0.99 Stream B 171.49 Stream B 1076 50 Year 4.31 171.00 171.49 171.70 0.049995 3.74 1.19 2.94 0.97 Stream B 1076 100 Year 6.45 171.00 171.63 171.62 171.90 0.043301 4.19 1.65 3.25 0.95 Stream B 1076 200 Year 6.87 171.00 171.66 171.65 171.93 0.042052 4.25 1.74 3.30 0.94 1.77 170.34 171.27 170.51 171.27 0.000123 0.27 6.68 9.67 0.05 Stream B 1002 2 Year 1002 10 Year 2.87 170.34 171.38 170.57 171.38 0.000205 0.38 7.75 10.10 0.07 Stream B 170.34 171.52 170.63 0.49 Stream B 1002 50 Year 4.31 171.52 0.000276 9.22 10.66 0.09 Stream B 1002 100 Year 6.45 170.34 171.73 170.72 171.73 0.000325 0.60 11.49 11.47 0.10 Stream B 1002 200 Year 6.87 170.34 171.76 170.73 171.77 0.000332 0.62 11.92 11.61 0.10 Stream B 917 2 Year 1.77 171.00 171.16 171.16 171.23 0.067128 2.14 0.83 5.52 0.98 Stream B 917 2.87 171.00 171.21 171.21 171.31 0.067646 2.57 5.66 1.02 10 Year 1.11 171.00 171.38 171.28 0.018819 5.98 0.60 Stream B 917 50 Year 4.31 171.44 2.06 2.11 Stream B 917 100 Year 6.45 171.00 171.59 171.35 171.65 0.009135 1.94 3.42 6.38 0.45 0.008374 917 200 Year 6.87 171.00 171.63 171.37 171.69 1.94 3.66 6.45 0.44 Stream B 170.78 170.22 2.92 Stream B 1.77 170.00 170.79 0.61 4.49 0.13 834 2 Year 0.000890 Stream B 834 10 Year 2.87 170.00 170.98 170.29 170.99 0.001057 0.74 3.86 4.87 0.15 0.001078 Stream B 834 50 Year 4.31 170.00 171.18 170.39 171.20 0.87 5.27 7.30 0.15 Stream B 834 100 Year 6.45 170.00 171.42 170.50 171.43 0.001127 1.03 7.01 7.78 0.16 Stream B 834 200 Year 6.87 170.00 171.46 170.52 171.47 0.001135 1.05 7.33 7.86 0.16 Stream B 756 2 Year 1.77 170.00 170.72 170.18 170.73 0.000607 0.51 3.46 5 48 0.11 170.00 170.92 170.24 0.000664 0.64 5.90 Stream B 756 10 Year 2.87 170.92 4.56 0.12 Stream B 756 50 Year 4.31 170.00 171.12 170.32 171.13 0.000721 0.77 6.09 12.89 0.13 756 100 Year 6.45 170.00 171.35 170.41 171.36 0.000701 0.87 9.24 13.85 0.14 Stream B Stream B 200 Year 6.87 170.00 171.39 170.42 171.40 0.88 9.82 13.94 0.14 756 0.000693 170.00 170.40 170.40 170.55 0.067169 0.56 1.01 Stream B 678 1.77 3.20 1.81 2 Year Stream B 678 10 Year 2.87 170.00 170.52 170.52 170.73 0.058084 3.70 0.79 2.05 0.99 Stream B 678 50 Year 4.31 170.00 170.66 170.66 170.92 0 049493 4.13 1.11 2.33 0.96 Stream B 678 100 Year 6 4 5 170.00 170 84 170 84 171 16 0.043756 4 63 1 55 2 68 0.94 Stream B 678 200 Year 6.87 170.00 170.86 170.86 171.20 0.043913 4.75 1.62 2.73 0.95 Stream B 638 Culver Stream B 598 2 Year 1.77 167.00 170.29 167.71 170.29 0.000008 0.11 17.03 11.58 0.01 10 Year 2.87 167.00 170.40 167.86 0.000017 0.16 18.27 11.82 0.02 Stream B 598 170.40 170.52 168.05 0.000031 Stream B 598 50 Year 4.31 167.00 170.52 0.23 19.75 12.11 0.03 Stream B 598 100 Year 6.45 167.00 170.67 168.19 170.68 0.000054 0.32 21.64 12.47 0.04 Stream B 598 200 Year 6.87 167.00 170.71 168.21 170.72 0.000057 0.33 22.14 12.57 0.04 Stream B 549 2 Year 1 77 168 00 170.29 168.67 170.29 0.000039 0.19 10 07 11.35 0.03 549 10 Year 2.87 168.00 170.39 168.82 170.40 0.000076 0.28 11.27 11.56 0.04 Stream B 4.31 170.52 169.05 170.52 0.000125 0.39 12.70 11.81 0.06 Stream B 549 50 Year 168.00 170.67 12.12 Stream B 549 100 Year 6.45 168.00 169.15 170.67 0.000196 0.51 14.5 0.07 Stream B 549 6.87 170.71 169.16 170.71 0.53 14.98 0.07 200 Year 168.00 0.000204 12.20 Stream B 486 2 Year 1.77 170.00 170.25 170.16 170.28 0.014414 1.35 1.32 5.81 0.49 170.34 0.013335 1.59 1.83 6.09 0.50 486 10 Year 2.87 170.00 170.22 170.37 Stream B Stream B 486 50 Year 4.31 170.00 170.44 170.28 170.49 0.011756 1.80 2.47 6.43 0.49 170.56 0.010938 Stream B 486 100 Year 6.45 170.00 170.37 170.63 2.06 3.30 6.85 0.49 Stream B 486 200 Year 6.87 170.00 170.60 170.38 170.67 0.009713 2.04 3.57 6.98 0.47 Stream B 443 2 Year 3.14 169.00 169.27 169.22 169.33 0.028658 1.98 1.59 6.41 0.70 Stream B 443 10 Year 5.02 169.00 169.35 169.29 169.44 0.030103 2.42 2.09 6.58 0.75 7.84 169.44 169.38 2.95 6.78 0.80 Stream B 443 50 Year 169.00 169.57 0.032239 2.69 Stream B 443 100 Year 11.87 169.00 169.56 169.50 169.74 0.031295 3.45 3.53 7.04 0.83 12.56 169.55 Stream B 443 200 Year 169.00 169.51 169.76 0.036775 3.70 3.48 7.02 0.89 Stream B 166.43 166.43 1.02 3.53 1.00 3.14 166.00 166.57 0.059772 3.07 375 2 Year 166.00 166.54 166.54 0.053586 3.55 1.00 Stream B 375 10 Year 5.02 166.73 1.43 3.92 Stream B 375 50 Year 7.84 166.00 166.68 166.68 166.93 0.046156 4.04 2.02 4.46 0.98 Stream B 375 100 Year 11.87 166.00 166.83 166.83 167.16 0.045066 4.71 2.72 5.03 1.00 Stream B 375 200 Year 12.56 166.00 166.89 166.89 167.20 0.037682 4.55 3.04 5.64 0.93 Stream B 308 2 Year 5.79 165.00 165.80 165.82 0.001661 0.93 6.22 8.59 0.19 Stream B 308 10 Yea 8.79 165.00 165.99 166.01 0.001899 1.12 7.84 8.95 0.21

