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Dear Property Owners:
Property assessments for the 2012 assessment year are being completed by my staff throughout the year and change of value notices are being mailed as neighborhoods are completed. We value property at fee simple, reflecting property at its highest and best use and following the requirement of RCW 84.40 .030 to appraise property at true and fair value.

We have worked hard to implement your suggestions to place more information in an e-Environment to meet your needs for timely and accurate information. The following report summarizes the results of the 2012 assessment for this area. (See map within report). It is meant to provide you with helpful background information about the process used and basis for property assessments in your area.

Fair and uniform assessments set the foundation for effective government and I am pleased that we are able to make continuous and ongoing improvements to serve you. Assessment Standards information is available on the Assessor's website at:
http://www.kingcounty.gov/Assessor/Reports/AreaReports/~/media/Assessor/AreaReports/AppraisalStandard.as hx

Please feel welcome to call my staff if you have questions about the property assessment process and how it relates to your property.

Sincerely,


Lloyd Hara
Assessor


# Alpental/Skykomish/ 

## Environs

## Housing



Grade 4/ Year Built 1977/ Total Living Area 930


Grade 6/ Year Built 1956/ Total Living Area 1970


Grade 8/ Year Built 2002/ Total Living Area 2630


Grade 5/ Year Built 1966/Total Living Area 810


Grade 7/Year Built 2001/Total Living Area 1740


Grade 9/Year Built 1981/Total Living Area 3630

## Glossary for Improved Sales

## Condition: Relative to Age and Grade

| 1= Poor | Many repairs needed. Showing serious deterioration |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2= Fair | Some repairs needed immediately. Much deferred maintenance. <br> 3= Average |
| Depending upon age of improvement; normal amount of upkeep for the age <br> of the home. |  |
| $4=$ Good | Condition above the norm for the age of the home. Indicates extra attention <br> and care has been taken to maintain |
| $5=$ Very Good | Excellent maintenance and updating on home. Not a total renovation. |

## Residential Building Grades

Grades 1-3 Falls short of minimum building standards. Normally cabin or inferior structure.
Grade $4 \quad$ Generally older low quality construction. Does not meet code.
Grade 5 Lower construction costs and workmanship. Small, simple design.
Grade $6 \quad$ Lowest grade currently meeting building codes. Low quality materials, simple designs.
Grade 7 Average grade of construction and design. Commonly seen in plats and older subdivisions.
Grade 8 Just above average in construction and design. Usually better materials in both the exterior and interior finishes.
Grade 9 Better architectural design, with extra exterior and interior design and quality.
Grade 10 Homes of this quality generally have high quality features. Finish work is better, and more design quality is seen in the floor plans and larger square footage.
Grade 11 Custom design and higher quality finish work, with added amenities of solid woods, bathroom fixtures and more luxurious options.
Grade 12 Custom design and excellent builders. All materials are of the highest quality and all conveniences are present.
Grade 13 Generally custom designed and built. Approaching the Mansion level. Large amount of highest quality cabinet work, wood trim and marble; large entries.

## Summary <br> Characteristics-Based Market Adjustment for 2012 Assessment Roll

Area Name / Number: Alpental/Skykomish/Environs
Number of Improved Sales: 29
Range of Sale Dates: $1 / 1 / 2009-1 / 1 / 2012$

| Sales - Average Improved Valuation Change Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land | Imps | Total | Sale Price* | Ratio | COD |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ Value | $\$ 48,000$ | $\$ 158,900$ | $\$ 206,900$ |  |  |  |
| 2012 Value | $\$ 48,000$ | $\$ 158,900$ | $\$ 206,900$ | $\$ 222,200$ | $93.1 \%$ | $16.13 \%$ |
| Change | $+\$ 0$ | $+\$ 0$ | $+\$ 0$ |  |  |  |
| $\%$ Change | $+0.0 \%$ | $+0.0 \%$ | $+0.0 \%$ |  |  |  |

*Sales are time adjusted to 1/1/2012.

