



King County

Department of Adult
& Juvenile Detention

DAJD PREA Annual Report

2012 – 2013 Reported Incident Data

Prepared By: Danotra McBride, PREA Coordinator

A large, 3D-rendered graphic consisting of several overlapping, semi-transparent blue and grey rectangular blocks. The blocks are arranged in a way that creates a sense of depth and perspective. The year '2014' is printed in a large, black, serif font on the rightmost, most prominent block.

2014

Contents

PREA Intent, Purpose & Background 2

Set of Definitions/Acronyms: 2

Population Information..... 4

 Population by Facility..... 4

 Population by Age 5

 Population by Race 6

 Population by Gender 7

PREA Cases & Allegations/Reports..... 8

 By Facility 8

 By Description 9

 Findings of Investigation 11

 Gender – Victims & Suspects..... 12

 Race – Victims & Suspects 13

 Age – Victims & Suspects 15

Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee 17

Summary of Corrective Actions..... 18

 King County Correctional Facility (KCCF) 18

 Regional Justice Center (RJC) 18

 Juvenile Detention Facility..... 18

 Work, Education & Release (WER)..... 18

References 19



PRISON RAPE ELIMINATION ACT (PREA) ANNUAL REPORT

This Annual Report of the King County Department of Adult & Juvenile Detention includes PREA information for the Adult Jails (KCCF & RJC), Juvenile Facility, and Work Release (WER).

PREA Intent, Purpose & Background

PREA was established in 2003 to address the problem of sexual abuse and sexual harassment of persons in the custody of U.S. correctional agencies. Major provisions of PREA include the development of standards for detection, prevention, reduction and punishment of prison rape. The Act applies to all public and private institutions that house adult or juvenile offenders and is also relevant to community-based agencies. DAJD has adopted a zero tolerance policy on issues pertaining to sexual abuse and sexual harassment involving inmates and is working continuously to implement new policies, training requirements for staff and inmates, and developing standards for detection, prevention, reduction and punishment of prison rape.

Set of Definitions/Acronyms:

ADP: Average Daily Population

Exonerated: The allegation investigated substantially occurred, but was lawful and proper.

Inmate Nonconsensual Sexual Act/Abuse: Any of the following acts between inmates if the victim does not consent, is coerced into such act by overt or implied threats of violence, or is unable to consent or refuse: contact between genitals, between mouth and genitals, penetration of genital or anal opening of another person, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument; intentional touching, either directly or through clothing of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks of another person, excluding contact incidental to a physical altercation.

Inmate Sexual Harassment: Repeated and unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or verbal comments, gestures, or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature by one inmate directed toward another.

KCCF: King County Correctional Facility

Non-Sustained: There is insufficient factual evidence either to prove or disprove the allegations.

PREA: Prison Rape Elimination Act

PREA Allegation/Report: Counts each and every victim and suspect involved in PREA Cases to ensure all distinct attributes (race, gender, age, etc.) are acknowledged and included in the data provided. The data in these tables will not match the exact number of PREA Cases due to multiple victims or suspects included in some PREA Cases.

PREA Case: A situation or set of incidents that may involve the same victims and suspects on particular incident date(s). We see each PREA Case as a set of alleged actions linked together by virtue of the same incident date and suspect(s) or different dates involving the same victim and suspect over time.

RJC: Regional Justice Center

SAIRC: Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee

Staff Sexual Harassment: Repeated verbal comments or gestures of a sexual nature to an inmate by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer, including demeaning references to gender, sexually suggestive or derogatory comments about body or clothing, or obscene language or gestures.

Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse: Any of the following acts toward an inmate by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer with or without consent: contact between genitals, between mouth and genitals, penetration of genital or anal opening, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument; intentional touching/contact, either directly or through clothing of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire; any attempt, threat or request by a staff member, contractor or volunteer to engage in the activities above; display by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer of his or her uncovered genitalia, buttocks, or breast in the presence of an inmate and voyeurism by a staff member, contractor or volunteer.

Sustained: The allegation is supported by sufficient factual evidence.