Wetland A

HEC-RAS PI	an: Existing R	iver: Stream B	Reach: Strea	m B (Continued	1)							
Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
			(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
Stream B	308	50 Year	12.34	165.00	166.16		166.19	0.002053	1.31	9.46	9.76	0.23
Stream B	308	100 Year	16.89	165.00	166.35		166.39	0.002184	1.51	11.41	10.53	0.24
Stream B	308	200 Year	18.48	165.00	166.41		166.45	0.002240	1.58	12.02	10.71	0.24
Stream B	237	2 Year	5.79	165.00	165.41		165.51	0.027924	2.52	2.29	6.01	0.72
Stream B	237	10 Year	8.79	165.00	165.52		165.66	0.029275	3.01	2.92	6.21	0.77
Stream B	237	50 Year	12.34	165.00	165.62		165.81	0.030679	3.50	3.55	6.40	0.81
Stream B	237	100 Year	16.89	165.00	165.72	165.66	165.97	0.032748	4.04	4.24	6.60	0.86
Stream B	237	200 Year	18.48	165.00	165.75	165.70	166.03	0.033606	4.22	4.45	6.67	0.88
Stream B	161	2 Year	5.79	164.00	164.40		164.43	0.008419	1.42	4.09	10.67	0.40
Stream B	161	10 Year	8.79	164.00	164.51		164.55	0.008280	1.67	5.29	10.84	0.42
Stream B	161	50 Year	12.34	164.00	164.62		164.68	0.008292	1.91	6.51	11.01	0.43
Stream B	161	100 Year	16.89	164.00	164.75		164.82	0.008043	2.14	7.98	11.21	0.44
Stream B	161	200 Year	18.48	164.00	164.80		164.87	0.007952	2.21	8.47	11.27	0.44
Stream B	109	2 Year	5.79	163.00	163.34	163.34	163.49	0.056815	3.20	1.81	5.79	1.00
Stream B	109	10 Year	8.79	163.00	163.44	163.44	163.65	0.050985	3.66	2.42	5.97	1.00
Stream B	109	50 Year	12.34	163.00	163.55	163.55	163.80	0.046786	4.08	3.07	6.17	0.99
Stream B	109	100 Year	16.89	163.00	163.67	163.67	163.98	0.043642	4.52	3.82	6.39	0.99
Stream B	109	200 Year	18.48	163.00	163.71	163.71	164.04	0.042801	4.66	4.08	6.46	0.99
Stream B	19	2 Year	5.79	160.00	160.27	160.12	160.28	0.005002	0.87	6.67	25.14	0.30
Stream B	19	10 Year	8.79	160.00	160.35	160.16	160.36	0.005002	1.02	8.58	25.29	0.31
Stream B	19	50 Year	12.34	160.00	160.42	160.20	160.45	0.005003	1.17	10.54	25.45	0.32
Stream B	19	100 Year	16.89	160.00	160.51	160.24	160.54	0.005002	1.33	12.75	25.62	0.33
Stream B	19	200 Year	18 48	160.00	160 54	160.26	160.57	0.005002	1.38	13 47	25.68	0.33

