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**SR 520 HEALTH IMPACT ASSESSMENT**
Introduction

The Puget Sound region has a unique opportunity to build a transportation project that moves people throughout the region while helping to create healthy places to live, work, and play. State Route 520 (SR 520) was constructed in 1963 with little attention to the health problems associated with car emissions, neighborhood disruption, and degradation of the natural environment. Now the region has a chance to correct past oversights and approach the SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project in a way that embraces the region’s commitment to providing a healthy community for all people.

Transportation planners must make decisions that will support individuals and communities in making good healthy choices. A well-designed transportation project can go much beyond its primary purpose of moving motor vehicles by positively influencing the futures of communities and the health of their residents.

DEFINING HEALTH HAS CHANGED

Just as transportation needs are much different today than they were in 1963, health concerns also have changed. With chronic diseases, such as diabetes and asthma, increasing, the social and physical environments are important aspects of health-promotion strategies. Today, health is viewed as not merely the absence of disease or infirmity, but as a state of physical, mental, and social well-being (WHO, 1946). This definition recognizes that numerous factors influence individual health and involves an examination not just of individuals, but of the larger community as well. Seen in this broader context, the SR 520 Project can be designed to support alternatives to the automobile, to reduce emissions that cause pollution, to improve community connections, to provide amenities that improve mental well-being, and to contribute to a visually stimulating environment. All these actions help enhance individual health and contribute to healthy communities.

DEFINING TRANSPORTATION HAS CHANGED

The four-county Puget Sound region will gain two million people in the next 50 years, and while roads cannot accommodate all of these people, a transportation system that moves people and not just cars will be better equipped to meet their needs. In addition, a shift in how people travel is already occurring because of the rising cost of gasoline, concerns about global climate change, and the increasing use of non-motorized transportation. These changes are occurring at the same time that 76 million baby boomers reach retirement age and telecommuting and other work alternatives become more common. National reports indicate Americans are driving fewer miles, consuming less oil and using transit more. This supports the need to redefine how to plan transportation systems.

In the August 2006 SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project – Draft Environmental Impact Statement, the Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) proposed many excellent infrastructure elements (e.g., landscaped hills, pedestrian and bicycling connections, visual design elements, and transit facilities) that would reduce vehicle emissions, create opportunities for physical activity and reconnect communities. The SR 520 mediation process and alternatives being considered continue to include these elements. To embrace the opportunity for creating healthy places to live, work, and play, it is critical that these elements be made integral to the project and not be viewed solely as mitigation or expendable amenities. This report presents the findings of the health impact assessment report and recommendations that can be incorporated into the mediation process and impact plan. These elements along with others discussed in this report would contribute to creating healthy communities for generations to come.

THE REPORT

This report provides background on why a health impact assessment was conducted for this transportation project and provides general information on the health impact assessment tool. The report explains how a transportation project can affect health and what measures can be taken to avoid unfavorable community health consequences. A more detailed look at the research done to compile this report is included in the Appendix.

The report does not recommend one alternative over another since it is difficult to differentiate among the alternatives until the specific designs are developed. The report recommends elements that will be important in any alternative selected. The goal for this report is to help the SR 520 Mediation Group, WSDOT, and the Washington Legislature evaluate the alternatives based upon their potential health impacts.

This HIA is the latest in a series of coordination, collaboration, and partnership efforts to successfully complete the SR 520 Project. The measures recommended will require continued coordination, collaboration, and partnerships. WSDOT, Sound Transit, and King County Department of Transportation are the primary agencies responsible for implementing the recommendations, but other agencies and municipalities, such as the University of Washington and the City of Seattle, are necessary partners. Community participation in the SR 520 Project has been part of its long planning history and continues today with the mediation process. This type of civic engagement and participation supports and adds to the long-term physical and social health of communities.
Good health is defined as a state of complete physical, mental, and social well-being, not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. One of the great public health problems in the 21st century is chronic disease (e.g., diabetes, cardiovascular problems, asthma). Many of the risk factors for these diseases can be traced to how our cities are built. It is clear from research that public projects impact health. With the SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project, the region has an opportunity it won’t see again for at least a half-century to build communities that are healthy places to live, work, and play.

**WASHINGTON GOVERNOR AND LEGISLATURE MANDATE HEALTH IMPACT ASSESSMENT**

In 2007, Governor Gregoire signed Senate Bill 6099, a legislative directive to develop a SR 520 interchange design and plan for the Westside of Lake Washington through mediation for a more reliable replacement of the existing SR 520 Bridge. The directive also asked Public Health – Seattle & King County and the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency to conduct a health impact assessment (HIA) of the SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project, focusing on air quality, greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and other public health issues, with final recommendations to be incorporated into the Mediation Group’s Project Impact Plan. The HIA research and the following report indicate that choosing the right set of features for the SR 520 Project – regardless of which of the three plans under consideration is adopted – can contribute significantly to improving the health of people in communities adjacent to the corridor and the livability of their neighborhoods.

**WHAT IS A HEALTH IMPACT ASSESSMENT?**

A HIA is a tool to help decision-makers recognize the health consequences of the decisions they make and provide a healthier living environment. HIAs use a combination of procedures and methods by which a policy or project may be evaluated regarding its potential effects on the health of the population, and the distribution of those effects within the population. A HIA is much like an Environmental Impact Statement, but it focuses on population health.

In the early steps of the SR 520 HIA, analysis identified nine health focus areas for research, including air quality, water quality, green space, physical activity, noise, mental well-being, safety, social connections, and emergency medical services. The research showed these nine areas are closely interrelated and connected. What happens in one of these areas has identifiable effects on other areas, so it is critical that decision-makers consider them together. To organize the findings, the report is divided into four elements that are recommended for inclusion in whatever alternative is selected. More specific information on the original health focus areas is available in the Appendix.

**RECOMMENDATIONS**

The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project Draft Environmental Impact Statement published in August 2006 proposes many excellent elements that would contribute to a healthy community. These elements include pedestrian and bicycling amenities, transit improvements, design improvements, landscaped lids and green spaces, and noise reduction strategies. No single action will solve our chronic disease challenges. Multiple actions are needed to create healthy communities. For this reason, it is critical that these elements are integral to the project and that they are supported, despite challenging budget times, for optimal health benefits.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSTRUCTION PERIOD</th>
<th>TRANSIT, BICYCLING, AND WALKING</th>
<th>LANDSCAPED LIDS AND GREEN SPACES</th>
<th>DESIGN FEATURES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1)</strong> Reduce construction-related pollution</td>
<td><strong>1)</strong> Increase and improve transit service to meet increased demand, attract more riders, and reduce air pollution</td>
<td><strong>1)</strong> Include six landscaped freeway lids</td>
<td><strong>1)</strong> Reduce noise throughout the corridor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2)</strong> Increase traffic management</td>
<td><strong>2)</strong> Install connected walking and bicycling facilities throughout the corridor</td>
<td><strong>2)</strong> Use landscaping materials throughout the SR 520 corridor, along adjacent trails and roadways and at transit stops</td>
<td><strong>2)</strong> Add to the adjacent communities’ visual character with art and design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3)</strong> Provide for construction noise control</td>
<td><strong>3)</strong> Create a common wayfinding system</td>
<td><strong>3)</strong> Improve and preserve the integrity of the Washington Park Arboretum, and the ability of visitors to enjoy it and other green spaces and naturals areas</td>
<td><strong>3)</strong> Utilize innovative storm water management practices along the SR 520 corridor to reduce vehicular pollution from entering Lake Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4)</strong> Provide safe mobility on pedestrian and bicycling paths, and at transit stops and transfer points</td>
<td><strong>4)</strong> Preserve access to the waterfront for water-related activities</td>
<td><strong>4)</strong> Preserve access to the waterfront for water-related activities</td>
<td><strong>4)</strong> Preserve access to the waterfront for water-related activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PROJECT GUIDING PRINCIPLES:**

- **Ensure health elements are integral to the project plan.**
- **Support all recommendations in difficult budget times for optimal health benefits.**
Health Impact Assessment Background

The SR 520 Replacement and HOV Project has the potential to affect the health of individuals and communities from the beginning of construction through its entire existence.

The SR 520 Health Impact Assessment (HIA) examines these effects from a human health perspective. An example is the effect that environmental pollutants have on human health, such as toxic air emissions’ link with cancer. This is a different focus than examining and mitigating environmental impacts.

**Goal of SR 520 Health Impact Assessment**

The goal of the SR 520 HIA is to protect public health by raising the awareness of the Mediation Group and other decision makers about the relationship between health and transportation systems. This will help ensure health consequences are considered in their decision-making process for the development of an environment that supports healthy people and communities.

This section of the report outlines the HIA procedures and health focus areas investigated, then moves on to the recommendations that Puget Sound Clean Air Agency and Public Health – Seattle & King County put forth to the Mediation Group for review. The report does not recommend one alternative over another because it is difficult to determine impacts among alternatives until the specific designs are developed. The report does recommend elements that would be important in any alternative selected.

### Defining Health

The long-term goal of Public Health – Seattle & King County and Puget Sound Clean Air Agency is for the SR 520 corridor design to support healthy people and healthy, sustainable communities. The World Health Organization has defined ‘health’ as a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity. Defining health this way recognizes that numerous factors influence the health of individuals and the community, from individual traits to external factors such as interpersonal relationships and social-economic, cultural and environmental conditions stemming from political and community decisions (WHO, 2003) (as shown at left).

### The Health Impact Assessment Mandate

Senate Bill 6099, passed by the Legislature and signed by Governor Gregoire in 2007, directed the Office of Financial Management to hire a mediator to work with interested parties directly affected by the SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project (SR 520 Project) to develop a SR 520 interchange design plan for the Westside of Lake Washington. This plan (due December 2008) is to address the effects of the project on Seattle neighborhoods and parks, including the Washington Park Arboretum, and institutions of higher education. The bill also directed Public Health – Seattle & King County and the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency to conduct a HIA of the SR 520 Project’s effects on air quality, greenhouse gas (GHG), and other public health issues, with recommendations to be incorporated into the mediation project impact plan.

### What Is a Health Impact Assessment?

A HIA is similar to the more familiar “environmental impact assessments” conducted for more than three decades under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and in Washington, the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA). The key difference is that while NEPA and SEPA evaluations focus on the environmental effects of a project, HIAs focus on how a project is likely to affect human health.

HIA is a combination of procedures and methods by which a policy or project may be judged as to its potential effects on the health of the population, and the distribution of those effects within the population. It is a tool to help decision-makers recognize the health consequences of the decisions they make so they can contribute to a healthier living environment. HIAs have been used widely internationally, in places such as Europe, Canada, and Australia. HIA methodology is still evolving in the United States. Because the nature of the action being analyzed influences the HIA, detail in these assessments can vary from a simple checklist to a more extensive review of research and other relevant information. HIA strives to anticipate potential consequences for decision-makers and to deliver a set of recommendations intended to minimize health risks and maximize health benefits.

The SR 520 Project is currently undergoing NEPA/SEPA analysis. A SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project – Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), completed in August 2006, provided information on 17 environmental disciplines. A Supplemental DEIS (SDEIS) studying new design options from the project’s mediation process is underway and planned for publication in late 2009. A Final EIS in 2010 will respond to public comments on the DEIS and SDEIS.

The SR 520 HIA made use of relevant data from the DEIS analysis, and although the DEIS and HIA processes have different goals and are independent in their conclusions, the use of the previously prepared DEIS data provides the two analyses with a consistent information base.
Health Impact Assessment Background

HEALTH IMPACT ASSESSMENT PROJECT AREA DESCRIPTION

The SR 520 Project impacts neighborhoods, the Washington Park Arboretum, the University of Washington, and the movement of goods and people in the Puget Sound region. Currently, SR 520, spanning Lake Washington from I-5 in Seattle to just west of I-405 in Bellevue, consists of four total lanes with HOV lanes existing only east of the floating bridge. The SR 520’s Evergreen Point Bridge is one of two east-west bridges across Lake Washington in King County. Approximately 155,000-160,000 vehicle drivers and passengers cross the bridge each day.

The HIA focused on the project design mandated by the Legislature in which the SR 520 will be a “4+2” configuration—six lanes, with two general-purpose lanes and one carpool lane in each direction. The bridge will be designed to withstand major earthquakes and windstorms up to 95 mph. The new SR 520 will have increased transit service that will make bus trips more frequent and reliable. It is also planned to have a bridge pathway for walking or bicycling across the lake, shoulder lanes to keep traffic flowing in the event of stalled vehicles, and new interchanges to reduce traffic impacts on communities near the corridor.

THE WORK OF THE SR 520 MEDIATION GROUP

The 33-member Mediation Group representing parties interested in the SR 520 Project began meeting in September 2007. Since that time, it has developed three design alternatives specific to the Westside—known as A, K and L—for further evaluation in the SDEIS.

COMMON ELEMENTS OF THE ALTERNATIVES INCLUDE:

- A six-lane corridor including two general-purpose and one HOV lane in each direction.
- Lids at I-5, at 10th Avenue and Delmar Drive East, and at Montlake Boulevard on the Westside.
- Bicycle and pedestrian connectivity (across the bridge and to adjacent communities).
- Exclusion of a median freeway transit stop.
- Reversible direct access to and from the I-5 express lanes.

Baseline design information provided by WSDOT for each of the alternatives is outlined below (the summary is from June 17, 2008 Mediation Group work session).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ALTERNATIVE</th>
<th>BASE DESIGN ELEMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Includes an interchange at Montlake Boulevard, similar to the configuration of the existing interchange</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Does not include Lake Washington Boulevard ramps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adds a second Montlake bridge parallel to the existing Montlake Bridge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes a westbound transit-only off-ramp to Montlake Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>A low roadway profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes quieter pavement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes a berm over the roadway at Foster Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes a single-point urban interchange under the mainline SR 520 located in the east Montlake area near the existing Museum of History and Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes a tunnel under the Montlake Cut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Separates freeway and local traffic across the Montlake Cut, allowing Montlake Boulevard to be a local traffic access roadway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes access to and from SR 520 and the Arboretum with a roundabout at the terminus of a new roadway parallel to the existing Lake Washington Boulevard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Includes a single-point urban interchange over the SR 520 mainline at the east Montlake area near the existing Museum of History and Industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes a second draw bridge over the Montlake Cut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Includes Lake Washington Boulevard ramps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The three alternatives are similar in many ways, except for how the Westside interchange is designed and the consequent cost of construction. The health impact differences are difficult to estimate until the specific designs are developed. For these reasons, the SR 520 HIA review focused on a broad view of the SR 520 Project’s design features (including the alternatives’ common elements) as indicated in Senate Bill 6099. The specific design decisions will have important implications for individual and community health.
THE RESEARCH STEPS

Although HIAs level of detail can vary, generally the analysis is done in a step-wise manner. The SR 520 HIA was completed in the following stages:

1) SCOPING: to identify health focus areas to be researched in the analysis.
   Through a review of previous HIA reports, the SR 520 DEIS, and public and Mediation Group comments, the SR 520 HIA team selected the following nine health focus areas to review: air quality, water quality, green space, physical activity, noise, mental well-being, safety, social connections, and emergency medical services.