Undetermined: The complainant withdraws the complaint, cannot be located, and/or is uncooperative OR the accused employee separates from the Department before the conclusion of the investigation.



Unfounded: The allegation is false or not factual.

WER: Work, Education & Release

Population Information

Population by Facility



Population by Facility 2012 - 2013		
	2012	2013
	ADP	ADP
KCCF	1,107	1,098
RJC	629	679
Juvenile	68	58
WER	153	133



Note: ADP Data by Age, Race & Gender does not contain data from the Juvenile Facility. The WER ADP Data was not put in graph form since there weren't any PREA Cases reported at WER during the 2012 & 2013 calendar years.



Population by Age

2012 ADP by Age - Secure		
	ADP	%
<18	16	0.9%
18-24	373	21.5%
25-34	600	34.6%
35-44	386	22.2%
45-54	272	15.7%
55-64	77	4.4%
65+	12	0.7%
2013 ADP by Age - Secure		
	ADP	%
<18	12	0.7%
18-24	388	21.8%
25-34	612	34.4%
35-44	406	22.8%
45-54	270	15.2%
55-64	76	4.3%
65+	12	0.7%
2012 ADP by Age - WER		
	ADP	%
<18	0	0.0%
18-24	34	22.2%
25-34	57	37.3%
35-44	29	19.0%
45-54	28	18.3%
55-64	6	3.9%
65+	0	0.0%
2013 ADP by Age - WER		
	ADP	%
<18	0	0.0%
18-24	30	22.6%
25-34	38	28.6%
35-44	31	23.3%
45-54	24	18.0%
55-64	9	6.8%
65+	1	0.8%




Population by Race

2012 ADP by Race - Secure		
	ADP	%
White	914	52.6%
Black	662	38.1%
Asian	100	5.8%
Native American	51	2.9%
Other	10	0.6%
2013 ADP by Race - Secure		
	ADP	%
White	967	54.4%
Black	648	36.5%
Asian	100	5.6%
Native American	53	3.0%
Other	9	0.5%
2012 ADP by Race - WER		
	ADP	%
White	82	53.6%
Black	55	35.9%
Asian	13	8.5%
Native American	3	2.0%
Other	1	0.7%
2013 ADP by Race - WER		
	ADP	%
White	76	57.1%
Black	44	33.1%
Asian	10	7.5%
Native American	2	1.5%
Other	1	0.8%



Population by Gender

2012 ADP by Gender - Secure		
	ADP	%
Male	1555	89.6%
Female	181	10.4%
2013 ADP by Gender - Secure		
	ADP	%
Male	1570	88.4%
Female	207	11.6%
2012 ADP by Gender - WER		
	ADP	%
Male	139	90.8%
Female	14	9.2%
2013 ADP by Gender - WER		
	ADP	%
Male	119	89.5%
Female	14	10.5%




PREA Cases & Allegations/Reports

Important Information: The following data will use the term “PREA Case” and “PREA Allegations/Reports” differently. The term “PREA Case” counts as a situation or set of incidents that may involve the same victims and suspects on particular incident date(s). We see each PREA Case as a set of alleged actions linked together by virtue of the same incident date and suspect(s) or different dates involving the same victim and suspect over time. The term “PREA Allegations/Reports” counts each and every victim and suspect involved in PREA Cases to ensure all distinct attributes (race, gender, age, etc.) are acknowledged and included in the data provided. The data in these tables will not match the exact number of PREA Cases due to multiple victims or suspects included in some PREA Cases.