HEC-RAS Plan: Existing River: Stream B Reach: Stream B (Continued)

Proposed Conditions

HEC-RAS Plan: Flood Haz River: Stream B Reach: Stream B

Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
			(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
Stream B	1155	2 Year	1.77	171.04	171.78	171.31	171.79	0.001571	0.77	2.31	3.96	0.17
Stream B	1155	10 Year	2.87	171.04	171.95	171.39	171.97	0.001800	0.97	3.02	4.33	0.19
Stream B	1155	50 Year	4.31	171.04	172.14	171.49	172.16	0.001972	1.17	3.85	4.64	0.21
Stream B	1155	100 Year	6.45	171.04	172.36	171.62	172.39	0.002190	1.41	4.90	4.97	0.23
Stream B	1155	200 Year	6.87	171.04	172.40	171.64	172.43	0.002223	1.45	5.10	5.03	0.23
Stream B	1076	2 Year	1.77	171.00	171.28	171.28	171.40	0.064487	2.84	0.62	2.52	1.00
Stream B	1076	10 Year	2.87	171.00	171.37	171.37	171.54	0.056540	3.31	0.88	2.72	0.99
Stream B	1076	50 Year	4.31	171.00	171.48	171.48	171.70	0.051256	3.77	1.18	2.94	0.98
Stream B	1076	100 Year	6.45	171.00	171.62	171.62	171.90	0.045859	4.26	1.62	3.22	0.97
Stream B	1076	200 Year	6.87	171.00	171.65	171.65	171.93	0.045300	4.35	1.70	3.27	0.97
Stream B	1002	2 Year	1.77	170.34	171.27	170.51	171.27	0.000121	0.27	6.88	11.05	0.05
Stream B	1002	10 Year	2.87	170.34	171.38	170.57	171.38	0.000199	0.38	8.12	12.01	0.07
Stream B	1002	50 Year	4.31	170.34	171.52	170.64	171.52	0.000265	0.48	9.89	13.27	0.08
Stream B	1002	100 Year	6.45	170.34	171.72	170.72	171.73	0.000303	0.58	12.77	15.09	0.09
Stream B	1002	200 Year	6.87	170.34	171.76	170.73	171.76	0.000308	0.59	13.33	15.42	0.09
Stream B	917	2 Year	1.77	171.00	171.15	171.15	171.23	0.076073	2.23	0.80	5.51	1.03
Stream B	917	10 Year	2.87	171.00	171.21	171.21	171.31	0.065763	2.55	1.12	5.67	1.01
Stream B	917	50 Year	4.31	171.00	171.38	171.27	171.44	0.018775	2.06	2.12	5.98	0.60
Stream B	917	100 Year	6.45	171.00	171.59	171.35	171.65	0.009096	1.94	3.43	6.38	0.45
Stream B	917	200 Year	6.87	171.00	171.63	171.37	171.69	0.008377	1.94	3.66	6.45	0.44
Stream B	834	2 Year	1.77	170.00	170.78	170.22	170.79	0.000894	0.61	2.92	4.49	0.13
Stream B	834	10 Year	2.87	170.00	170.98	170.30	170.99	0.001066	0.75	3.85	4.87	0.15
Stream B	834	50 Year	4.31	170.00	171.19	170.38	171.20	0.001070	0.87	5.28	7.30	0.15
Stream B	834	100 Year	6.45	170.00	171.42	170.49	171.43	0.001122	1.02	7.02	7.78	0.16
Stream B	834	200 Year	6.87	170.00	171.46	170.51	171.47	0.001132	1.05	7.33	7.87	0.16
Stream B	756	2 Year	1.77	170.00	170.72	170.18	170.73	0.000609	0.51	3.46	5.48	0.11
Stream B	756	10 Year	2.87	170.00	170.91	170.24	170.92	0.000670	0.64	4.54	5.89	0.12
Stream B	756	50 Year	4.31	170.00	171.12	170.32	171.13	0.000712	0.76	6.13	13.10	0.13
Stream B	756	100 Year	6.45	170.00	171.35	170.41	171.36	0.000696	0.86	9.27	13.86	0.13
Stream B	756	200 Year	6.87	170.00	171.39	170.43	171.40	0.000691	0.88	9.83	13.94	0.13