Coefficient of Dispersion (COD) is a measure of the uniformity of the predicted assessed values for properties within this geographic area. The lower the COD, the more uniform are the predicted assessed values. Assessment standards prescribed by the International Association of Assessing Officers identify that the COD in rural or diverse neighborhoods should be no more that $20 \%$.

In the face of smaller overall sales volume, an unstable real property market and an increasing number of financial institution re-sales, the resulting COD meets or exceeds the industry assessment standards.

| Population - Improved Parcel Summary: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land | Imps | Total |
| 2011 Value | $\$ 45,800$ | $\$ 138,200$ | $\$ 184,000$ |
| 2012 Value | $\$ 45,800$ | $\$ 138,200$ | $\$ 184,000$ |
| Percent Change | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ |

Number of one to three unit residences in the population:
Summary of Findings: The analysis for this area consisted of a general review of applicable characteristics such as grade, age, condition, stories, living area, views, waterfront, lot size, land problems and neighborhoods. A conservative approach was followed for Area 90 which last physically inspected 5 years ago. The analysis results showed that no adjustment was needed. Area 90 is scheduled for Physical Inspection for the 2013 Assessment Year.

We recommend posting these values for the 2012 Assessment Roll.

Sales Sample Representation of Population - Year Built / Renovated

| Sales Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Built/Ren | Frequency | \% Sales Sample |
| 1910 | 1 | $3.45 \%$ |
| 1920 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 1930 | 1 | $3.45 \%$ |
| 1940 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 1950 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 1960 | 1 | $3.45 \%$ |
| 1970 | 8 | $27.59 \%$ |
| 1980 | 6 | $20.69 \%$ |
| 1990 | 2 | $6.90 \%$ |
| 2000 | 6 | $20.69 \%$ |
| 2011 | 4 | $13.79 \%$ |
|  | 29 |  |


| Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Built/Ren | Frequency | \% Population |
| 1910 | 17 | $2.66 \%$ |
| 1920 | 11 | $1.72 \%$ |
| 1930 | 51 | $7.98 \%$ |
| 1940 | 26 | $4.07 \%$ |
| 1950 | 28 | $4.38 \%$ |
| 1960 | 26 | $4.07 \%$ |
| 1970 | 135 | $21.13 \%$ |
| 1980 | 124 | $19.41 \%$ |
| 1990 | 81 | $12.68 \%$ |
| 2000 | 77 | $12.05 \%$ |
| 2011 | 63 | $9.86 \%$ |
|  | 639 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution fairly closely with regard to Year Built/Renovated. This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

Sales Sample Representation of Population - Above Grade Living Area

| Sales Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGLA | Frequency | \% Sales Sample |
| 500 | 1 | $3.45 \%$ |
| 1000 | 12 | $41.38 \%$ |
| 1500 | 11 | $37.93 \%$ |
| 2000 | 3 | $10.34 \%$ |
| 2500 | 2 | $6.90 \%$ |
| 3000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 3500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 4000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 4500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 5000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 5500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 7500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | 29 |  |


| Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGLA | Frequency | \% Population |
| 500 | 32 | $5.01 \%$ |
| 1000 | 258 | $40.38 \%$ |
| 1500 | 218 | $34.12 \%$ |
| 2000 | 78 | $12.21 \%$ |
| 2500 | 34 | $5.32 \%$ |
| 3000 | 13 | $2.03 \%$ |
| 3500 | 3 | $0.47 \%$ |
| 4000 | 2 | $0.31 \%$ |
| 4500 | 1 | $0.16 \%$ |
| 5000 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 5500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 7500 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | 639 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution very closely with regard to Above Grade Living Area. This distribution is ideal for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