By Facility

PREA Cases - by facility		
	2012	2013
KCCF	8	23
RJC	0	10
Juvenile	3	4
WER	0	0
Other*	0	2
*Report received regarding incidents/allegations that occurred at an Outside Facility or location unknown by reporter		



By Description


Incident Description Definitions:

- **Inmate Sexual Harassment:** Repeated and unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, or verbal comments, gestures, or actions of a derogatory or offensive sexual nature by one inmate directed toward another.
- **Inmate Nonconsensual Sexual Act/Abuse:** Any of the following acts between inmates if the victim does not consent, is coerced into such act by overt or implied threats of violence, or is unable to consent or refuse: contact between genitals, between mouth and genitals, penetration of genital or anal opening of another person, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument; intentional touching, either directly or through clothing of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks of another person, excluding contact incidental to a physical altercation.
- **Staff Sexual Harassment:** Repeated verbal comments or gestures of a sexual nature to an inmate by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer, including demeaning references to gender, sexually suggestive or derogatory comments about body or clothing, or obscene language or gestures.
- **Staff Sexual Misconduct/Abuse:** Any of the following acts toward an inmate by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer with or without consent: contact between genitals, between mouth and genitals, penetration of genital or anal opening, however slight, by a hand, finger, object, or other instrument; intentional touching/contact, either directly or through clothing of the genitalia, anus, groin, breast, inner thigh, or the buttocks that is unrelated to official duties or where the staff member, contractor or volunteer has the intent to abuse, arouse, or gratify sexual desire; any attempt, threat or request by a staff member, contractor or volunteer to engage in the activities above; display by a staff member, contractor, or volunteer of his or her uncovered genitalia, buttocks, or breast in the presence of an inmate and voyeurism by a staff member, contractor or volunteer.

Note: The definitions above were paraphrased from the Department of Justice PREA standards definitions. DAJD also uses the PREA definitions in the relevant Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs).

PREA Cases by Description (IM-on-IM & Staff-on-IM)								
	2012		2012		2013		2013	
	IM/IM		Staff/IM		IM/IM		Staff/IM	
	Nonconsensual Sex Act	Inmate Sexual Harassment	Staff Sexual Misconduct	Staff Sexual Harassment	Nonconsensual Sex Act	Inmate Sexual Harassment	Staff Sexual Misconduct	Staff Sexual Harassment
KCCF	5	0	2	1	11	5	6	1
RJC	0	0	0	0	1	7	1	1
Juvenile	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	0
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

Note: Where there were multiple descriptions per report, the most severe allegation is counted




Findings of Investigation

Investigation Findings Definitions:


- **Unfounded:** The allegation is false or not factual.
- **Exonerated:** The allegation investigated substantially occurred, but was lawful and proper.
- **Non-Sustained:** There is insufficient factual evidence either to prove or disprove the allegations.
- **Sustained:** The allegation is supported by sufficient factual evidence.
- **Undetermined:** The complainant withdraws the complaint, cannot be located, and/or is uncooperative OR the accused employee separates from the Department before the conclusion of the investigation.

PREA Cases by Finding											
	2012					2013					
	Unfounded	Exonerated	Non-Sustained	Sustained	Undetermined	Unfounded	Exonerated	Non-Sustained	Sustained	Undetermined	Other
KCCF	6	0	1	0	1	15	0	2	4		1 - PAO declined to file
RJC	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	2	1	0	0
Juvenile	2	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0

2012 PREA Case Findings - All Facilities/Locations



2013 PREA Cases by Finding - All Facilities/Locations




Gender – Victims & Suspects

Note: Gender is counted per PREA Allegation/Report (not per PREA Case) to ensure all victim and suspect information is included in data set.

PREA Allegations/Reports by Gender/Sex									
	2012				2013				2012 & 2013 Unknown:
	Victim		Suspect		Victim		Suspect		
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	Males	Females	
KCCF	7	3	9	0	16	8	15	6	2012: 1 case suspect info unknown 2013: 3 cases suspect info unknown
RJC	0	0	0	0	8	4	9	3	
Juvenile	6	1	1	3	5	0	2	2	2012: 3 cases suspect info unknown 2013: 1 case suspect info unknown
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Other*	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	