2) ASSESSMENT: of how population health could be affected by the transportation project. As the HIA progressed, literature and report reviews and discussions with stakeholders were summarized in background papers for the nine health-focus areas. A greenhouse gas analysis was also completed. These reports demonstrated that the initial focus areas were highly interrelated and connected.

3) RECOMMENDATION: development to identify project features that benefit population health. The recommendations were organized into the following critical health elements: Construction Period; Transit, Bicycling and Walking; Landscaped Lids and Green Spaces; and, Design Features. Specific recommendations were then developed within each of these categories.

4) REPORTING: of the assessment findings and recommendations to the Mediation Group and other decision makers through this report.

When determining the nine issues for the assessment review, influential community behaviors and social and environmental conditions were considered. The nine background papers were prepared by reviewing research that linked the issue to the SR 520 Project. These reports, provided in the Appendix, are not intended to replace discipline reports required through the NEPA/SEPA process, but rather to complement and provide information focused on population health outcomes.

The general premises used when reviewing the areas were:

**Air Quality** – Clean, healthy air is important for public health, quality of life, and climate protection.

**Water Quality** – Clean water is essential to protecting human, plant, and animal health.

**Noise** – Reducing community noise decreases related annoyance levels and may play a positive role in other health areas.

**Green Space** – Parks, gardens, arboretums, bicycle and walking paths, trees, and urban landscaping all provide a respite from the urban landscape and contribute multiple health benefits.

**Mental Well-being** – Positive mental well-being can be realized from physical activity, stress reduction, feelings of safety, and exposure to natural areas.

**Physical Activity** – Regular physical activity can improve quality of life as well as reduce the risk of numerous chronic diseases.

**Safety** – Feeling safe and secure at home, work, and play and in the community is basic to a sense of well-being.

**Social Connections** – Social networks, trust, reciprocity, and civic engagement develop through community interactions affect health and well-being.

**Emergency Medical Services** – Emergency medical services increase survival and reduce disability from out-of-hospital emergencies.

**Health Impact Assessment Recommendations**

The reviews highlighted that many of these topics are interrelated and interdependent, and that SR 520 Project design elements have the potential to influence several focus areas. In the discussion that follows, the recommendations are grouped into four categories:

- Construction Period
- Transit, Bicycling and Walking
- Landscaped Lids and Green Spaces
- Design Features for Healthy Communities
Health Impact Assessment Recommendations
Construction Period

INTRODUCTION

The SR 520 Project is expected to require seven or more years to build. The construction period can produce detrimental effects on health due to exhaust emissions, congestion, and longer travel times in the corridor. Project sponsors, such as WSDOT, have considerable experience at reducing impacts during construction, by controlling construction-related dust, working in travel lanes only during evening hours, and raising public awareness of the project through media campaigns. Many of the measures recommended in this report are also included in the SR 520 Draft Environmental Impact Statement. All of these actions have potential health benefits.

CONSTRUCTION AND AIR QUALITY

Unless avoided or reduced, air emissions associated with project construction can affect people in and near the corridor. Construction vehicles and equipment, and vehicles hauling materials and equipment through residential neighborhoods and commercial areas can expose equipment operators, pedestrians, bicyclists, and residents to fine particle and diesel particulate matter emissions. They can also cause traffic congestion, which can result in additional air pollution.

WSDOT follows accepted industry practices to control dust on its construction sites and from the vehicles working on the project. Readily available technology can be purchased or installed on equipment to reduce harmful emissions. Altering construction practices, such as eliminating engine idling when vehicles and equipment are not in use, can also reduce harmful emissions. On some projects, construction companies have provided shuttle services for workers, which reduces harmful emissions. Shortening the total construction period can reduce total emissions.

CONSTRUCTION NOISE AND LIGHTING

Controlling construction noise will be especially important throughout the project construction period. Because of the project’s multi-year duration, construction noise could potentially have more than temporary negative effects on communities near the project. Coordination among all agencies responsible for noise along the project corridor, including WSDOT, Public Health – Seattle & King County, and municipal governments will be necessary to ensure that noise impacts from construction are minimized.

Readily available technology can be purchased or installed on construction equipment and vehicles to reduce noise. Altering construction practices, such as changing when certain activities occur and how long they occur, are common practices by builders to reduce noise.

While working during off peak times will have benefits, it is also necessary to ensure that artificial lights used for construction crews do not interfere with residents’ ability to have restful nights.

CONSTRUCTION AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

An important service reviewed in the SR 520 HIA is the ability of emergency medical services (EMS) to rapidly respond to incidents and reach residents in a timely manner 24 hours a day. This issue was brought forward in early community discussions.

Several factors are critical for successful emergency medical service response, including response times, trained first responders, effective communication, and community education. King County’s geographically based EMS system allows for rapid responses without units, in most cases, crossing the SR 520 bridges. However, EMS providers do need to access SR 520 neighborhoods via arterial roads for emergencies and may need to transport patients to medical facilities using the SR 520 corridor. Coordination and communication during the construction period will be essential to providing critical emergency services. Timely and consistent communication regarding traffic congestion and road closures, specifically in regards to access points into corridor neighborhoods and to and from the bridge, is a key element.
Health Impact Assessment Recommendations

Construction Period

Continued

If the SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge failed because of a catastrophic windstorm or earthquake, WSDOT has a SR 520 Catastrophic Failure Plan in place to manage traffic and communications. The plan builds upon existing emergency management procedures and incorporates additional input from local agencies and jurisdictions. It represents a toolbox of strategies that can be implemented during a long-term recovery from a bridge failure, and will also be useful for managing SR 520 construction traffic impacts.

CONSTRUCTION AND COMMUNITY INTERACTION

Maintaining physical connections between corridor neighborhoods during construction can help maintain social interactions among people. Choosing construction truck haul routes that avoid neighborhood streets can reduce residents’ exposure to diesel emissions. Maintaining traffic flow and providing information on construction activities and progress can help people cope with the changes to their existing activities, such as the routes and times they take to go to work. A wayfinding signage system can help pedestrians and bicyclists avoid construction sites and identify quieter routes.

The following specific construction period recommendations will help reduce potential health impacts:

1) REDUCE CONSTRUCTION RELATED POLLUTION

by implementing the following actions:

- a) Use new or retrofit diesel powered construction vehicles and equipment.
- b) Implement an idling reduction program for construction vehicles and equipment.
- c) Designate a HOV lane on the bridge to maintain or increase transit ridership.
- d) Increase transit service to attract new riders and reduce congestion.
- e) Increase transit opportunities and incentives (such as free or subsidized transit passes) and trip reduction programs (such as carpooling and shuttle services) for construction workers, University of Washington students and staff, and adjacent neighborhood residents.
- f) Provide financial incentives for the contractor to accelerate construction.
- g) Schedule construction activities that can delay traffic during the lowest traffic periods to minimize congestion.

2) IMPROVE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

by implementing the following actions:

- a) Develop safe and clearly marked alternative routes for pedestrians and bicyclists during the construction period.
- b) Conduct a public education program to reduce traffic on the facility, and increase distribution of the information before beginning construction activities that are likely to increase congestion.
- c) Provide clearly identified temporary lane configurations to maintain traffic flow in the corridor.
- d) Install traffic calming devices, such as traffic circles, curb bulbs, and speed humps, and limit construction traffic routes in the affected neighborhoods.
- e) Provide access to construction schedules so Emergency Medical Services can provide uninterrupted service in the corridor, especially where access is limited.
- f) Provide real time traffic and road construction information in an easily accessible way so area residents, transit, freight, Emergency Medical Services, and other users can change routes and travel times as needed. Some possible strategies include increasing the number of traffic cameras and providing reader boards in the corridor.
- g) Ensure Emergency Medical Services can quickly reach all construction areas (including water access).

3) PROVIDE FOR CONSTRUCTION NOISE CONTROL

by implementing the following actions:

- a) Use OSHA approved broadband back-up warning devices on all construction vehicles and equipment.
- b) Use approved noise control devices for generators, compressors, and similar equipment.
- c) Limit the operating periods for equipment that produces loud noise, such as pile drivers and concrete cutters, particularly during nighttime periods.
- d) Maintain construction equipment in good working condition so that it does not create additional noise.
- e) Notify residents of potentially affected areas prior to construction activities and provide a complaint hotline and web site.
- f) Coordinate with agencies responsible for controlling noise during planning and construction and when responding to complaints.
**Introduction**

Increasing and improving transit service and providing bicycling and walking facilities in the corridor will provide multiple health benefits by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and other air pollutants through the use of alternatives to single-occupant vehicles, increased opportunities for physical activity, and improved social connections.

**Automobiles, Transit, Bicycling and Walking and Air Quality**

Exposure to air pollutants is associated with a wide range of health effects – from throat irritation and respiratory ailments to heart disease and cancer. These health impacts are often greater among more sensitive and vulnerable populations, including children, older adults, and those with compromised immune systems (EPA, 2008).

**Cars, Trucks, and Other Mobile Sources**, such as construction equipment, contribute approximately half of all the air pollution in the region (PSCAA, 2005).

**Fine Particles (PM 2.5)** – Diesel exhaust is the most significant fine-particle emission because of its toxicity.

**Air Toxics** – Key chemicals/mixtures of concern are diesel particulates, benzene, 1,3-butadiene, acetaldehyde, and formaldehyde.

**Ozone** – Volatile organic compounds, a main contributor to ozone pollution, are emitted primarily from mobile sources.

**Greenhouse Gases** – Major greenhouse gases include ozone, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and hydrofluorocarbon.

People who live within 300 meters of major roadways such as SR 520 experience higher concentrations of certain air pollutants, especially fine particles, than people who live at greater distances. This can affect the health of people living close to the roadway. Roadways with high volumes of diesel vehicles pose more concern because chronic exposure to diesel particulates has been associated with a number of health risks (Houston et al., 2006). Traffic emissions also contribute to ambient levels of air pollution outside of this 300-meter distance. The health effects of pollutants on those closest to the highway may be best addressed through project design, while the broader effects of background pollutants are more appropriate to address on a regional or national basis. Potential measures to reduce the impact of air pollution on health include:

### Health Impact Assessment Recommendations

#### Construction Period

The following table summarizes the link between the construction period recommendations and potential health benefits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
<th>ACTIONS</th>
<th>HEALTH RELATED EFFECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Reduce construction related pollution</td>
<td>▶ More public transportation opportunities</td>
<td>▶ Reduce asthma exacerbation and respiratory disease risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Less construction costs</td>
<td>▶ Decrease cardiovascular disease risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Increased transit opportunities</td>
<td>▶ Decrease cancer risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Increase traffic management</td>
<td>▶ Clearly marked lanes on bridge</td>
<td>▶ Decrease cardiovascular disease risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Rapid access to SR 520 communities during emergencies</td>
<td>▶ Decrease stress and stress-related health effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Decreased traffic congestion and delay</td>
<td>▶ Reduce pedestrian/bicyclist injury risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Safe and clearly marked alternative routes for pedestrians and bicyclists creating increased sense of safety</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Easily accessible information on construction schedules</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Provide for construction noise control</td>
<td>▶ Quieter vehicles and equipment operating in community</td>
<td>▶ Decrease noise-related annoyance, stress, and stress-related health effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Reduced noise in surrounding communities</td>
<td>▶ Reduce risk of sleep disturbances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Decrease mental fatigue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Health Impact Assessment Recommendations**

**Transit, Bicycling and Walking**

Increasing and improving transit service and providing bicycling and walking facilities in the corridor will provide multiple health benefits by reducing greenhouse gas emissions and other air pollutants through the use of alternatives to single-occupant vehicles, increased opportunities for physical activity, and improved social connections.

**Automobiles, Transit, Bicycling and Walking and Air Quality**

Exposure to air pollutants is associated with a wide range of health effects – from throat irritation and respiratory ailments to heart disease and cancer. These health impacts are often greater among more sensitive and vulnerable populations, including children, older adults, and those with compromised immune systems (EPA, 2008).

**Cars, Trucks, and Other Mobile Sources**, such as construction equipment, contribute approximately half of all the air pollution in the region (PSCAA, 2005).

**Fine Particles (PM 2.5)** – Diesel exhaust is the most significant fine-particle emission because of its toxicity.

**Air Toxics** – Key chemicals/mixtures of concern are diesel particulates, benzene, 1,3-butadiene, acetaldehyde, and formaldehyde.

**Ozone** – Volatile organic compounds, a main contributor to ozone pollution, are emitted primarily from mobile sources.

**Greenhouse Gases** – Major greenhouse gases include ozone, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and hydrofluorocarbon.

People who live within 300 meters of major roadways such as SR 520 experience higher concentrations of certain air pollutants, especially fine particles, than people who live at greater distances. This can affect the health of people living close to the roadway. Roadways with high volumes of diesel vehicles pose more concern because chronic exposure to diesel particulates has been associated with a number of health risks (Houston et al., 2006). Traffic emissions also contribute to ambient levels of air pollution outside of this 300-meter distance. The health effects of pollutants on those closest to the highway may be best addressed through project design, while the broader effects of background pollutants are more appropriate to address on a regional or national basis. Potential measures to reduce the impact of air pollution on health include:
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» Keeping travel lanes, bridges, tunnels, tunnel vent stacks, and ramps away from homes, daycare facilities, schools, and other facilities where sensitive populations are staying.

» Providing vegetation buffers that include trees and shrubs between vehicle travel elements, such as ramps and lanes, and where people live, work, and play.

» Promoting less polluting travel modes, such as transit and bicycling, and traffic management strategies to reduce congestion.

Automobiles, Transit, Bicycling and Walking and Greenhouse Gas Emissions
The six-lane replacement, including the three design alternatives under consideration by the SR 520 Project Mediation Group, supports multiple travel modes. The provision of HOV lanes will improve transit speed and reliability and provide an attractive alternative to driving alone. The bicycle and pedestrian pathway will allow bicyclists to ride across SR 520 rather than load their bicycles onto buses. In addition, design features, such as pathways and lighting that create walkable environments in the corridor, and provide safe and convenient connections to destinations such as the University of Washington campus, will contribute to positive health consequences. Connections between SR 520 corridor transit services, local and express transit service, and Sound Transit’s light rail station at Husky Stadium, are likely to produce more opportunities for transit ridership, less single-occupant vehicle use, and fewer air pollutants.

The Puget Sound Clean Air Agency reviewed the greenhouse gas emissions associated with the three alternatives under consideration. The full Greenhouse Gas Analysis Report is available in the Appendix. The agency investigated the effect that changing key factors such as transit service, tolls, and parking fees in the corridor would have on greenhouse gas emissions. Because Alternatives K and L have similar lanes, grades, interchange designs, and total distances they were evaluated as one alternative.