## Sales Sample Representation of Population - Grade

| Sales Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Frequency | \% Sales Sample |
| 1 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 3 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 4 | 3 | $10.34 \%$ |
| 5 | 2 | $6.90 \%$ |
| 6 | 10 | $34.48 \%$ |
| 7 | 7 | $24.14 \%$ |
| 8 | 5 | $17.24 \%$ |
| 9 | 1 | $3.45 \%$ |
| 10 | 1 | $3.45 \%$ |
| 11 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 12 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 13 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | 29 |  |


| Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Frequency | $\%$ Population |
| 1 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | 2 | $0.31 \%$ |
| 3 | 6 | $0.94 \%$ |
| 4 | 64 | $10.02 \%$ |
| 5 | 157 | $24.57 \%$ |
| 6 | 205 | $32.08 \%$ |
| 7 | 86 | $13.46 \%$ |
| 8 | 94 | $14.71 \%$ |
| 9 | 21 | $3.29 \%$ |
| 10 | 3 | $0.47 \%$ |
| 11 | 1 | $0.16 \%$ |
| 12 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 13 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | 639 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution very closely with regard to Building Grade. This distribution is ideal for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

## Sales Screening for Improved Parcel Analysis

In order to ensure that the Assessor's analysis of sales of improved properties best reflects the market value of the majority of the properties within an area, non-typical properties must be removed so a representative sales sample can be analyzed to determine the new valuation level. The following list illustrates examples of non-typical properties which are removed prior to the beginning of the analysis.

1. Vacant parcels
2. Mobile Home parcels
3. Multi-Parcel or Multi Building parcels
4. New construction where less than a $100 \%$ complete house was assessed for 2011
5. Existing residences where the data for 2011 is significantly different than the data for 2012 due to remodeling
6. Parcels with improvement values, but no characteristics
7. Parcels with either land or improvement values of $\$ 25,000$ or less posted for the 2011 Assessment Roll
8. Short sales, financial institution re-sales and foreclosure sales verified or appearing to be not at market
9. Others as identified in the sales removed list
(See the attached Improved Sales Used in this Annual Update Analysis and Improved Sales Removed from this Annual Update Analysis for more detailed information)

## Land Update

Based on 19 usable land sales and the pending physical inspection during the 2013 assessment year it was determined no additional adjustment to land value is required.

## Improved Parcel Update

The analysis for this area consisted of a general review of applicable characteristics such as grade, age, condition, stories, living area, views, waterfront, lot size, land problems and neighborhoods. A conservative approach was followed for Area 90 which last physically inspected 5 years ago. The analysis results showed that no adjustment was needed. Area 90 is scheduled for Physical Inspection for the 2013 Assessment Year.

With the exception of real property mobile home parcels \& parcels with "accessory only" improvements, the new recommended values on all improved parcels were based on the analysis of the 29 useable residential sales in the area.

Sales used in the valuation model were time adjusted to January 1, 2012. The chosen adjustment model was developed using multiple regression. An explanatory adjustment table is included in this report.

## Mobile Home Update

There were inadequate sales of Mobile Homes within this area, therefore Mobile Homes received the Total \% Change indicated by the sales sample as reflected on the Summary page.

## Results

The resulting assessment level is $93.1 \%$. The standard statistical measures of valuation performance are all within the IAAO recommended range of . 90 to 1.10 .

Application of these recommended values for the 2012 assessment year (taxes payable in 2013) results in an average total change from the 2011 assessments of 0.00\%.

Note: Additional information may reside in the Assessor's Real Property Database, Assessor's procedures, Assessor's "field" maps, Revalue Plan, separate studies, and statutes.

## Area 90 Adjustments

## 2012 Total Value = 2011 Total Value + Overall +/- Characteristic Adjustments as Apply Below

Due to rounding of the coefficient values used to develop the percentages and further rounding of the percentages in this table, the results you will obtain are an approximation of adjustment achieved in production.

Standard Area Adjustment
0.00\%

No adjustments required.

## Area 90 Market Value Changes Over Time

In a changing market, recognition of a sales trend to adjust a population of sold properties to a common date is required to allow for value differences over time between a range of sales dates and the assessment date. The following chart shows the \% time adjustment required for sales to reflect the indicated market value as of the assessment date, January 1, 2012.