*Reports regarding incidents that allegedly occurred at other facilities






Race – Victims & Suspects

PREA Allegations/Reports by Race/Ethnicity - 2012														
	2012 - Victims							2012 - Suspects						
	White	Black	American Indian	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Hispanic or Latino	Other/Unknown	White	Black	American Indian	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Hispanic or Latino	Other/Unknown
KCCF	7	2	0	1	0	0	0	3	4	0	1	0	0	2
RJC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Juvenile	3	3	0	0	1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	3
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PREA Allegations/Reports by Race/Ethnicity - 2013														
	2013 - Victims							2013 - Suspects						
	White	Black	American Indian	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Hispanic or Latino	Unknown/Other	White	Black	American Indian	Asian	Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	Hispanic or Latino	Unknown/Other
KCCF	14	9	0	1	0	0	0	7	7	9	0	1	0	1
RJC	11	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	6	0	0	0	0	1
Juvenile	1	3	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2








Age – Victims & Suspects


	2012 - Victims							
	17 or younger	18 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 54	55+
KCCF	0	2	0	3	2	0	3	0
RJC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Juvenile	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

	2012 - Suspects								
	17 or younger	18 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 54	55+	Unknown
KCCF	0	0	0	3	1	0	3	1	2
RJC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Juvenile	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

2013 - Victims								
	17 or younger	18 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 54	55+
KCCF	0	2	3	10	6	2	0	1
RJC	0	2	1	4	0	1	3	1
Juvenile	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0

2013 - Suspects									
	17 or younger	18 - 24	25 - 29	30 - 34	35 - 39	40 - 44	45 - 54	55+	Unknown
KCCF	0	5	1	2	1	3	5	2	5
RJC	0	3	2	2	2	1	1	0	1
Juvenile	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
WER	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2





Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee

The Sexual Abuse Incident Review Committee (SAIRC) was formed by DAJD in February 2013 to comply with PREA Standards 115.86 (Adult), 115.386 (Juvenile) and 115.286 (Community Confinement/WER) Sexual Abuse Incident Reviews. According to these standards, DAJD must conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of each sexual abuse investigation except when the allegation is determined as unfounded. These reviews typically occur within 30 days of the conclusion of a PREA Case investigation. The review team includes upper-level management (with input from line supervisors) and medical or mental health practitioners. For each investigation the SAIRC reviews the following criteria:

1. Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse;
2. Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the facility;
3. Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse;
4. Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts;
5. Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.

Summary of Corrective Actions

Over 2012 – 2013, several changes were initiated to become compliant with PREA standards and prepare for the first DAJD PREA Audit in 2014. These efforts included: policy and procedure review and updates, development of new forms, new agreements with external sexual assault resources, establishing formal processes for response to reports of sexual assault, establishing coordinated responses with internal and external agencies, etc. Below are some corrective actions and information by facility/location:

King County Correctional Facility (KCCF)

- Review and updating of relevant policies
- Development of processes, procedures and forms
- Agreement with King County Sexual Assault Resource Center
- Clarity of SAFE/SANE, Forensic Examinations and other services provided by Harborview Medical Center
- Additional camera placements and monitoring
- Staff PREA Training
- Inmate PREA Education
- Development of Reports & Forms

Regional Justice Center (RJC)

- Review and updating of relevant policies
- Development of processes, procedures and forms
- Agreement with King County Sexual Assault Resource Center
- Clarity of SAFE/SANE, Forensic Examinations and other services provided by Harborview Medical Center
- Additional camera placements and monitoring
- Staff PREA Training
- Inmate PREA Education
- Development of Reports & Forms

Juvenile Detention Facility

- Review and updating of relevant policies (still ongoing)
- Development of processes, procedures and forms
- Agreement with King County Sexual Assault Resource Center
- Staff PREA Training
- Juvenile PREA Education
- Development of Reports & Forms

Work, Education & Release (WER)

- Development of processes, procedures and forms
- Agreement with King County Sexual Assault Resource Center
- Development of Reports & Forms

References

- Data Sources:
 - 2012 DAJD Demographic Breakdown (under “Archived Reports”):
http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/detention/DAJD_Stats.aspx
 - 2013 DAJD Demographic Breakdown Report (under “Archived Reports”):
http://www.kingcounty.gov/courts/detention/DAJD_Stats.aspx
 - DAJD Director’s PREA Log/Spreadsheet
 - DAJD PREA Compliance Environment
- Information Sources:
 - PREA Resource Center: <http://www.prearesourcecenter.org/>