All three alternatives result in similar levels of greenhouse gas emissions. Additionally, changing the key factors has a similar effect on greenhouse gas emissions. Increasing transit service, tolls, and parking fees leads to a shift to more transit activity and lower levels of greenhouse gas emissions for the alternatives. Decreasing transit service, tolls, and parking fees leads to higher levels of greenhouse gas emissions for the alternatives. The amount of the change in greenhouse emissions is also similar for each of the alternatives.

Transit Improvements and Health
Increased access to public transit may help promote and maintain active lifestyles. Walking to and from public transportation can help physically inactive populations attain the recommended level of daily physical activity (Besser et al., 2005). Increased walking or bicycling to and from transit can have a positive impact on many health concerns, including cardiovascular health, subsequent medical costs, and overall well-being.

Reliable, rapid, frequent, comfortable, safe, and easy-to-use service is important for people to choose transit. Transit becomes even more attractive as driving becomes more costly (through fuel prices, parking fees, and tolls) and as congestion increases.

The Westside of the SR 520 corridor has high-density neighborhoods and high levels of daily travel to the University of Washington and the Eastside. This land use pattern is conducive to successful transit (Cervero, 1993). Development patterns on the Eastside vary in density and will benefit from park-and-ride opportunities and transit transfer points to encourage transit use.

Nationally, an increased interest in public transportation is evident. A telephone survey by the National Association of Realtors and Smart Growth America in October 2007 found that three-fourths of Americans believe that being smarter...
As indicated in the SR 520 DEIS, the bicycle and pedestrian paths have the potential to connect the region’s longest and most popular trails and routes (Burke-Gilman Trail, Washington Park Arboretum Waterfront Trail, Lake Washington Loop Route, Sammamish River Trail, and East Lake Sammamish Trail) as well as many on-street bicycle routes. The project area is also near many recreational facilities, community sites, retail, and education establishments that are destinations for pedestrians and bicyclists and areas for physical activities.

The SR 520 Project can increase connectivity by providing a safe, continuous, and well-designed multimodal facility that capitalizes on adjacent neighborhoods and makes walking, bicycling, and transit travel efficient and enjoyable.

Because of the increase in available facilities, such as the trails, an increase in pedestrian and bicyclist activity is expected in this corridor. This increased physical activity will bring health benefits to the users. By walking or bicycling to work, school, or other destinations, individuals can reach the recommended 30+ minutes of moderate physical activity level, five days a week (DHHS, 2008). As energy prices rise and environmental concerns increase, more people may make a combined bicycle/pedestrian and transit trip in the corridor. When making connections is easy, convenient, reliable and quick, more people may choose alternatives to single-occupancy vehicle trips and may incorporate physical activity into their travel. This increased regular physical activity improves people’s quality of life as well as reduces risk for numerous chronic diseases.

Creating a wayfinding signage system that includes information on destinations, routes, and other tips for pedestrians and bicyclists is important in providing the knowledge and confidence necessary for efficiently using the connections and paths. The signage design should be coordinated among municipalities, the University of Washington, transit agencies, and others within the corridor to give pedestrians and bicyclists a system that is easy to recognize. The system should also include information about transit to enable quick understanding and selection of the appropriate transit routes so people can reach their destinations efficiently.

Many of the elements critical to supporting connectivity are included in the SR 520 Project alternatives. Having the ability to be physically active, to freely move through the corridor in pleasing natural surroundings and to reconnect neighborhoods will contribute to a healthy community.
FEELING AND BEING SAFE IN THE CORRIDOR

Feeling and being safe in one’s neighborhood is critical for community vitality and is a basic goal for all communities. People out for a casual walk in their neighborhood will choose the safest, most pleasant route, and possibly one where they are likely to run into a neighbor. Visual aids, such as long sight lines (being able to see what is around the corner) and adequate signage indicating the way is important for both walking and bicycling.

Lighted paths with long sight lines are likely to be used frequently by more people. Design features, such as separation and barriers between motorized and non-motorized routes can reduce the likelihood of accidents and can result in more people walking and bicycling. Many communities also designate a lane for bicyclists and rollerbladers, and another for pedestrians on heavily used paths to reduce the potential conflict between people traveling at different speeds. Addressing these concerns and ideas in the design phase will be critical in creating walking and bicycling opportunities that feel and are safe.

The following specific transit, bicycling, and walking recommendations will help produce positive health effects:

2) INCREASE AND IMPROVE TRANSIT SERVICE

to meet increased demand, attract more riders and reduce air pollution by implementing the following actions:

a) Provide a significant increase in the number of buses operating in the peak periods over the projected service described in the SR 520 DEIS.

b) Enhance transit and park-and-ride facilities serving the corridor with better weather protection, drop off areas, and more bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

c) Ensure that transit transfer points and light rail facilities are located as near each other as feasible, and connected by pedestrian and bicycle paths.

d) Promote the corridor as an area for implementing pilot programs, such as bus rapid transit, that have the potential to reduce single occupant vehicle travel.

e) Provide facilities and designs that make it easy for users to change modes without delaying their trips in the corridor.

3) INSTALL CONNECTED WALKING AND BICYCLING FACILITIES

throughout the corridor, including:

a) To, from, and across the corridor to adjacent neighborhoods

b) To and through parks, green spaces, regional trails, and the Washington Park Arboretum

c) To bus stops, bus transfer points, and the light rail station

4) PROVIDE SAFE MOBILITY

on pedestrian and bicycling paths, and at transit stops and transfer points by implementing the following actions:

a) Create lighted paths that are safe and perceived to be safe with high visibility.

b) Provide appropriate barriers and traffic calming features between shared paths and roadways where pedestrian, bicyclist, and traffic activity will be high.

c) Mark shared paths for bicyclists and pedestrians to minimize possible conflict.

d) Program the traffic monitoring cameras on the bridge to also monitor pathway use.
The following table summarizes the link between the transit, bicycling, and walking recommendations and potential health benefits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
<th>ACTIONS</th>
<th>HEALTH RELATED EFFECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Increase and improve transit service</td>
<td>• More frequent transit service with improved accessibility</td>
<td>• Reduce asthma exacerbation and respiratory disease risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Decreased roadway congestion and time spent in single occupancy vehicle</td>
<td>• Decrease cardiovascular disease risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Reduced emissions and improved air quality</td>
<td>• Decrease cancer risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More alternatives to vehicle use available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increased mobility options</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Install connected walking and bicycling facilities</td>
<td>• Improved pedestrian and bicycle flow via safe and continuous pedestrian and bicycle paths</td>
<td>• Decrease risk factors associated with inactivity, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, and osteoporosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Decreased motor vehicle use</td>
<td>• Reduce asthma exacerbation and respiratory disease risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More opportunities for physical activity with improved pedestrian and bicyclist environment</td>
<td>• Decrease cancer risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More alternatives to vehicle use available</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• More walking and bicycling to local destinations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Create a common way finding system</td>
<td>• More easily understood opportunities for physical activity on clearly identified pedestrian/bicycle paths and natural areas</td>
<td>• Decrease risk factors associated with inactivity, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, and osteoporosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Coordinated routes and signs that are easily understood</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Provide safe mobility</td>
<td>• Reduced barriers to walking/bicycling along a pathway</td>
<td>• Decrease risk factors associated with inactivity, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, and osteoporosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Paths shared by bicyclists and pedestrians are marked to minimize possible conflict</td>
<td>• Reduce pedestrian/bicyclist injury risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Decrease stress for pedestrians/bicyclists</td>
<td>• Decrease cancer risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Increase sense of safety and personal security</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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under the Mayor’s home, constructing a 14-acre park on top. In 1976, Seattle expressed great vision when public-spirited individuals and the City, County and State officials created a 5-acre landscaped lid (Freeway Park) over the below-grade portion of I-5 separating the First Hill neighborhood from Downtown Seattle. Projects are underway in many U.S. cities to create parks and open space on the top of transportation projects to save important connections.

The landscaped lids and other public spaces support social connections in a neighborhood by creating places where residents and visitors can gather and interact. Public areas that offer attractive walking destinations are more likely to provide opportunities for informal interaction (Wood et al., 2008; Lund, 2002). The landscaped lids will be destinations for area residents and visitors. Amenities and design in these spaces, such as benches and shaded areas, will encourage users to stay and interact with others. Design strategies can improve safety and the perception of safety and make the spaces more likely to be used (Forsyth et al., 2007).

Being able to easily and quickly access nearby places, such as grocery stores, community centers, parks, transit stops and neighboring communities can make people’s daily life less stressful. The ability to reach these places on foot, bicycle, or short bus ride may free up time for other important or satisfying activities (Public Health – Seattle & King County, 2005). Adding to this benefit is evidence suggesting that the amount of time people spend driving in their cars alone impacts their engagement in community life and interferes with developing strong neighborhood ties – each additional 10 minutes in daily commuting time cuts involvement in community affairs by 10 percent (Putnam, 2000).

The new lid connections also will provide non-motorized transportation opportunities for people to readily travel between neighborhoods and increase the likelihood of meeting physical activity recommendations (Powell et al., 2003). This can in turn have a positive effect on air quality in the corridor with the reduction in vehicle miles driven for short neighborhood trips.

**GREEN SPACES PROMOTE WELL-BEING**

“Many of the best places are neither home nor work, but ‘third places’ in the public realm… Such public places are important venues for a wide variety of activities, such as social interaction and physical activity, which have clear health implications” (Frumkin, 2003).

Green spaces are essential to the health of communities as they connect residents with the natural world. Exposure to natural environments enhances the ability to cope with and recover from stress, and observing nature can restore concentration and improve productivity. The increase of landscaped and green public spaces can also lead to improved mental well-being and increased physical activity. Having nature in close proximity or just knowing it exists is important to people regardless of whether they are regular users (Maller et al., 2005).

Studies also indicate that landscaping in urban living areas is positively correlated with more use of the space and more vitality and interactions in the space (Sullivan et al., 2004). Green spaces become centers that bring a diverse group of individuals of different ages, socio-economic levels, and cultures together to enjoy an escape from everyday stresses. Research shows that residents of neighborhoods with access to greenery in common spaces are more likely to enjoy stronger social ties than those who live surrounded by barren concrete (Gies, 2007).

In addition to including green spaces on the freeway lids, the rich natural environment and the existing park property around Portage Bay and Union Bay in the SR 520 corridor provide a unique opportunity for thousands of people to enjoy and find renewal in a dense urban setting. Opportunities to visually enjoy wetlands, open water, the Washington Park Arboretum, and wildlife abound in the SR 520 corridor. It is also a wonderful visual relief from urban congestion for those who transverse the area.

Parks play an important role in promoting a sense of well-being and happiness, as well as in promoting social support and physical activity. Outdoor activity is widely thought to enable one to escape from the pressures of modern living, achieve an enhanced state of relaxation and refreshment, tackle new challenges, and reduce anxiety and stress levels. The proposed paths described in the SR 520 DEIS will connect bicyclists and pedestrians to numerous green spaces along the corridor. The green spaces themselves can also become corridors that link travelers to shops, transit stops, places of employment, and schools.

The inclusion of green spaces with trees, shrubs, and turf also has important implications for air quality. Emissions from automobile and truck exhaust contain significant pollutants, including carbon monoxide, volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter. Trees and other plants make their own
Health Impact Assessment Recommendations

Landscaped Lids and Green Spaces

Continued

food from carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, water, sunlight and a small amount of soil elements. In the process, they release oxygen for us to breathe and reduce warming of the atmosphere. Also, trees alter their proximal environment by moderating climate, improving air quality, conserving water, and harboring wildlife (Burden, 2006).

Taking opportunities to include and enhance the essential green spaces in the SR 520 corridor is a critical element for healthy communities. With development of new landscaped areas and preservation of current parks and green spaces, the SR 520 corridor can be an oasis for renewal, social interaction, and physical activity.

The following specific landscaped lids and green space recommendations will help enhance positive health outcomes:

1) **Include six landscaped freeway lids** that connect SR 520 communities (i.e. on the Westside at I-5, 10th Avenue and Delmar Drive East, and at Montlake Boulevard; and, on the Eastside at Evergreen Point Way, 84th Avenue Northeast, and 92nd Avenue Northeast).

   a) Design lids with landscaping, green spaces, and amenities, such as benches, bike racks, public restrooms, and shaded areas, to attract more public use.
   b) Design lids with good visibility and sightlines and that avoid isolated areas.
   c) Install emergency call boxes on the lids to provide for personal security.

2) **Use landscaping materials throughout the SR 520 corridor**, along adjacent trails and roadways, and at transit stops to soften the concrete footprint.

3) **Improve and preserve the integrity of the Washington Park Arboretum**, and the ability of visitors to enjoy it and other green spaces and natural areas.

4) **Preserve access to the waterfront for water-related activities**, such as currently available at the University of Washington’s Waterfront Activity Center.

The following table summarizes the link between the landscaped lids and green space recommendations and potential health benefits:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RECOMMENDATIONS</th>
<th>ACTIONS</th>
<th>HEALTH RELATED EFFECTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Include six landscaped freeway lids</td>
<td>Increased walkability and bikeability of neighborhoods with increased connectivity</td>
<td>Decrease risk factors associated with inactivity, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, and osteoporosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased proximity of residents to green spaces and destinations</td>
<td>Improve mental well-being (increase sense of belonging and social support)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased recreational opportunities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased access to the waterfront for waterfront activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased social connections of neighborhood with opportunity for neighbor interactions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased use of motor vehicles for short trips (shops, school, library, transit stops, parks)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Provision of an environment where people can relax, discuss concerns, and exchange ideas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased perception of safety with enhancement of lids with lighting, benches and good visibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Use landscaping materials throughout the SR 520 corridor</td>
<td>Addition of green spaces into an urban environment</td>
<td>Reduce stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased opportunity for contact with natural surroundings</td>
<td>Reduce recovery time from illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased number of trees and vegetation capable of trapping air polluting</td>
<td>Decrease respiratory disease risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased noise pollution through vegetation dampening sound</td>
<td>Decrease noise-related annoyance, stress, and stress-related health effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased shade which reduces urban heat island effect</td>
<td>Reduce risk of sleep disturbances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease mental fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Improve and preserve the integrity of the Washington Park Arboretum, and the ability of visitors to enjoy it and other green spaces and natural areas</td>
<td>Increased opportunity for contact with natural surroundings</td>
<td>Decrease risk factors associated with inactivity, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, and osteoporosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased number of trees and vegetation capable of trapping air polluting</td>
<td>Increase mental well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased recreational opportunities</td>
<td>Decrease stress, depression, and anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased social connections</td>
<td>Reduce recovery time from illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promotion of environmental stewardship</td>
<td>Decrease mental fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Decreased noise pollution through vegetation dampening sound</td>
<td>Increased concentration and productivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased shade that reduces urban heat island effect</td>
<td>Decrease noise-related annoyance, stress, and stress-related health effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Preserve access to the waterfront for water-related activities</td>
<td>Increased opportunity for contact with natural surroundings</td>
<td>Decrease risk factors associated with inactivity, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity and osteoporosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased recreational opportunities</td>
<td>Increase mental well-being</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased social connections</td>
<td>Decrease stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Promotion of environmental stewardship</td>
<td>Reduce recovery time from illness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Health Impact Assessment Recommendations

Design Features for Healthy Communities

INTRODUCTION

Conventional street and roadway design has historically been driven by traffic demand and level of service. Environmental regulations have helped to avoid adverse impacts on the environment, but little attention has focused on how design features can impact health. The SR 520 Project is an opportunity to create a transportation project that protects the environment and provides a healthy community.