For example, a sale of $\$ 525000$ which occurred on August 1, 2010 would be adjusted by the time trend factor of 0.887 , resulting in an adjusted value of $\$ 448,0001 \$ 525000$ X .887 $=\$ 448,875$ - rounded to the nearest \$1000.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Date | Downward Adjustment (Factor) | Equivalent Percent |
| 1/1/2009 | 0.762 | -23.8\% |
| 2/1/2009 | 0.768 | -23.2\% |
| 3/1/2009 | 0.775 | -22.5\% |
| 4/1/2009 | 0.781 | -21.9\% |
| 5/1/2009 | 0.788 | -21.2\% |
| 6/1/2009 | 0.795 | -20.5\% |
| 7/1/2009 | 0.801 | -19.9\% |
| 8/1/2009 | 0.808 | -19.2\% |
| 9/1/2009 | 0.815 | -18.5\% |
| 10/1/2009 | 0.821 | -17.9\% |
| 11/1/2009 | 0.828 | -17.2\% |
| 12/1/2009 | 0.834 | -16.6\% |
| 1/1/2010 | 0.841 | -15.9\% |
| 2/1/2010 | 0.848 | -15.2\% |
| 3/1/2010 | 0.854 | -14.6\% |
| 4/1/2010 | 0.861 | -13.9\% |
| 5/1/2010 | 0.867 | -13.3\% |
| 6/1/2010 | 0.874 | -12.6\% |
| 7/1/2010 | 0.881 | -11.9\% |
| 8/1/2010 | 0.887 | -11.3\% |
| 9/1/2010 | 0.894 | -10.6\% |
| 10/1/2010 | 0.901 | -9.9\% |
| 11/1/2010 | 0.907 | -9.3\% |
| 12/1/2010 | 0.914 | -8.6\% |
| 1/1/2011 | 0.921 | -7.9\% |
| 2/1/2011 | 0.927 | -7.3\% |
| 3/1/2011 | 0.933 | -6.7\% |
| 4/1/2011 | 0.940 | -6.0\% |
| 5/1/2011 | 0.947 | -5.3\% |
| 6/1/2011 | 0.953 | -4.7\% |
| 7/1/2011 | 0.960 | -4.0\% |
| 8/1/2011 | 0.967 | -3.3\% |
| 9/1/2011 | 0.973 | -2.7\% |
| 10/1/2011 | 0.980 | -2.0\% |
| 11/1/2011 | 0.987 | -1.3\% |
| 12/1/2011 | 0.993 | -0.7\% |
| 1/1/2012 | 1.000 | 0.0\% |

## Improved Sales Used in this Annual Update Analysis

Area 90
(1 to 3 Unit Residences)