Stream B	678	2 Year	1.77	170.00	170.40	170.40	170.55	0.065537	3.17	0.56	1.81	1.00
Stream B	678	10 Year	2.87	170.00	170.52	170.52	170.73	0.057988	3.70	0.79	2.05	0.99
Stream B	678	50 Year	4.31	170.00	170.66	170.66	170.92	0.050981	4.17	1.09	2.32	0.97
Stream B	678	100 Year	6.45	170.00	170.83	170.83	171.16	0.045156	4.68	1.53	2.66	0.95
Stream B	678	200 Year	6.87	170.00	170.86	170.86	171.20	0.044158	4.76	1.61	2.73	0.95
Stream B	638		Culvert									
Stream B	598	2 Year	1.77	167.00	170.22	167.70	170.22	0.000009	0.11	18.35	27.88	0.01
Stream B	598	10 Year	2.87	167.00	170.29	167.85	170.29	0.000020	0.17	20.45	32.25	0.02
Stream B	598	50 Year	4.31	167.00	170.37	168.05	170.37	0.000036	0.23	23.26	37.30	0.03
Stream B	598	100 Year	6.45	167.00	170.46	168.18	170.47	0.000064	0.32	27.11	43.27	0.04
Stream B	598	200 Year	6.87	167.00	170.49	168.20	170.49	0.000069	0.34	28.07	44.64	0.04
Stream B	549	2 Year	1.77	168.00	170.22	168.67	170.22	0.000045	0.20	11.08	28.07	0.03
Stream B	549	10 Year	2.87	168.00	170.28	168.81	170.29	0.000090	0.29	13.18	33.54	0.05
Stream B	549	50 Year	4.31	168.00	170.36	169.06	170.37	0.000150	0.39	16.08	39.85	0.06
Stream B	549	100 Year	6.45	168.00	170.46	169.15	170.46	0.000234	0.51	20.12	47.26	0.07
Stream B	549	200 Year	6.87	168.00	170.48	169.17	170.48	0.000243	0.53	21.15	48.98	0.08
Stream B	486	2 Year	1.77	170.00	170.19	170.13	170.21	0.012743	1.06	2.42	20.20	0.44
Stream B	486	10 Year	2.87	170.00	170.25	170.16	170.27	0.010532	1.16	3.75	24.84	0.42
Stream B	486	50 Year	4.31	170.00	170.32	170.20	170.34	0.008068	1.21	5.68	30.35	0.38
Stream B	486	100 Year	6.45	170.00	170.41	170.25	170.42	0.006489	1.27	8.45	36.82	0.36
Stream B	486	200 Year	6.87	170.00	170.43	170.25	170.44	0.005788	1.24	9.28	38.55	0.34
Stream B	443	2 Year	3.14	169.00	169.27	169.22	169.33	0.028798	1.98	1.59	6.41	0.70
Stream B	443	10 Year	5.02	169.00	169.35	169.29	169.44	0.030122	2.42	2.09	6.58	0.75
Stream B	443	50 Year	7.84	169.00	169.44	169.38	169.57	0.032322	2.95	2.69	6.78	0.81
Stream B	443	100 Year	11.87	169.00	169.56	169.50	169.74	0.031391	3.45	3.53	7.04	0.83
Stream B	443	200 Year	12.56	169.00	169.55	169.52	169.76	0.036750	3.70	3.48	7.02	0.89
Stream B	375	2 Year	3.14	166.00	166.43	166.43	166.57	0.059772	3.07	1.02	3.53	1.00
Stream B	375	10 Year	5.02	166.00	166.54	166.54	166.73	0.053586	3.55	1.43	3.92	1.00
Stream B	375	50 Year	7.84	166.00	166.68	166.68	166.93	0.046156	4.04	2.02	4.46	0.98
Stream B	375	100 Year	11.87	166.00	166.83	166.83	167.16	0.045066	4.71	2.72	5.03	1.00
Stream B	375	200 Year	12.56	166.00	166.89	166.89	167.20	0.037682	4.55	3.04	5.64	0.93
Stream B	308	2 Year	5.79	165.00	165.80	165.28	165.82	0.001661	0.93	6.22	8.59	0.19
Stream B	308	10 Year	8.79	165.00	165.99	165.36	166.01	0.001899	1.12	7.84	8.95	0.21