In this section, roadway design effects on health are explored. One of the biggest annoyances produced by roadways today is the noise experienced in neighborhoods adjacent to roadways. The visual character of a community and the experience one has while in it also impacts health. And, finally storm water runoff from roadways can impact health in ways that need to be considered.

NOISE AFFECTS QUALITY OF LIFE

The noise level influences the experience residents and visitors have in a community. Researchers have examined the relationship between noise and human health for several decades. Regulations to control noise began to be enacted in the United States in the seventies based on the findings of such research. Annoyance is the often-cited consequence of noise, and it is widely agreed that annoyance detrimentally affects an individual’s well-being and state of mind. However, attempts to link annoyance to specific health indicators, such as stress or blood pressure, have not yielded uniform results. Annoyance can certainly result in social discontent in neighborhoods affected by noise. A more thorough discussion on how noise impacts hearing loss, sleep disturbances, cardiovascular disease and other issues is found in the Noise Issue Paper in the Appendix.

Households near the proposed landscaped lids will experience a reduction of noise below the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) action level of 67dBA. The level of 67 dBA (an acoustical unit of measurement) is within the range of normal conversation. This is the level at which long-term noise reduction strategies like landscaped lids, quieter pavement, and noise walls must be evaluated. The landscaped lids will reduce noise for nearby residents and the hundreds of pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders who will use these areas for shopping, recreation, socializing, or traveling to and from work. It will be important during design to ensure that any proposed noise walls do not create additional problems. Safety issues could arise by creating areas of entrapment or isolation along the corridor. Noise walls could increase unsightly concrete surfaces interfering with views of water or natural areas. They can also isolate neighborhoods and interfere with social interactions of community members. As the project proceeds, emerging noise-reduction technologies and strategies need to be evaluated and considered. Funds for these features should not be compromised as this project moves forward.

COMMUNITY CHARACTER CREATES VITALITY AND GOOD HEALTH

How communities are designed also impacts the overall daily experience of residents and visitors. An area’s visual character and perceived safety are important factors for drawing pedestrians and bicyclists from point to point. People are more likely to walk or bicycle longer distances when they anticipate something of interest ahead and when they feel safe.

Designing these features on a human scale is also important, since people will feel more comfortable in the space. Destination walkers may often be walking out of necessity and the most direct and pleasant routes will be used more frequently. An integrated design approach that incorporates architectural features, landscape forms, art, textures, and color can add to a community’s visual character. In the SR 520 Project, opportunities for an integrated design approach exist along pedestrian and bicycle paths, on landscaped lids, and at transit stops.
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Residents value specific attributes of their community, whether it is the economic vitality of their neighborhoods, its history, ease of mobility and safe streets, the quality of schools, natural resources, scenic qualities, or the local system of parks. A successful transportation project must be in harmony with the community and preserve resources of the area. WSDOT is working with communities using a process called Context Sensitive Solutions to make transportation investments that improve the way people live and work together. It is a collaborative, interdisciplinary approach that involves stakeholders in developing transportation facilities that fit their physical settings and preserve scenic, aesthetic, historic, and environmental resources, while maintaining safety and mobility.

In 2006, WSDOT convened the Design Advisory Group, a committee of volunteers from communities along the SR 520 corridor, to discuss the future character of the project. The SR 520 Corridor Aesthetics Handbook – Ideas for Urban Corridor Design was created as a result of these efforts and will be used to develop aesthetic guidelines for the facility and corridor. The guidebook outlines WSDOT’s philosophical principles that: (1) aesthetics and engineering are good partners and they challenge each other to be better; (2) aesthetics will be an integral part of good engineering design; (3) aesthetic treatments do not have to be expensive or a maintenance burden to be successful; and (4) the SR 520 communities will be an integral part of the future interdisciplinary team. WSDOT has been working with Eastside communities to develop design ideas for the eastern portion of the project and will begin this work with Westside communities. This is great step in creating a sense of community and vitality that will be important to the community’s health.

**PUBLIC ART AND DESIGN HUMANIZE A ROADWAY**

The SR 520 corridor has a rich natural environment with unique opportunities to view the lake and adjacent natural lands whether traveling by automobile, transit, bicycle or on foot. The addition of art enhances this experience. Public art is a way to humanize large infrastructure projects. Arts can be valuable to civic renewal and can nurture social connections by helping communities to understand and celebrate their heritage. Incorporating artistic elements can soften the otherwise monotonous expanse of concrete. Involving artists early in the SR 520 Project design process can provide for unique and creative solutions to gathering spaces, signage, noise walls, and many other aspects of the project.

Adding artistic elements and designing them early in the project can reduce construction-related costs. Maintenance of these elements does not have to be expensive or burdensome to be successful. Opportunities to partner with other local jurisdictions and neighborhood communities to fund and incorporate art into the project should be explored. Federal funding sources are also available for artistic elements.

**WATER QUALITY IS VITAL TO THIS REGION**

Maintaining and improving water quality is essential to protecting public health and creating healthy sustainable communities. Water quality can affect the health of people who drink from or swim in a water body and those who eat fish caught in it. Lake Washington and the creeks in the project area are not used as sources of public drinking water, but the lake is used for swimming and for recreational, commercial, and tribal fishing. Although the water quality of Lake Washington has improved dramatically in the last 50 years thanks to the elimination of sewage discharges, the lake is still affected by pollution. A significant source of pollutants is storm water runoff, which contains heavy metals, petroleum products, and plastics from roads and
Lake Washington is an extraordinary natural resource that helps define the essence of this region, and it is critical that it be protected from pollution that can affect its health and the health of those who use it. The SR 520 is not the only polluter of the lake, but reducing contaminant runoff would be an important component to reducing lake pollution levels and preserving a most valuable regional asset.

The following specific design feature recommendations will help reduce potential health impacts:

1) Reduce Noise Throughout the Corridor
   by implementing the following actions:
   a) Incorporate multiple solutions (e.g. freeway lids, noise walls, quieter pavement, landscaping) to reduce noise in the corridor for the lifespan of the project.
   b) Design sound walls that decrease noise but do not result in additional problems (e.g. isolated areas, unsightly concrete structures, interference of natural views).

2) Add to the Adjacent Communities’ Visual Character with Art and Design
   by implementing the following actions:
   a) Incorporate architectural, art, and design solutions into all elements of the project (i.e. landscaped lids, trails, noise walls, transit infrastructure, bicycle storage areas, signage, and structural components of the bridge) that harmonize with adjacent neighborhoods and natural surroundings and conceal the roadway footprint.
   b) Design landscaped lids, walking and bicycling paths, transit infrastructure, and other elements within a human scale to make the user feel more comfortable and not overwhelmed by the adjacent large concrete structures.
   c) Identify areas and opportunities for art early in the WSDOT design process that reflect and build upon strategies in the SR 520 Corridor Aesthetics Handbook – Ideas for Urban Corridor Design and partner with local jurisdictions, neighborhood organizations or others to collaborate on these projects.

3) Utilize Innovative Storm Water Management Practices
   along the SR 520 corridor to substantially reduce vehicular pollution from entering Lake Washington.
The region has the opportunity of a generation with the SR 520 Project to build healthy places to live, work, and play. Interest is growing around the world on how to move people differently within a transportation system. Additionally, a movement is underway in the United States and internationally to conceal highways with parks and natural area landscapes and to reconnect neighborhoods and natural features separated by past transportation projects.

The region continues to face budget constraints, but it is critical that these recommendations remain integral to the project to gain optimal benefits. Unfortunately, in past public projects, landscaping, walking and bicycling opportunities or other important healthy features were reduced or eliminated to decrease costs or to meet budget restrictions. Many times these elements are seen as extras or amenities. They are not extras or amenities. They are critical for healthy communities.

No single action is going to solve the chronic disease challenges of today, but through many actions creating healthier communities is achievable. Public projects do have an impact on health. This is the time to do everything possible to create healthy communities.

This region has the opportunity of a generation with the SR 520 Project to build healthy places to live, work, and play. “
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DISCUSSION OF HIA SOCIAL AND HEALTH INDICATORS

Recognizing that risk of disease and injury can be mitigated through the built environment, relevant health indicators were examined as part of the SR 520 Health Impact Assessment (HIA), including general health status, weight control, social connection, physical activity, mental health, education, and household income. The HIA project area was compared to King County as a whole:

DEMOGRAPHICS

The SR 520 study area tends to have less diversity in race/ethnicity, a higher proportion of whites, and a higher income as compared to King County. Fewer children and more young adults reside in this area. This can influence the health conditions of the area.

ECONOMIC INDICATORS

A strong association exists between the level of wealth and positive health status. The SR 520 study area had more households making $75,000 or more a year and fewer households making $50,000-$75,000 as compared to King County. Additionally, study area residents were more likely to have received some college education or have a college degree.

HEALTH INDICATORS

General health status is one measure of quality of life that factors in a person’s physical and social environments and of a person’s perceived health (DHHS, 2000). Individuals experiencing poor physical and/or mental health may be less likely to engage in positive health behaviors. The SR 520 study area has similar rates of poor general health and poor mental health status as compared to King County.

Lack of exercise and being overweight are risk factors for serious illnesses such as coronary heart disease, hypertension, and diabetes, and contribute to premature death. Residents of the study area have significantly lower rates of obesity than the King County average. Leisure time physical activity gives a picture of people who engaged in no physical activity in the past month in their non-work time. The major barriers most people face when trying to increase physical activity are time, access to convenient facilities, and safe environments in which to be active (DHHS, 2000). The SR 520 study area is similar to King County in terms of individuals who are leading a sedentary lifestyle.

While the difference is not significant, a higher proportion of people living in the SR 520 study area have jobs that are more sedentary. Sedentary jobs can lead to an increased need to participate in leisure time physical activity.

Social connection examines the relationship that residents have with their community and neighborhood. Many factors can influence this connection, including characteristics of the natural and built environment, as well as where a major road may hinder access to parks or services for some neighborhood residents, street location, locale (urban or rural), and the neighborhood population makeup.

Survey respondents were asked if they felt their neighbors could be trusted. No significant differences could be determined in the study area as compared to King County.

Life expectancy is the number of years the average person can expect to live and reflects risk of death at all ages. An infant born in the SR 520 study area in 2006 has a slightly higher life expectancy (84.2 years) than King County (81.1 years). Life expectancy at 50 years is more strongly affected by chronic disease and injury, the major causes of death for older people. Residents of the SR 520 study area have a longer life expectancy at 50 (86.6 years) than the King County average (83.3).

Heart disease, diabetes, and asthma are health conditions in which changes in the built environment can impact through encouraging increased physical activity. Residents of the study area are less likely to be hospitalized or to die from heart disease or diabetes as compared to King County.

Asthma is the most common chronic childhood illness, and is often used as an indicator of environmental health. Asthma morbidity and mortality are closely related to both indoor and outdoor air quality. Outdoor air pollutants that can exacerbate asthma include pollen, mold, and pollutants such as nitrogen dioxide, sulfur dioxide, ozone, and diesel exhaust particles (Pandya et al., 2002). Rates of childhood asthma hospitalization are higher in the study area (207.7 per 100,000) than in King County as a whole (157.6 per 100,000).
**Appendix A:**

**Health Indicators (Percent, 3-Year Average, 2001-2007)**
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<td></td>
<td></td>
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</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
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</table>
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**Appendix B:**

**Air Quality Issue Paper**

*September 2008*

Clean, healthy air is important for public health, quality of life, and climate protection.

**Introduction**

Exposure to air pollutants is associated with a wide range of health problems – from throat irritation and respiratory ailments, to heart disease and cancer. These effects are often greater among sensitive populations, including children, older adults, and those with compromised immune systems. Top air quality health concerns in the Puget Sound Region include:

**Fine Particles and Diesel Particulate Matter**

- Exposure to fine particles is associated with reduced lung function, asthma exacerbation, irritation, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, heart attack onset, increased hypertension, and stroke onset (EPA, 2005).
- Diesel exhaust is a significant fine-particle emission source because of its high carcinogenicity and toxicity and likely long-term increase in risk of lung cancer (California Air Resource Board; EPA, 2003).

**Air Toxics**

- Top air toxics of concern include diesel particulate matter, formaldehyde, benzene, 1,3-butadiene, and acetaldehyde (PSCAA, 2003).
- Many air toxics are linked with increased potential cancer risk, as well as respiratory irritation and nervous system effects (EPA, May 2008).

**Ozone**

- Exposure to ozone is linked with respiratory irritation and reduced lung function, as well as adverse cardiac (heart) effects (EPA, 2007).
- Volatile organic compounds, a main contributor to ozone pollution, are emitted primarily from mobile sources (PSCAA, 2005).

**Greenhouse Gases**

- Climate change refers to the various impacts of an ever-warmer planet, brought on by increased levels of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere (PSCAA, June 2008).
- The major greenhouse gases include ozone, carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, and hydrofluorocarbons (PSCAA, June 2008).
- Climate change may increase the risk of infectious diseases, exacerbate respiratory disorders and prolong disease transmission seasons (EPA, June 2008).
The effect of construction vehicle and equipment emissions on air quality and public health can be reduced through readily available mitigation measures including:

- Using all new diesel equipment and vehicles or installing emission reduction equipment on existing diesel vehicles and equipment.
- Implementing idling reduction programs.
- Diesel powered vehicles and construction equipment can be retrofit with emission reduction devices that can reduce particulate emissions from 25 percent to 85 percent, depending on the technology used (EPA, May 2008).

Construction activity can increase congestion thereby increasing air pollution and its impact on drivers, passengers, pedestrians, cyclists, residents, nearby parks and neighborhoods, and the region.

Construction-related lane closures, detours, and buses traveling in general purpose lanes can result in reduced travel speeds, increased congestion and increased air pollution. The SR 520 DEIS identifies numerous measures to reduce congestion and the associated increase in air pollution including:

- Increasing bus service.
- Providing temporary lane configurations.
- Providing incentives for the contractor to accelerate construction.
- Scheduling construction during the lowest traffic periods.

WSDOT has proposed construction staging areas that do not expose many residents or neighborhoods to air pollutants.