| Sub Area | Major | Minor | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 506230 | 0165 | 11/18/09 | \$75,000 | \$62,000 | 390 | 4 | 1970 | Avg | 6667 | N | N | 117 S 3RD ST |
| 001 | 864940 | 1040 | 11/3/11 | \$140,000 | \$138,000 | 570 | 4 | 1975 | Avg | 11242 | N | N | 75814 NE 122ND ST |
| 001 | 873270 | 0200 | 12/28/10 | \$59,000 | \$54,000 | 810 | 4 | 1970 | Avg | 17198 | N | N | 87216 NE OLD CASCADE HWY |
| 001 | 506080 | 0125 | 12/20/10 | \$90,000 | \$83,000 | 860 | 5 | 1903 | Avg | 6867 | N | N | RAILROAD AVE |
| 001 | 112610 | 9046 | 3/23/10 | \$237,000 | \$204,000 | 1410 | 5 | 1959 | Avg | 10018 | Y | Y | 18416 639TH AVE NE |
| 001 | 558170 | 0035 | 5/19/09 | \$205,000 | \$162,000 | 800 | 6 | 1967 | Avg | 10500 | Y | Y | 63211 NE 196TH ST |
| 001 | 864940 | 1290 | 9/8/10 | \$200,000 | \$179,000 | 860 | 6 | 1969 | Avg | 9840 | N | N | 76114 NE 122ND ST |
| 001 | 734980 | 0970 | 1/12/09 | \$206,000 | \$157,000 | 900 | 6 | 1980 | Avg | 11250 | N | N | 64634 NE 177TH ST |
| 001 | 734970 | 0200 | 6/8/11 | \$248,400 | \$237,000 | 950 | 6 | 1972 | Good | 14625 | Y | Y | 64221 NE 179TH ST |
| 001 | 294310 | 0225 | 4/7/11 | \$180,000 | \$169,000 | 960 | 6 | 1995 | Avg | 10050 | N | N | 14612 682ND AVE NE |
| 001 | 873270 | 0210 | 2/17/09 | \$190,000 | \$147,000 | 1030 | 6 | 1983 | Avg | 26472 | N | Y | 87224 NE OLD CASCADE HWY |
| 001 | 262611 | 9050 | 3/18/11 | \$98,000 | \$92,000 | 1210 | 6 | 1925 | Avg | 3484 | N | N | NE OLD CASCADE HWY |
| 001 | 294310 | 0070 | 10/4/10 | \$164,000 | \$148,000 | 1350 | 6 | 1972 | Avg | 7800 | N | N | 14605 682ND AVE NE |
| 001 | 262611 | 9102 | 4/27/11 | \$275,000 | \$260,000 | 1450 | 6 | 1992 | Avg | 53330 | Y | Y | 73505 NE STEVENS PASS HWY |
| 001 | 506130 | 0060 | 4/25/11 | \$180,000 | \$170,000 | 1510 | 6 | 1972 | Avg | 5000 | N | N | NE OLD CASCADE HWY |
| 001 | 864940 | 0940 | 10/19/10 | \$167,500 | \$151,000 | 910 | 7 | 1997 | Avg | 9750 | N | N | 75835 NE 122ND ST |
| 001 | 864940 | 1250 | 4/20/10 | \$171,000 | \$148,000 | 940 | 7 | 1980 | Avg | 9860 | N | N | 76135 NE 123RD ST |
| 001 | 302612 | 9020 | 10/12/10 | \$325,000 | \$293,000 | 1200 | 7 | 1966 | Avg | 32096 | Y | Y | 11616 FOSS RIVER RD NE |
| 001 | 077410 | 1750 | 2/12/09 | \$315,000 | \$243,000 | 1280 | 7 | 2006 | Avg | 73374 | N | N | 69551 NE MONEY CREEK RD |
| 001 | 780560 | 0040 | 7/21/09 | \$244,250 | \$197,000 | 1390 | 7 | 2005 | Avg | 12629 | N | N | 530 SKY LN |
| 001 | 077410 | 1440 | 10/29/09 | \$284,999 | \$236,000 | 1460 | 7 | 2001 | Avg | 59346 | N | N | 69711 NE 130TH ST |
| 001 | 780560 | 0160 | 11/12/10 | \$370,000 | \$337,000 | 1660 | 7 | 1995 | Avg | 12628 | Y | Y | 529 SKY LN |
| 001 | 022610 | 9090 | 8/23/11 | \$550,000 | \$534,000 | 2480 | 9 | 2000 | Avg | 32275 | Y | Y | 63910 NE INDEX CREEK RD |
| 004 | 019230 | 1020 | 4/14/11 | \$325,000 | \$306,000 | 700 | 8 | 2007 | Avg | 11533 | N | N | 4 LECH STR |
| 004 | 019230 | 0890 | 3/4/11 | \$315,000 | \$294,000 | 870 | 8 | 1967 | Avg | 14261 | N | N | 1 ALPENTAL STR |

Improved Sales Used in this Annual Update Analysis
Area 90
(1 to 3 Unit Residences)
$\left.\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Sub } \\ \text { Area }\end{array} & \text { Major } & \text { Minor } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sale } \\ \text { Date }\end{array} & \text { Sale Price } & \text { Adj Sale } \\ \text { Price }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Above } \\ \text { Grade } \\ \text { Living }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Bld } \\ \text { Grade }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Year } \\ \text { Built/Ren }\end{array}\right)$