Wetland A

HEC-RAS	Plan: Flood Haz	River: Stream B	Reach: Stream B	(Continued)

Reach	River Sta	Profile	Q Total	Min Ch El	W.S. Elev	Crit W.S.	E.G. Elev	E.G. Slope	Vel Chnl	Flow Area	Top Width	Froude # Chl
			(cfs)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft)	(ft/ft)	(ft/s)	(sq ft)	(ft)	
Stream B	308	50 Year	12.34	165.00	166.16	165.45	166.19	0.002053	1.31	9.46	9.76	0.23
Stream B	308	100 Year	16.89	165.00	166.35	165.55	166.39	0.002184	1.51	11.41	10.53	0.24
Stream B	308	200 Year	18.48	165.00	166.41	165.59	166.45	0.002240	1.58	12.02	10.71	0.24
Stream B	237	2 Year	5.79	165.00	165.41	165.33	165.51	0.027924	2.52	2.29	6.01	0.72
Stream B	237	10 Year	8.79	165.00	165.52	165.44	165.66	0.029275	3.01	2.92	6.21	0.77
Stream B	237	50 Year	12.34	165.00	165.62	165.54	165.81	0.030679	3.50	3.55	6.40	0.81
Stream B	237	100 Year	16.89	165.00	165.72	165.66	165.97	0.032748	4.04	4.24	6.60	0.86
Stream B	237	200 Year	18.48	165.00	165.75	165.70	166.03	0.033606	4.22	4.45	6.67	0.88
Stream B	161	2 Year	5.79	164.00	164.40	164.22	164.43	0.008419	1.42	4.09	10.67	0.40
Stream B	161	10 Year	8.79	164.00	164.51	164.29	164.55	0.008280	1.67	5.29	10.84	0.42
Stream B	161	50 Year	12.34	164.00	164.62	164.36	164.68	0.008292	1.91	6.51	11.01	0.43
Stream B	161	100 Year	16.89	164.00	164.75	164.44	164.82	0.008043	2.14	7.98	11.21	0.44
Stream B	161	200 Year	18.48	164.00	164.80	164.47	164.87	0.007952	2.21	8.47	11.27	0.44
Stream B	109	2 Year	5.79	163.00	163.34	163.34	163.49	0.056815	3.20	1.81	5.79	1.00
Stream B	109	10 Year	8.79	163.00	163.44	163.44	163.65	0.050985	3.66	2.42	5.97	1.00
Stream B	109	50 Year	12.34	163.00	163.55	163.55	163.80	0.046786	4.08	3.07	6.17	0.99
Stream B	109	100 Year	16.89	163.00	163.67	163.67	163.98	0.043642	4.52	3.82	6.39	0.99
Stream B	109	200 Year	18.48	163.00	163.71	163.71	164.04	0.042801	4.66	4.08	6.46	0.99
Stream B	19	2 Year	5.79	160.00	160.27	160.12	160.28	0.005002	0.87	6.67	25.14	0.30
Stream B	19	10 Year	8.79	160.00	160.35	160.16	160.36	0.005002	1.02	8.58	25.29	0.31
Stream B	19	50 Year	12.34	160.00	160.42	160.20	160.45	0.005003	1.17	10.54	25.45	0.32
Stream B	19	100 Year	16.89	160.00	160.51	160.24	160.54	0.005002	1.33	12.75	25.62	0.33
Stream B	19	200 Year	18.48	160.00	160.54	160.26	160.57	0.005002	1.38	13.47	25.68	0.33