The proposed construction equipment and project materials staging areas are mostly located in or near the right-of-way where construction is occurring (WSDOT, 2006, p 8-9). Only the staging area in the westbound HOV lane on the Eastside of the bridge is near residences and a school.

Vehicles hauling materials and equipment will travel through residential and commercial neighborhoods, exposing equipment operators, shoppers, pedestrians, and residents to fine particulate and diesel particulate matter emissions.

The land side haul routes will occur on approximately a dozen streets in both commercial and residential areas and average 2-5 truck trips per hour with increases to 3-12 trips per hour during periods of peak activity (WSDOT, 2006, p 8-15).

Traffic on the SR 520 facilities will contribute to emissions and increase concentrations in areas approximately 300 meters on either side, which will affect the health of the drivers, passengers, pedestrians, bicyclists, and nearby residents.

Vehicle-related pollutants such as fine particles are highly concentrated immediately downwind from major roadways. People who live within 300 meters of major roadways such as SR 520 experience higher concentrations of certain air pollutants, especially fine particles, than people who live at greater distances.

A study by Frank et al. (2006) found that an increase in walkability is associated with fewer vehicle miles traveled and less nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds per capita. Research shows the majority of residents living within one-quarter mile of a transit station arrive by foot or bicycle (Cervero, 2004). Culture, weather, topography, and urban environment, such as barriers like wide busy roads, also influence how far people are willing to walk (Cervero, 2004; Alfonzo, 2005).

Construction impacts air quality and public health in the project site, and in roads, pathways, parks, residences, daycare facilities, and neighborhoods near the project site.

TYPICAL EMISSIONS DURING CONSTRUCTION INCLUDE:

- Dust (particulate matter) from excavation, grading, loading, and unloading and demolition of structures and pavement.
- Particulates, air toxics, volatile organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen and greenhouse gases from construction vehicles, worker vehicles, diesel construction equipment, and increased congestion on the roads in and near the project site.

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) reduces construction emissions by following practices specified in a memorandum of agreement with the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency such as:

- Covering dirt, debris, and gravel piles to reduce dust.
- Restricting traffic on the construction site to minimize soil disturbance and transport onto roadways (WSDOT, 2006, p 8-28).

DISCUSSION

Cars, trucks, and other mobile sources, such as construction equipment, contribute approximately half of all the air pollution in the region (PSCAA, 2005). For some pollutants of concern such as diesel particulate matter, mobile sources contribute much more than half. Vehicle type, engine type (such as gas or diesel), travel speed, travel time, and miles traveled all affect the quantity and type of emissions.

Landscaped lids over SR 520 can improve air quality directly through the tree canopy and indirectly by providing easy access to transit and light rail stops and neighborhoods.

Urban trees can help improve air quality by absorbing, binding, intercepting, and storing pollutants including ozone, particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide, and carbon monoxide; by sequestering carbon dioxide; and, by helping to counteract the urban heat-island effect (Nowak et al.; Center for Urban Horticulture; U.S. Forest Service).

Design alternatives that create walkable environments and locate the light rail station and the transit transfer centers near each other are likely to produce more transit ridership, less single-occupancy vehicle use, and fewer mobile-source air pollutants.

A study by Frank et al. (2006) found that an increase in walkability is associated with fewer vehicle miles traveled and less nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds per capita. Research shows the majority of residents living within one-quarter mile of a transit station arrive by foot or bicycle (Cervero, 2004).

Construction-related lane closures, detours, and buses traveling in general purpose lanes can result in reduced travel speeds, increased congestion and increased air pollution. The SR 520 DEIS identifies numerous measures to reduce congestion and the associated increase in air pollution including:

- Increasing bus service.
- Providing temporary lane configurations.
- Providing incentives for the contractor to accelerate construction.
- Scheduling construction during the lowest traffic periods.

WSDOT has proposed construction staging areas that do not expose many residents or neighborhoods to air pollutants.

The proposed construction equipment and project materials staging areas are mostly located in or near the right-of-way where construction is occurring (WSDOT, 2006, p 8-9). Only the staging area in the westbound HOV lane on the Eastside of the bridge is near residences and a school.

Vehicles hauling materials and equipment will travel through residential and commercial neighborhoods, exposing equipment operators, shoppers, pedestrians, and residents to fine particulate and diesel particulate matter emissions.

The land side haul routes will occur on approximately a dozen streets in both commercial and residential areas and average 2-5 truck trips per hour with increases to 3-12 trips per hour during periods of peak activity (WSDOT, 2006, p 8-15).

Traffic on the SR 520 facilities will contribute to emissions and increase concentrations in areas approximately 300 meters on either side, which will affect the health of the drivers, passengers, pedestrians, bicyclists, and nearby residents.

Vehicle-related pollutants such as fine particles are highly concentrated immediately downwind from major roadways. People who live within 300 meters of major roadways such as SR 520 experience higher concentrations of certain air pollutants, especially fine particles, than people who live at greater distances.

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) reduces construction emissions by following practices specified in a memorandum of agreement with the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency such as:

- Covering dirt, debris, and gravel piles to reduce dust.
- Restricting traffic on the construction site to minimize soil disturbance and transport onto roadways (WSDOT, 2006, p 8-28).

Typical Emissions During Construction Include:

- Dust (particulate matter) from excavation, grading, loading, and unloading and demolition of structures and pavement.
- Particulates, air toxics, volatile organic compounds, oxides of nitrogen and greenhouse gases from construction vehicles, worker vehicles, diesel construction equipment, and increased congestion on the roads in and near the project site.

The Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT) reduces construction emissions by following practices specified in a memorandum of agreement with the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency such as:

- Covering dirt, debris, and gravel piles to reduce dust.
- Restricting traffic on the construction site to minimize soil disturbance and transport onto roadways (WSDOT, 2006, p 8-28).
This can affect the health of people living close to the roadway. Roadways with high volumes of diesel vehicles pose more concern because chronic exposure to diesel particulates has been associated with a number of health risks (Houston et al., 2006). Traffic emissions also contribute to ambient levels of air pollution outside of this 300-meter distance. The health effects of pollutants on those closest to the highway may be best addressed through project design, while the broader effects of background pollutants are more appropriate to address on a regional or national basis. The illustration identifies land uses with sensitive and vulnerable populations, including daycare centers and schools within a 300-meter corridor along SR 520.

The ventilation stacks for the anticipated Montlake Cut tunnel, which would be 20’ x 40’ x 70’ high, appear to be in the parking lot south of Husky Stadium and in East Montlake Park, which are not near residential neighborhoods (J. Young, personal communication, May 16, 2008).

Currently, the peak-period trip is severely congested, with stop-and-go travel and takes about 13 minutes in a single-occupant vehicle and 11 minutes in a bus or 3+ person carpool. Under free-flow traffic conditions, the trip takes about 8 minutes (WSDOT, 2006, p. 4-6). Emissions rates are higher during stop-and-go, congested travel than free flow travel operating at the same average speed (EPA, Sept 2003).

Transit, carpool, and single occupancy vehicle travelers will be able to move through the corridor quicker in 2030 than if the project is not built. Transit and carpool travelers will generally see travel times increase over their current 2008 levels, but still below the 2030 forecasted travel times if the project is not built. However, even with construction of the project, single-occupant vehicle travelers will spend approximately twice as much time traveling in the corridor as is currently done (WSDOT, 2006, p. 4-6).

The project will reduce emissions compared to not building it because it will reduce future travel times for buses, carpools, and single-occupant vehicles.

The project will not be built (WSDOT, 2006, p 4-11). The increase in person trips relative to the increase in vehicle trips indicates a shift to carpools and buses primarily due to tolls and congestion (WSDOT, 2006, Transportation Discipline Report p 4-8).

Approximately 30 percent more buses would be needed to accommodate the projected growth in demand for bus service in the morning. The six-lane project design will have available roadway capacity to serve transit service demand (WSDOT, 2006, Transportation Discipline Report p 7-16).

**SUMMARY**

Because air pollution produces some of the most significant adverse health effects associated with major transportation projects, it is essential that air quality be a central focus of the SR 520 Project. Readily available technology and WSDOT’s existing procedures and practices can help reduce air quality concerns during the seven-year construction period. The vegetation on landscaped freeway lids can directly contribute to lower air pollution, help provide easier access to neighborhoods on both sides of the SR 520 corridor, and help encourage more walking, bicycling and transit use, which also can contribute directly to lower air pollution. These features of the project should be viewed not as optional, but as essential to promoting the health of residents and their communities.
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Appendix C: Water Quality Issue Paper

September 2008

Clean water is indicative of a healthy ecosystem and essential to protecting human, plant, and animal health

INTRODUCTION

Within the natural environment of the SR 520 corridor, Lake Washington is an extraordinary resource that helps define the region. Lake Washington is an important cornerstone of the Cedar and Sammamish river watershed in King County. This fresh water body is home to a complex aquatic life system and a source of recreation and beauty. In the last 50 years, it has come under enormous stress from waste disposal, development, and a rapidly growing population.

Storm water is the leading contributor to water quality pollution of urban waterways in Washington (Ecology). Currently, the SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge does not have a storm water treatment system and roadway pollutants are carried directly into the lake and associated wetlands. The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project will create new impervious surface, generating additional storm water runoff, and, construction will remove vegetation, increasing the potential for erosion into surface waters. The proposed project includes storm water treatment facilities such as water quality vaults, storm water wetlands, bridge column treatment wetlands, and other techniques to treat storm water before it enters Lake Washington, all of which can help to reduce the pollutants that enter Lake Washington (WSDOT, 2006).

DISCUSSION

Seattle began discharging raw sewage into Lake Washington around 1900, and as early as 1926 sewage was diverted from the lake to the Duwamish River and Puget Sound. Suburban growth contributed to the lake’s pollution. Ten sewage treatment plants were built around the lake between 1941 and 1953, which released a total of 20 million gallons effluent a day. Researchers studying the lake’s biology and chemistry predicted that the increased nutrients would stimulate nuisance algal conditions. In 1958, a referendum passed to fund a major trunk sewer to treat and divert all wastewater effluents, excluding storm water, from the lake into Puget Sound. As the system diverted effluents to the Sound, Lake Washington’s deterioration slowed, and then stopped by about 1964. By 1965, there were signs that the lake was improving, (KCDNRP).

Lake Washington has, however, had a slow decline in water quality since the late 1970s as measured by nutrient content, water transparency, bacteria, and toxics. Direct storm water deposition and air deposition are two known contributors to water pollution. Direct storm water contamination comes from improper uses at shoreline properties, normal vehicle use, and vehicular accidents on the roadways. Vehicle operations leave heavy metals, petroleum products and plastics on the roadways. Accidents can cause water pollution from gasoline and diesel spills. In addition, air deposition of toxics from vehicles onto the water is potentially a major contributor to water pollution in the lake.
Nitrogen, ammonia, and fecal coliform bacteria – vehicle traffic is a source of

Barium, copper, and lead – found in brake-wear dust.

Phthalate compounds – found in a variety of consumer products, including plastics and car parts.

Total petroleum hydrocarbons.

4-nonylphenol – a degradation byproduct and surfactant use in detergent gasoline and lubrication oils.

Bisphenol-A – plasticizer used in a wide variety of consumer product including automobiles.

Nitrogen, ammonia, and fecal coliform bacteria – vehicle traffic is a source of nitrogen compounds and bird droppings from roosting birds on the west high rise structure appear to be likely source of nutrients and bacteria.
Appendix D: Noise Issue Paper

September 2008

Community noise is a source of annoyance and may cause health problems. Reconstruction of SR 520 could affect neighboring communities both during construction and operation.

INTRODUCTION

Noise is a nuisance that can have negative effects on the health of individuals and communities. Transportation sources such as motor vehicles are major sources of community noise, particularly in urban and industrialized areas.

The SR 520 corridor was built in the 1960s, prior to the establishment of noise regulations for federal highways. The proposals for the reconstruction of the SR 520 corridor integrate noise-reducing design features along all sections of the project area that affect surrounding communities. This includes most of the project, except possibly the middle section of the bridge over Lake Washington. This report discusses what is known about the relationship between noise and health for individuals and communities and the noise effects of the SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project as outlined in Washington State Department of Transportation’s (WSDOT) Noise Discipline Report submitted with the SR 520 Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS).

The reconstruction of SR 520 could affect nearby neighborhoods both during construction and during operation as a consequence of its design.

CONSTRUCTION - The activities and equipment associated with the various phases of highway construction can create significant noise within a community. Construction vehicles are often louder than passenger vehicles, and construction equipment can generate noise characterized as either “impact” or “impulse” noise. Such noise is often repetitious and may have low-frequency components.

In the case of the SR 520 Project, construction is expected to take seven years or longer and potential for detrimental effects on surrounding communities is great.

Design - WSDOT has conducted extensive studies on the effects various bridge designs would have on community noise. The SR 520 DEIS Noise Discipline Report focuses on four-lane and six-lane design options. Though both options would reduce the effects of traffic noise on nearby communities, the six-lane option provides for lids that would benefit more residences in the project area.

In certain sections of the corridor, particularly within Seattle at the west side of SR 520, traffic noise from local streets appears to influence noise levels to a greater extent than the proposed project (WSDOT, 2006).
Currently, more design options are being considered within the six-lane configuration. As part of federally required mitigation, noise walls are included in these discussions. The placement and design of the roadway have also been designed to help minimize noise impacts to the surrounding neighborhoods. Mediation team members have requested that other technologies, such as the quieter pavement currently being researched by WSDOT, be considered for minimizing noise effects.

Researchers have examined the relationship of noise to human health for several decades. Regulations to control noise began to be enacted in the United States in the 1970s based on research findings. The typical measure of noise used in regulations is the decibel, which measures pressure levels in the atmosphere resulting from sounds against a standard reference sound at a specified distance. The scale is logarithmic. Figure 1 demonstrates some common sounds or noises and their relative strength. (Timmerson, 1999)

The importance of efforts to mitigate the noise of construction and operation of a SR 520 replacement is underscored by extensive, ongoing research. These are some of the main findings.

Annoyance – An often cited consequence of noise is annoyance. It is widely agreed that annoyance has a detrimental effect on an individual's well-being and state of mind. Attempts to link annoyance to specific health indicators, such as stress or blood pressure, have not yielded uniform results. However, annoyance can certainly result in social discontent in neighborhoods affected by noise, as evidenced by the prevalence of noise regulations in many urban areas.

Hearing Loss or Degradation – The loss or degradation of hearing following exposure to excessive amounts of sound is the most documented and well-understood connection between noise and health. Standards have been developed in the United States and other industrialized countries for protective noise-exposure levels in industrial settings. Studies of community noise exposure and hearing loss have not demonstrated a clear link between the two. Guidelines developed by the World Health Organization state that a lifetime of regular exposure of 70 dBA could produce negligible hearing loss (Berglund et al., 2000).

Cardiovascular Disease – While little convincing evidence of a link between traffic noise and blood pressure has been shown, recent studies indicate potential links between ischemic heart disease, a commonly occurring type of heart disease, and long-term exposure to high levels of road traffic noise (Babisch, 2006). While these relative risks are low, the ubiquity of noise and prevalence of ischemic heart disease make it a potentially important public health issue. Researchers are also examining the combined role of air pollution and noise pollution (which have the same sources) to cardiovascular disease.

Communication Interference – Humans discriminate among sounds and focus on those related to a certain task, even when background noise levels are high. Speech becomes more difficult to understand at higher levels of background noise which in turn makes communication more difficult. Older adults, children in the process of language acquisition, those with hearing impairment, and those not familiar with the spoken language are particularly vulnerable to speech interference effects (Watkins et al., 2000).

Sleep Disturbance – Community noise regulations place a value on lower aggregate noise levels at night. Noise can cause sleep disturbance, but it is difficult to correlate increasing aggregate noise exposures to sleep disturbance. This may be because individuals can become accustomed to noise levels. The long-term health effects from sleep disturbance may result in lowered performance and physiological effects.

Work and Learning Performance – Researchers have long sought to characterize how noise might affect the performance of tasks in working and learning environments. Performance degradation is often linked to other potential effects of noise, such as hearing loss, communication interference, sleep disturbance, and annoyance. As a result, most studies have not demonstrated direct links between noise exposure and performance degradation. A notable exception was shown in a study that found a statistically significant linear relationship between noise levels in neighborhoods adjacent to busy airports and reading comprehension among children (Clark et al., 2006). This relationship was not observed for children exposed to high levels of noise from nearby roadways in this particular study, though the authors themselves indicate more research is needed in this area (Clark et al., 2006).

Summary

The detrimental effects of noise on individuals and communities reviewed argue for vigorous efforts to mitigate construction noise and traffic noise on the SR 520 structure. Construction noise control is needed for the duration of project construction. Construction will last several years and its noise could potentially have more than temporary negative effects on communities near the project. Consequently, great care will be needed in controlling construction-related noise. Coordination among all agencies responsible for noise along the project corridor, including WSDOT, Public Health – Seattle & King County, and municipal governments, will be necessary to ensure that noise impacts from construction are minimized to the extent possible along the entire project corridor. This coordination is recommended in addition to noise control measures that WSDOT uses during typical construction projects. Planning will be particularly important, given the length of time that construction will occur.

Design considerations could include new materials and designs for noise walls and the development of comprehensive maps of transportation and community noise, which could help in planning the SR 520 replacement and assist in ensuring compatible uses for neighboring properties.
InTRoDucTIon

Physical activity is more than just exercising and playing sports; it also includes household and yard work, occupational activity, and bicycling or walking for transportation. This paper examines how the design of the built environment and transportation systems can affect individual and community health through increased opportunities for physical activity.

DIScuSSIon

Physical inactivity is one of the top modifiable risk factors for chronic disease, premature death, and injury (CDC, 1996). Physical activity has numerous health benefits, including reduced risk of cardiovascular disease, ischemic stroke, non-insulin-dependent (type 2) diabetes, colon cancer, osteoporosis, depression, and fall-related injuries (Kahn, 2002). Despite these benefits, only about 25 percent of Americans currently meet the minimum recommended goal (Kahn, 2002; Wen, 2007) of 30 or more minutes of moderate physical activity five days a week, or 20 minutes of vigorous activity three times a week (DHHS, 2008).

Sedentary lifestyles are considered a major contributor to the obesity epidemic in the United States (CDC, 1996). In 2005, approximately 60 percent of American adults were overweight, of whom nearly 24 percent were obese (Blanck, 2006). Approximately 33 percent of children and adolescents are overweight or obese (Kahn, 2002). Despite these benefits, only about 25 percent of Americans currently meet the minimum recommended goal (Kahn, 2002; Wen, 2007) of 30 or more minutes of moderate physical activity five days a week, or 20 minutes of vigorous activity three times a week (DHHS, 2008).

Two objectives of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services’ Healthy People 2010 initiative are to increase the amount of moderate or vigorous physical activity performed and to increase opportunities for physical activity through access to places and facilities where people can be active (Kahn, 2002). Research is finding associations between the design of neighborhoods, city centers, and roadways and residents engagement in physical activity for recreation and utilitarian purposes (Canepa, 2007; Ewing, 2006; Frank, 2006). For example, one study found that just a 5 percent increase in neighborhood walkability was associated with a 32 percent increase in time spent in physically active travel, a 0.23-point reduction in body mass index (a measurement of weight in kilogram/height in meter²), and 6.5 percent fewer vehicle miles traveled (Frank, 2006).

Research shows that the built environment has an impact on walking behavior even after accounting for attitudes and preferences (Handy, 2006). In one study participants drove less when living in a more walkable environment regardless of their demographic characteristics and neighborhood preferences (Frank, 2007).
Community design and transportation options affect population groups differently. For example, 21 percent of Americans age 65 and older do not drive and more than 50 percent of non-drivers age 65 and older stay home each day partially because they lack transportation options. More than half of older Americans make walking a regular activity, and nearly two-thirds walk a half mile at least once a month. Safe and inviting walking and bicycling environments provide them the necessary support and the subsequent health benefits (Bailey, 2004).

Neighborhood characteristics also influence children’s daily physical activity levels. Research shows that fewer kids are getting regular physical activity in schools, and parents’ concerns about traffic safety, crime, or both is a significant barrier to physical activity (RWJF, 2007).

Connectivity of streets (Frank, 2006; Heath, 2006) and trails (Suminski, 2005) is important for pedestrians and bicyclists. Studies reinforce the idea that if it is easy to walk or bike in a neighborhood, people are more likely to do it. Distance to (Krizek, 2006; Moudon, 2006), number of (Heath, 2006; Hoehner, 2005), and types of (Frank, 2006; Moudon 2006) amenities within walking and biking distance from home are important. One study found that residents of walkable neighborhoods engaged in 70 more minutes of moderate to vigorous physical activity per week compared to residents of neighborhoods where it was difficult to walk (Saelens, 2003). Other research found that those having a place (such as neighborhood streets or sidewalks or public parks) to walk to less than 10 minutes from home were more likely to meet physical activity recommendations than those who reported having no place to walk (Powell et al., 2003). Other studies indicate that individuals will generally choose to walk/bike .12 mile to retail (Krizek, 2006), .16 mile to restaurants, and .27 miles to a grocery store (Moudon, 2006).

Access to public transportation encourages alternative modes of transportation, like walking and bicycling, and is associated with increased levels physical activity. Research found that transit users spent a median daily time of 19 minutes walking to and from transit (Blesser, 2005). Conventional theory is that individuals will walk .5 miles to rail and .25 miles to bus; however, recent evidence demonstrated that “pedestrians are prepared to travel more than .5 mile if an accommodating atmosphere prevails” (Canepa, 2007; Hoehner, 2005).

Researchers have found that streets and public open spaces are common places for physical activities (Giles-Corti, 2002). One study found that two-thirds of people who were physically active engaged in activities on neighborhood streets, nearly 30 percent reported using parks, and 25 percent used walking trails (Brownson, 2001).

Bridges that provide for walking and bicycling can help encourage physical activity and non-vehicular commuting. The Arthur Ravenel Jr. Bridge, also known as the Cooper River Bridge, is a cable-stayed bridge over the Cooper River in South Carolina, connecting downtown Charleston to Mount Pleasant. The bridge has a bicycle/pedestrian lane that travels along the outer edge of the bridge’s tower piers and offers observation sites with benches. The bicycle/pedestrian lane is 2.7 miles long and is limited to a 4.1 percent maximum slope (SCDOT, 2004). In a recent survey of 393 users, 67 percent indicated that their activity levels had increased since the opening of the bridge path, and 10-4 percent said they are using the bridge to commute to work. Bike commuters identified the chance to be outside and the scenery, as strong reasons for the bridge use. Users also indicated safety, lighting, scenery, parking, convenience, location, and easy access as important path qualities (Quick, 2008).

With more sedentary jobs and more reliance on motorized transport, leisure-time physical activity is important in meeting the recommended levels of physical activity (Bedimo-Rung, 2005). Parks play an important role in increasing physical activity by providing areas for walking, sports, and other activities, as well as being a pedestrian destination (Cohen, 2007). The exposure to natural beauty in parks can improve the experience of users and encourage greater use (Giles-Corti, 2005).

A safe environment with absence of crime, traffic, accidents, and injury for pedestrians and bicyclists is a basic goal for communities. Traffic-calming devices, such as vertical and horizontal deflections, road narrowing, medians, and traffic circles (Loukaitou-Sideris, 2006) are important for walking and bicycling (Lee, Loukaitou-Sideris, 2006; Heath, 2006). The presence (Moudon, 2006; Rodriques, 2004; Heath, 2006; Krizek, 2006) and quality (Heath, 2006; Loukaitou-Sideris, 2006) bicycle lanes and footpaths (Lee, 2004) is also important for promoting walking and bicycling (Hoehner, 2005; Loukaitou-Sideris, 2006; Wendel-Vos, 2007).

The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project indicates plans for a bicycle/pedestrian path across the Evergreen Point Bridge, trail connections within the communities, and connections to lids over the freeway. The paths will connect the region’s longest and most popular trails and routes (Burke-Gilman Trail, Washington Park Arboretum Waterfront Trail, Lake Washington Loop Route, Sammamish River Trail, and East Lake Sammamish Trail) as well as many on-street bicycle routes. Additionally, the SR 520 Project site and vicinity include “a total of 17 recreational facilities located along the Seattle and Eastside project corridor” which provide destinations for pedestrians/bicyclists. These areas include trails and play areas and are important community assets for increasing physical activity. Many other destinations are in or nearby to the project area such as the University of Washington campus, Seattle library, community centers, and local retail.

An increase in residents engaging in physically activity is expected, although it is difficult to determine the number of pedestrians and cyclists who will use the SR 520 floating bridge path and other trails. The paths and recreation areas increase opportunities and choices and marketing of these facilities with wayfinding signs that indicate preferred routes, destinations, and distances can encourage current and new users.
SUMMARY
Recent collaborative and multidisciplinary initiatives can provide additional guidance for future design plans. The Seattle Bicycle Master Plan’s goals are to increase use of bicycling in the Seattle area for all trip purposes and improve the safety of bicyclists throughout Seattle. And, the Pedestrian Master Plan, while still being developed, strives to get more people walking while reducing the number and severity of crashes involving pedestrians. These plans can provide recommendations and guidance on improvements for the SR 520 Project to increase the volume, enjoyment, and safety of bicyclists and pedestrians.
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Appendix F:
Safety Issue Paper

September 2008

Feeling safe and secure at home, work, and play and in the community is basic to people’s sense of well-being.

INTRODUCTION

The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project proposes walking and bicycling paths across the Evergreen Point Bridge with connections to surrounding neighborhoods, and landscaped lids that can encourage people to enjoy opportunities to be physically active. These proposed changes are expected to result in an increase of pedestrian and bicycle traffic on and in the vicinity of the SR 520 corridor. It is important to consider how these improvements can promote the safest environment for all users. This report reviews pedestrian and bicyclist collision and other safety data on a regional, state, and national level, and ways to improve safety for pedestrians and bicyclists with the SR 520 Project proposed changes.

DISCUSSION

Healthy People 2010’s which establishes national goals for significant preventable health threats has as a target, reducing pedestrian fatalities by one per 100,000 people. King County’s pedestrian fatality rate is 1.4 per 100,000 people. On average, 26 pedestrians are killed in King County every year (Lim, 2005). Also, Healthy People 2010’s goal for injuries resulting from motor vehicle crashes or falls is 17.5 per 100,000, while King County’s 2003 rate was 26.5 per 100,000 (PHSKC, 2006).

A report on King County pedestrian fatalities in 2000-2003 provided information on the environmental and human factors that contributed to pedestrian deaths (Lim, 2005). Pedestrians made up nearly 20 percent of motor vehicle crash fatalities, and the darkest winter months, particularly when it was raining, were the most dangerous times for pedestrians. Sixty-two percent of pedestrians killed were attempting to cross a roadway.

In 2006, the King County Medical Examiner’s Annual Report indicated traffic fatalities involved 33 pedestrians and eight bicyclist deaths (PHSKC, 2006).

Although a path for pedestrians and bicyclists does not currently exist on the SR 520 Evergreen Point Bridge, four pedestrian and bicyclist collisions were documented in the corridor (I-405 to I-5) during 2002-2007. On a similar path, the I-90 pedestrian and bicyclist shared path between Seattle and the Eastside, two collisions were reported in the past five years (D. Giles, WSDOT, personal communication, March 7, 2008).

The preceding data demonstrates a relatively low incidence of pedestrian and bicyclist injuries and fatalities in the region. However, strategies to reduce the risks for pedestrians and bicyclists are needed. Several planning efforts are underway to develop and implement safe walking and bicycling statewide.
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The built environment design can influence the choice for active transportation such as walking and bicycling and the outcomes related to improved safety, including increased physical activity. Critical to maximizing the health benefits is managing the proximity of pedestrians and bicyclists to traffic, managing the volume and speed of traffic at crossings, and increasing the knowledge and attitudes people have about the rules of the road.

Research has looked at bicycle ridership factors on pathways in the Central Puget Sound region. Of the 29 locations reviewed in the project area, six locations containing paths of designated bike lanes carried half of all the bicycle traffic entering and leaving downtown, suggesting that a majority of bicyclists prefer to ride on facilities designed for exclusive bicycle use (PSBC, 2001).

In an April 2007 statewide telephone survey, 57 percent of respondents said no sidewalks in their community made it difficult for them to walk and 23 percent indicated busy roadways deterred them from walking. Also, 37 percent said no bike lanes and too narrow or no shoulders were reasons not to bicycle (Wilbur Smith Assoc., 2007).

Final design elements of the SR 520 Project will increase the safety of pedestrians and cyclists, especially as their numbers increase. Traffic-calming techniques that aim to reduce speed and aggressiveness of drivers near the trails, transit shelters, or on the project corridor will be needed to protect bicyclists and pedestrians in the project vicinity. For example, reducing speeds in neighborhood areas can prevent pedestrian fatalities (pedestrians have a 5 percent chance of death when hit by a car traveling 20 mph or less, a 40 percent chance of death when hit by a car traveling 30 mph, an 80 percent risk at 40 mph, and 100 percent risk of death at 50 mph or more) (Ross, 2007).

The Bicycle Alliance of Washington anticipates a high volume of ridership across the SR 520 shared path and has suggested key safety features that will assist these riders, such as, smooth and gentle transitions to and from the SR 520 to neighborhood routes; good lines of sight; signage to clearly define distances and routes for cyclists crossing the bridge; and adding visual cues, such as color striping, to separate pedestrians from bicyclists and avoid possible collisions on the shared path (G. Black, personal communication, June 6, 2008).

New solutions like rubber sidewalks, currently being tested in Bellevue and Seattle, provide a surface that is easier on the joints of pedestrians and have indicated busy roadways deterred them from walking. Also, 37 percent said no bike lanes and too narrow or no shoulders were reasons not to bicycle (Wilbur Smith Assoc., 2007).

Other important options that can increase the safety and health of pedestrians and cyclists are emergency 9-1-1 call boxes and cameras to monitor activity and increasing awareness of motor vehicle drivers of pedestrians and bicyclists through signage and reflective lights.

Safety considerations during construction will be critical for allowing pedestrians and bicyclists to continue with their active transportation modes and enjoy their time in safe environments. Clear signage indicating detour routes will be helpful as well as education campaigns to provide education on safe walking, bicycling, and driving practices (Gomez, 2006).

Finally, the issue of crime and safety is only briefly discussed here but is an important consideration when designing the SR 520 Project. The proposed landscaped lids provide numerous health benefits, but parks and green space can also attract undesirable behavior. Applying the principles of Crime Prevention through Environmental Design (CPTED) and other strategies will assist in balancing necessary visibility with pleasing design (www.cpted-watch.com).

Ensuring clear sightlines for users and avoiding the creation of secluded areas is important. The walkways should be free of barriers and ample lighting should be available, as well as emergency call boxes. It is also important for neighborhoods to self-police their community; some local neighborhoods already have neighborhood watch programs.

**SUMMARY**

Designing for pedestrian and bicycle safety will be important during both construction and operation of the SR 520 Project. Critical elements include easy transitions to and from the SR 520 corridor, good lighting and signage, safe pedestrian and bicyclist facilities (such as benches, bike racks, public restrooms, and shaded areas), appropriate barriers between the shared bicycle and pedestrian path and the roadway, traffic-calming techniques in congested areas, and emergency call boxes. During construction, safe and clearly marked alternative routes for pedestrians and bicyclists will be needed.
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Appendix G:  
Social Connections Issue Paper  
September 2008  

The social networks, trust, reciprocity, and civic engagement that develop through community interactions can improve health and well-being.  

INTRODUCTION  
Social interaction is the basis of human society, and research is finding that promoting ways for individuals to associate, even in a casual ways, may build social connections and in turn promote better health and well-being.

When built during the 1960s, SR 520 divided neighborhoods in Seattle and on the Eastside. The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project alternatives being considered include elements that help reconnect communities. The SR 520 Project proposes to add landscaped lids across SR 520 to reconnect communities along its path. New bicycle and pedestrian paths are proposed that would connect existing trails in the area and another will extend across Lake Washington, creating a link between Seattle and Eastside neighborhoods.

This report defines important concepts for building social connections, explains the link to population health, and proposes ways the SR 520 Project can enhance neighborhood connections.

DISCUSSION  
“Communities are strong when people are engaged in activities that benefit more than themselves as individuals. Working together for the common good of neighborhoods, faith communities, schools, or a political cause creates civic responsibility and a sense of reciprocity” (PHSKC, 2005). Interactions at a community level provide a sense of belongingness and general social identity, which is relevant for promoting health and well-being (Putnam, 2001, Kawachi et al., 2001, Berkman, 1995). Societal resources and the physical environment affect the social cohesion and civic engagement in communities, which can in turn affect the level of community health (Anderson et al., 2003).

“Friendship, good social relations, and strong supportive networks improve health at home, at work, and in the community.” (World Health Organization, 2003)

Social cohesion is an ongoing process in which “a community has shared values and objectives and residents are willing to share, adapt to, and collectively address challenges and opportunities in the environment” (Anderson et al., 2003). Neighborhood ties enhance social cohesion because they often bring together diverse people with different interests that typically make up a neighborhood (Skjæveland et al., 1997).
A related concept, social capital, is the degree to which people feel that they live in and belong to a socially cohesive local environment (GIT, 2007). The central premise of social capital is that social networks have value, and circumstances that limit networking can have negative effects on the well-being of community members.

A number of studies have linked the social environment to community well-being. People who engage socially with others and are involved in their communities live longer and are healthier both physically and psychologically. A study comparing those in an area with low social connectedness found an increase in death from all causes, stroke, and ischemic heart disease compared to those with high connections (Kaplan et al., 1988). Residents in neighborhoods with a higher degree of sense of community have higher ratings of perceived health and higher physical activity levels (Kawachi et al., 1997). One study showed that lower levels of trust were associated with higher rates of total mortality, coronary heart disease mortality, malignant neoplasms, stroke, and infant mortality (Kawachi et al., 1997).

Children and older adults are particularly sensitive to the social structure of their neighborhoods. Child development is shaped through exposure to models of networks and norms within their family, peer groups, and community (Putnam, 2000). The area outside of the home where parents feel comfortable letting their children play unsupervised has shrunk by 50 percent since the 1970s; an important fact as play is essential physical activity in children’s lives (Lou, 2005). In socially connected neighborhoods, adults may take more efforts to create environments for children to play and be more active (Cohen et al., 2006). Older adults are at highest risk for morbidity and mortality. Decreased social participation and networks is a predictor of cognitive decline in men and women over age 65 (Kawachi et al., 2001). Members of this group may have less physical mobility and rely on close ties (e.g. neighborhood) for social interaction (Seeman et al., 1987).

The built environment affects community social connections. Built environment factors contribute to a sense of community and support opportunities for neighborhood interaction through interesting design, availability of public space, and presence of neighborhood facilities (Lund, 2002). Important factors for increasing public space use include: size of space, maintenance, presence of natural elements, street furniture, and views of and from the space (allowing people to discover that others are present). If residents prefer a place, they are more likely to stay for some time, to feel well, and interact with neighbors (Skjaeveland et al., 1997). These moments of interaction, whether for the exchange of pleasantries or information, strengthen social networking bonds and can have real and substantial positive health outcomes (Ewing & Kreutzer, 2006; Baum & Palmer, 2002; Bedimo-Rung et al., 2005; Leyden, 2003).

Areas with attractive walking destinations, footpaths, or a safe and interesting walking environment are more likely to provide opportunities for informal interaction (Wood et al., 2008). Research suggests that a walkable environment facilitates a strong sense of community because it provides for casual interaction between neighbors.

“When pedestrians encounter each other outdoors they are sharing three immediate attributes: the time, the space, and the expectation that they are having the same experience. It is the basis for easy conversation, even if it’s only to complain about the weather. People who walk their local streets are the agents of community life. Children who walk to school make friends with other children en route and those who walk to their local shops meet their neighbors more often. It is often in the course of these informal meetings that information is exchanged and news is disseminated. Because the streets are a service that everyone uses and a common experience for everyone they provide a unique opportunity for integrating different sections of the community” (Franklin).

Studies indicate that green space in urban living areas is positively correlated with more use of the space and more vitality and interactions in the space (Sullivan et al., 2004). For example, parks can provide a place where people interact and develop social ties and a setting where healthy behavior (e.g. physical activity) is possible (Bedimo-Rung et al., 2005).

Many factors, however, can take people away from spending time in their community. For instance, people are spending more time alone in the car. Evidence suggests that each additional 10 minutes in daily commuting time cuts involvement in community affairs by 10 percent (which possibly means fewer public meetings attended, fewer committees chaired, and less volunteering) (Putnam, 2000). Also, more drivers on the road lead to heavy traffic that can produce more stress for residents and less social interaction. In one study, individuals living on a street with light traffic (200 vehicles at peak hour) had three times as many friends and twice as many acquaintances among their neighbors compared to those living on a street with heavy street traffic (900 vehicles at peak hour). Individuals living on the light street perceived it to be friendly and those with children were less concerned of traffic dangers. The heavy street had little activity and was used only as a corridor from homes to destinations (Appleyard et al., 1972).

Finally, public participation in land use and transportation decisions can promote social connections and lead to planning decisions needed for healthier communities. Although public agency standards for achieving social cohesion in land use and transportation projects are not required, guidance on public participation process as a means to enhance civic participation is available. The National Environmental Protection Policy Act (NEPA) provides guidelines for consideration of social, cultural and economic impacts in federal projects. Participation of residents and community councils in the SR 520 Project has been part of its long planning history. As part of the SR 520 DEIS process a Public Comment Report.
was compiled (“the report”) with information on 1,734 unique submissions gathered at fairs and festivals, through an online comment system, email, and U.S. mail, and, at public hearings. In addition, the current mediation process includes affected community representatives.

The SR 520 Project area communities are established and residentially stable. These communities have settings that support informal social interactions, such as parks on the Westside and the Eastside. In addition, several playfields are located in the vicinity. The project site also has several transit routes and stops that connect the east and west sides of Lake Washington as well as adjacent communities and downtown areas. The University of Washington campus is also the site for a future Sound Transit light rail station.

Several SR 520 Project elements support social connections. The project proposes to add six lids across SR 520. The 10th and Delmar lid would partially reconnect the Beacon/Portage Bay and North Capitol Hill neighborhoods, and the Montlake lid would partially reconnect the Montlake neighborhood. The proposed lids also provide connections in Medina, Hunts Point, Yarrow Point, and Clyde Hill over SR 520 at Evergreen Point Way, 84th Avenue Northeast, and 92nd Avenue Northeast. The landscaped lids can provide multiple health benefits to communities in the project area. The lids would include landscaped spaces with increased accessibility to neighborhoods and an opportunity for people to readily travel between neighborhoods and to local destinations (like neighborhood centers, the library, parks, and transit stops), especially by foot or by bicycle. The lids can become neighborhood public spaces that support social connections by creating places where residents and visitors can gather and interact. The lids can be destinations that bring diverse people together and build neighborhood ties.

Additionally, the SR 520 Project proposes a new bicycle and pedestrian path to connect the existing Bill Dawson Trail, which runs from Montlake Playfield to the south side of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration’s (NOAA) Northwest Fisheries Science Center, to the northeast area of Montlake neighborhood and then south to the Arboretum. A new bicycle and pedestrian path would extend across Lake Washington, creating a link between Seattle and Eastside area neighborhoods. The improvement of the trail system can increase access to areas where residents can interact and engage in physical activity.

**SUMMARY**

Recommendations to support and encourage social connections in the SR 520 corridor need to be focused on creating an environment and places that support interactions of the community.

“Many of the best places are neither home nor work, but “third places” in the public realm: streets and sidewalks, parks and cafes, theaters, and sports facilities. Such public places are important venues for a wide variety of activities of which some, such as social interaction and physical activity, have clear health implications” (Franklin, 2003).

**REFERENCES**


We'll be seeing Mental Well-being Issue Paper September 2008

Physical activity, stress reduction, exposure to natural areas, and feeling safe all contribute to mental well-being.

| Physical activity, stress reduction, exposure to natural areas, and feeling safe all contribute to mental well-being. |

**INTRODUCTION**

The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project offers many opportunities to expand existing natural systems by creating additional and connected parks, trails, and green spaces that would increase the enjoyment of the environment and the area’s great natural beauty. These enhancements can positively influence the mental and physical well-being of residents and visitors.

**DISCUSSION**

Americans have experienced denser urban land development, with its increased noise and other irritations, since the early 19th century. Dependence on the automobile dramatically increased as cities developed, bringing increased noise, highways dividing communities, frustration from traffic congestion, and fewer opportunities for physical activity. These environmental changes influence health.

Regular exposure to high traffic levels can impair health, psychological adjustment, work performance, and overall life satisfaction (Novaco et al., 1990). Traffic can also increase blood pressure and frustration, sometimes leading to aggression (Mayer et al., 1977).

Chronic noise is generally associated with auditory damage; however, possible non-auditory health effects of noise include increased stress and stimulation overload that interferes with relaxation and the ability to concentrate. Stress induced by noise can contribute to anxiety and a sense of helplessness in children (Evans et al., 2001). Exposure to high levels of traffic noise can produce disturbances of daily necessities such as sleeping and relaxation, and general well-being (Bjork et al., 2006).

Research suggests that a physically inactive person is twice as likely to have symptoms of depression as a more active person. Research also suggests physical activity may improve self esteem and cognitive functioning (DHHS, 1996). Modern development patterns have created barriers to walking or bicycling to work and to recreational activities, school, and other activities and allow fewer opportunities for physical activity or to escape, renew, and relax in a natural environment. Also, some natural areas and parks are not easily or safely accessible without a vehicle.

Safe and well-marked access between green space areas is important to promoting physical activity and sense of well-being in a natural environment. Connected trails will increase commuting opportunities throughout the region by providing access to the hiking and walking lanes connecting Seattle communities with communities on the eastern shore of Lake Washington. Safe and accessible transit and pedestrian options offer choices for some of our most vulnerable...
populations, such as the elderly or others who do not drive. Reduced isolation promotes mental well-being and helps eliminate or reduce depression and loneliness.

Being in nature is associated with mental well-being. The stresses in modern urban life brought on by traffic congestion, lengthy commutes, noise, and other distractions can lead to mental fatigue. Mental fatigue can negatively affect work performance and overall life satisfaction. Parks, green spaces, and open natural areas can provide a reprieve. Visiting a park can leave one with increased abilities to cope with the many everyday stressors in modern life. Parks can reduce stress and depression and improve the ability to focus, be productive, and recover from an illness (Maller et al., 2005). Researchers in Chicago found associations between contact with natural environment and improvements in the functioning of children with Attention Deficit and Hyperactivity Disorder (Taylor et al., 2001). Research indicates that spending time in parks can reduce irritability and impulsivity and promote intellectual and physical development in children and teenagers by providing a safe and engaging environment in which to interact and develop social skills, language and reasoning abilities, muscle strength, and coordination.

Significant evidence indicates that green spaces serve a vital role in communities as a location for social interaction (Sullivan et al., 2004). Social interaction and neighborhood spaces have been identified as key facets of healthy communities, supporting social networks, social support, and social integration that have been linked to improvement in both physical and mental health (Berkman et al., 2000; Bhatia et al., 2006). Attention fatigue (a neurological symptom that occurs when the part of the brain that allows us to concentrate in the face of distractions becomes fatigued) can be reduced by spending time in a natural setting (Kaplan et al., 1989; Kaplan, 1995).

SUMMARY

The SR 520 corridor is centrally located in Seattle and is easily accessible by public transportation from all over the region. It is also easily accessed by bicycling and walking from adjacent neighborhoods. The vicinity around the Montlake Bridge is densely populated with residents, University students, and visitors enjoying the unique attractions (e.g. Washington Park Arboretum, Interlaken Park, Lake Washington Ship Canal walkway, the University of Washington’s Waterfront Activity Center, and many other recreational opportunities).

By enhancing and incorporating additional green space and trail connections into the design, the SR 520 Project will provide residents adjacent to the corridor and others in the region more opportunities to enjoy the natural world and reduce the daily stresses of living in an urban environment. It will also provide for adjacent communities to increase their opportunities for social interaction with their neighbors and help encourage physical activity and contact with the natural environment.
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Parks, gardens, arboretums, public space, bicycle and walking paths, trees, and urban landscaping all provide a respite from the urban landscape and have multiple health benefits.

**INTRODUCTION**

The SR 520 Bridge Replacement and HOV Project proposes to build six landscaped lids across SR 520 to reconnect communities along its path. They are located in the following areas: on the Westside at I-5, 10th Avenue and Delmar Drive East, and at Montlake Boulevard; and on the Eastside at Evergreen Point Way, 84th Avenue Northeast and 92nd Avenue Northeast. In addition, this project will add better access to the Washington Park Arboretum. The project also proposes a 14-foot-wide bicycle/pedestrian path that will be built on the north side of SR 520 through Montlake and to the Evergreen Point Bridge. It will also extend along the north side of SR 520 through the Eastside to 96th Avenue NE. The pathways can link bicyclists and pedestrians to numerous green space and trail opportunities along the corridor. The increase in landscaping, public spaces and trails has the potential to produce positive health outcomes such as increased well-being, stress relief, physical activity, and other benefits. The new connections also provide non-motorized transportation opportunities which will reduce vehicle miles driven and carbon emissions in the corridor.

**DISCUSSION**

Green spaces fulfill one of human beings’ most basic needs – the need for interaction with the natural world and other people. They are places that bring a diverse group of individuals of different ages, socio-economic levels and cultures together to enjoy an escape from everyday stress.

In the late 1800s, one of America’s earliest urban park planners, Frederick Law Olmsted, was convinced that visual contact with nature was beneficial to the emotional and physiological health of city dwellers (Hunt et al., 2000). He promoted the inclusion and design of public open space as a critical component of making cities healthier.

A wealth of literature exists on the effects of rural and urban environments on physical, mental and spiritual health of local populations. Outdoor activity is widely thought to enable one to escape from the pressures of modern living, achieve an enhanced state of relaxation and refreshment, tackle new challenges, and reduce anxiety and stress levels. Features of green space positively linked to health outcomes include providing opportunities to engage in physical activity, community interaction, having contact with natural environments, and improving environmental quality.
While it is accepted that the natural environment and physical activity are positive influences in daily life, many people have moved away from everyday physical activities. The U.S. and other countries are now facing an obesity crisis that is complex and difficult to resolve. Increasing ‘active’ transportation options is an important step in encouraging physical activity and addressing the obesity epidemic.

Incorporating parks, trails, and greenways into communities can support increased exercise and healthier lifestyles. Linear parks or trails for bicyclists and pedestrians have been shown in several studies to increase regular physical activity, particularly among people who live nearby. These green spaces can become transportation corridors to shops, transit, places of employment, and schools.

Trail users in both Missouri and Indiana indicated they were exercising more since a trail was built in their communities (Brownson, 1999; Eppley Institute, 2001). Physical activity can reduce not only obesity, but anxiety and depression and it can improve mood and self-esteem (CDC, 1996).

More information is becoming available on the importance of green spaces in our lives in other ways. Research shows people have a more positive outlook and higher life satisfaction when in proximity to nature. Exposure to natural environments enhances the ability to cope with and recover from stress and observing nature can restore concentration and improve productivity. Having nature in close proximity or just knowing it exists is important to people regardless of whether they are regular users (Maller et al., 2005).

Green spaces can make dense communities near regional transportation corridors more livable by providing visual relief from concrete and noise. Green spaces also improve social health and psychological health. Parks and recreation and leisure services play an important role in promoting a sense of well-being and happiness, as well as in promoting close relationships, social support, purpose, and hope.

The natural environment has a positive effect on well-being through restoration of stress and attention fatigue. Research has shown a positive relationship between the amount of green space in the living environment and physical and mental health and longevity (Groenewegen et al., 2006).

Green spaces can become strong centers to bring people together and increase social connections. More social connections and cohesion leads to improvements in health conditions.

Using green spaces for non-motorized transportation can also serve other benefits by reducing air pollution and greenhouse gas emissions that are by-products of driving. With safe, convenient and attractive pedestrian, bicycle, and transit opportunities, people are more likely to make short trips without driving.

Air quality can be improved through the use of trees, shrubs, and turf. Emissions from automobile and truck exhaust contain significant pollutants, including carbon monoxide, volatile organic compounds, nitrogen oxides, and particulate matter. Impacts are reduced significantly by proximity to trees. Trees alter the environment in which we live by moderating climate, improving air quality, conserving water, and harboring wildlife. Trees and other plants make their own food from carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, water, sunlight and a small amount of soil elements. In the process, they release oxygen for us to breathe and reduce warming of the atmosphere (Burden, 2006).

As our population ages, active transportation options (i.e. walking, biking, and transit) are excellent opportunities for seniors to be active and to have alternatives to driving alone or being isolated if they cannot drive. Living in areas with walkable green spaces positively influences the longevity of older adults independent of their age, sex, marital status, functionality or socioeconomic status (Blair et al., 1989).

Green space is equally important to young people. Studies have shown that people who deeply care about the environment’s future almost always enjoyed experiences in natural areas when they were children. Regular exposure to the natural environment in childhood is important for social development and influences future physical, mental and social well-being as adults (Sustainable Development Commission, 2007).

In the United States and internationally there is growing interest in ways to reduce the visual impact of large concrete structures in the environment through better design and landscaping changes. In addition, interest and investment is reconnecting communities isolated by major transportation corridors like interstate highways. More than 20 American cities have chosen to make substantial investments in landscaped lids, decks and tunnels to soften concrete structures, reduce noise, reconnect communities or other important natural features, and visually make the project more appealing. The Seattle region has two excellent examples of concealing transportation projects and providing physical activity opportunities: the Seattle Freeway Park and the covered freeway area on the Mercer Island I-90 corridor. Both areas have green spaces that serve as parks, corridors for non-motorized transportation, and bridges for neighborhoods that were divided by major highways.

SUMMARY

Taking opportunities to include and increase, preserve and enhance the essential green spaces in the SR 520 corridor will be critical to the health of our communities. The rich natural environment and the existing park property around Portage Bay and Union Bay provide a unique opportunity for thousands of people to enjoy and find renewal in a dense urban setting. Opportunities to visually enjoy wetlands, open water, views of the Washington Park Arboretum and wildlife abound in this small area. It is a wonderful visual relief from urban congestion by those who transverse the area by vehicle or transit. With careful planning and development of connected paths and green spaces, it can be an ideal oasis for renewal and physical activity for those who transverse by foot or bicycle.

Currently, it is difficult for bicyclists and pedestrians to transverse the SR 520 corridor on the Westside. The trails and sidewalk are not well marked and some
connections are precarious and not welcoming. Without knowing the area, it would be challenging to make connections to transit, adjacent neighborhoods, the University, or other area attractions. It is important to provide information to pedestrians and bicyclists on how they can safely transverse the corridor and also on what interesting opportunities are nearby (similar to what is done on the roadways to guide or direct motorists to their destinations).

The potential health benefits of being physically active are many and those benefits along with reduced carbon emissions in the corridor will add to a healthy community.
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In 2006, the Seattle and King County EMS system responded to 166,941 calls for basic life support and 52,136 responses for advanced life support (EMS, 2007). The following graphs (from 2007 King County EMS Annual Report) show the number of those responses by hour of the day and day of the year (2006) for King County. Variation occurs in BLS and ALS response per day. Note the spike in BLS responses in December which represents the December 2006 windstorm.

EMS provider data is based on where an EMS unit is located and the service area is located. Averaged data from 2003-2007, indicates that approximately 1,150 trips were made annually by fire and EMS services across the SR 520 bridges. With expected population growth in the project site, the numbers will likely increase to approximately 1,600 trips each year. In addition, American Medical Response, an ambulance company that provides transport services in King County, indicated approximately 4,618 EMS and hospital interface transports utilized the SR 520 bridge in 2007 (2,532 bridge trips originating on the Eastside; 2,066 bridge trips originating on the Westside of Lake Washington). This does not take into account trips for strictly vehicle deployment which could double the overall number each year. Another service, Tri-Med Ambulance, noted their vehicles travel 15 times a day on SR 520, including both BLS trips and wheelchair vans. This data indicates that the SR 520 corridor, ramps, and nearby arterials are critical daily travel links for EMS providers.

Various factors influence EMS response times (defined as the time from unit notification to unit arrival on scene). Minimizing delays is crucial to maximizing patient outcomes (Pons et al., 2005). No universally accepted response-time system requirement exists. "In urban areas, the most widely used ambulance response-time standard is 8 minutes and 59 seconds (with 90% compliance reliability). This standard was derived in the early 1970s when Seattle researchers noted dramatic cardiac arrest survivals with: reduced response times of less than eight minutes, first responders performing CPR, and resident CPR training of paramedics." The Washington State Administrative Code (WAC 246-976-390) has indicated minimum agency response times for all major trauma responses (Washington Legislature):

A) To urban response areas: Eight minutes or less, eighty percent of the time;
B) To suburban response areas: Fifteen minutes or less, eighty percent of the time;
C) To rural response areas: Forty-five minutes or less, eighty percent of the time;
D) To wilderness response areas: As soon as possible."

King County has one of the highest survival rates for out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in the United States, which is attributable to many factors, including quick EMS response (PHSKC). Cardiac arrest survival of patients in ventricular fibrillation in 2005 was 46%, in 2006 was 43%, and 45% in 2007. Other major cities have reported cardiac survival rates as low as 1-5%.

Relevant to the SR 520 Project is the time needed to arrive at the scene and transport time which can be affected by multiple factors, including ambulance locations, time of day, and traffic flow patterns. These issues will be similar for daily EMS responses and for an emergency disaster situation. Despite a 6.9% increase in ALS calls in 2006, the average medic unit response time remained steady at 7.5 minutes, as indicated in the Average Unit Response Time graph.

Representatives of the King County EMS system discussed possible scenarios that could potentially cause service impacts, such as:

- SR 520 lane and/or arterial road closures during construction.
- Traffic congestion due to tunnel closures on SR 520 (based on mediation alternatives being reviewed) and I-90.
- Traffic backups related to tolling operations.

Stakeholders also discussed topics and service elements that could be impacted by travel disruption on SR 520:

- Response time to emergencies on the SR 520 bridge and to communities in the vicinity.
- Emergency, non-emergency, and inter-facility transport of patients (with the increasing trend for hospital emergency department diversions to other hospitals).
- Access to regional specialty medical and trauma services (e.g. Burn Center at Harborview, hyperbaric chamber at Virginia Mason, and pediatric care at Children’s Hospital).
- Moving medical supplies and equipment.
- Moving medical staff (e.g. EMTs, paramedics, on call physicians, and nurses) who travel back and forth across SR 520 for work (daily and in a disaster).
- Private ambulance contracts (fines can be associated with taking longer for patient transfer or not making as many transfers due to traffic congestion).

WSDOT representatives have indicated that current plans do not include complete SR 520 closure during construction (some ramp and lane closures may be necessary, primarily during off-peak hours). The SR 520 Project mitigation strategies (indicated in the Draft Environmental Impact Statement) for the construction period include ensuring that temporary road-closures are minimized and detour routes are well signed. WSDOT has been engaged in SR 520 catastrophic bridge failure planning which includes a focus on communication strategies with emergency service stakeholders. The strategies developed for this planning effort will be useful during the construction stage of SR 520.
EMS representatives also provided feedback on service impacts when reduced freeway capacity was experienced (August 10-25, 2007) due to closure of several northbound lanes of the I-5 elevated bridge (south of downtown Seattle) for repairs. This was expected to cause significant traffic congestion. An after-action report by King County Medic One indicated that WSDOT’s public information campaign and the public’s cooperation helped to successfully minimize congestion. Also, with prior notice received and time to plan, an EMS Operational Plan was created that included: projected service impacts and delays, likely hospitals and types of patients to be most affected, other roadway constraints, strategies for traffic monitoring, and a contingency plan should a disaster occur during the closure. As a result of the careful planning, no major adverse delays in patient care, response time or transport times occurred.

Modeling results by King County EMS of a potential closure of SR 520 on the Eastside (between Lake Washington and I-405) showed no impacts to EMS accessibility or response time. An exception to this was for project vicinities North of SR 520, but the change noted was minimal.

SUMMARY

The SR 520 corridor, ramps, and nearby arterials are critical daily travel links for EMS providers. At present, EMS vehicles make about 1,550 trips across the SR 520 bridge. With expected population growth in the project site, the numbers will likely increase. Coordination and communication during the construction period will be essential to providing critical and timely emergency services. Timely and consistent communication regarding traffic congestion and road closures, specifically in regards to access points into corridor neighborhoods and to and from the bridge is a key element.
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WHAT WAS LEARNED ABOUT THE ALTERNATIVES AND GHG EMISSIONS

This section presents the Puget Sound Clean Air Agency’s summary of key points from the report prepared by the consultant ICF Jones & Stokes and is intended to facilitate consideration of GHG emissions in the project impact mediation process.

All three alternatives produce similar levels of GHG emissions. This result was not unexpected because each alternative has the same capacity - six lanes. Higher fuel prices and more fuel efficient vehicles reduce GHG emissions; another anticipated effect.

Key factors that can affect the amount of GHG emissions on a transportation project besides the number of lanes include the level of bus service, tolls, and parking fees. Even though the project had a fixed capacity of six lanes – four general purpose lanes and two HOV lanes, changes in the values of the key factors resulted in changes in the amount of GHG emissions for each alternative and scenario. Increasing transit service, tolls, and parking fees leads to a shift to more transit activity and lower levels of GHG emissions for the alternatives. Decreasing transit service, tolls and parking fees leads to higher levels of GHG emissions for the alternatives.

The bigger the change in the key factors the bigger the change in GHG emissions. The High Emissions Reduction scenario reduced larger quantities of GHG emissions than the other two scenarios and the Low Emissions Reduction scenario resulted in the smallest reduction in GHG emissions. This change in GHG emissions occurred for each of the alternatives analyzed.

The study area selected for analysis can influence the information obtained. Analyzing the area served by SR 520 provides a more complete picture of the magnitude of the GHG emissions that can be reduced by the scenarios. Analyzing the roadway network influenced by the project (i.e. roadway segments where the vehicle miles traveled varied by at least 5%) provides a clearer picture of the percentage reductions that the scenarios can produce.

Among similar alternatives, such as Alternatives A, L and K, changing the key factors has a similar effect on each alternative. Continued improvements in the tools used to analyze GHG emissions from a transportation project should provide more ability to distinguish the differences in GHG emissions from similar alternatives. Additionally, significantly different alternatives, such as eight lane and four lane alternatives, may not react the same when the key factors are changed.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IS PROVIDED IN THE ATTACHED REPORT

The GHG analysis report prepared by ICF Jones & Stokes follows this summary. The report consists of three documents. The Task 1 document identifies the most appropriate model and key factors for estimating GHG emissions from the SR 520 Project. The Task 2 document identifies appropriate values for the selected key factors and establishes the components of the three scenarios that are to be analyzed, such as the study area. The Task 3 document contains the summary results of the GHG emissions calculations for the selected scenarios and the alternatives being considered by the Project Mediation Group.