Improved Sales Removed in this Annual Update Analysis
Area 90
(1 to 3 Unit Residences)

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 001 | 112610 | 9031 | $10 / 27 / 11$ | $\$ 88,000$ | DIAGNOSTIC OUTLIER |
| 001 | 112610 | 9094 | $12 / 21 / 11$ | $\$ 130,000$ | FINANCIAL INSTITUTION RESALE |
| 001 | 150850 | 0040 | $1 / 11 / 11$ | $\$ 91,000$ | FINANCIAL INSTITUTION RESALE |
| 001 | 262611 | 9030 | $5 / 14 / 11$ | $\$ 140,000$ | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND OR NEIGHBOR |
| 001 | 262611 | 9046 | $5 / 11 / 11$ | $\$ 25,000$ | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR |
| 001 | 262611 | 9049 | $5 / 13 / 09$ | $\$ 70,000$ | SEGREGATION AND/OR MERGER |
| 001 | 262611 | 9061 | $8 / 10 / 09$ | $\$ 10,000$ | GOVERNMENT AGENCY |
| 001 | 262611 | 9103 | $6 / 23 / 10$ | $\$ 158,560$ | IMP CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 001 | 302612 | 9023 | $3 / 6 / 09$ | $\$ 66,250$ | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 001 | 302612 | 9023 | $10 / 31 / 09$ | $\$ 30,000$ | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 001 | 734970 | 0580 | $3 / 12 / 09$ | $\$ 32,500$ | OBSOLESCENCE |
| 001 | 734980 | 0250 | $10 / 25 / 10$ | $\$ 240,000$ | GOVERNMENT AGENCY |
| 001 | 734980 | 0370 | $6 / 10 / 09$ | $\$ 97,500$ | DIAGNOSTIC OUTLIER |
| 001 | 780940 | 0480 | $8 / 2 / 11$ | $\$ 40,000$ | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 001 | 864940 | 0250 | $1 / 9 / 09$ | $\$ 310,000$ | GOVERNMENT AGENCY |
| 001 | 864940 | 0260 | $1 / 7 / 09$ | $\$ 350,000$ | GOVERNMENT AGENCY |
| 004 | 019230 | 0230 | $9 / 14 / 09$ | $\$ 113,500$ | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 004 | 019230 | 0240 | $4 / 8 / 10$ | $\$ 174,800$ | $\%$ \%COMPLETE |
| 004 | 019230 | 0770 | $9 / 23 / 10$ | $\$ 550,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 004 | 092409 | 9001 | $10 / 12 / 09$ | $\$ 47,962$ | OBSOLESCENCE |
| 004 | 403250 | 0020 | $9 / 13 / 10$ | $\$ 170,000$ | OBSOLESCENCE |

Vacant Sales Used in this Annual Update Analysis
Area 90
(1 to 3 Unit Residences)

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale <br> Price | Lot <br> Size | View | Water- <br> front |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 | 022610 | 9076 | $3 / 15 / 2010$ | $\$ 60,000$ | 392040 | N | N |
| 001 | 052510 | 0245 | $1 / 26 / 2010$ | $\$ 8,000$ | 7740 | N | N |
| 001 | 077310 | 1927 | $6 / 24 / 2009$ | $\$ 10,000$ | 12000 | N | N |
| 001 | 112610 | 9079 | $7 / 12 / 2010$ | $\$ 20,000$ | 280526 | Y | Y |
| 001 | 150850 | 0070 | $11 / 8 / 2010$ | $\$ 25,000$ | 10943 | N | N |
| 001 | 202611 | 9033 | $9 / 23 / 2010$ | $\$ 35,000$ | 41500 | Y | Y |
| 001 | 262611 | 9117 | $12 / 16 / 2009$ | $\$ 8,000$ | 25579 | N | N |
| 001 | 292613 | 9052 | $5 / 31 / 2011$ | $\$ 15,000$ | 52272 | N | N |
| 001 | 506130 | 0080 | $5 / 16 / 2011$ | $\$ 13,000$ | 6598 | N | N |
| 001 | 558170 | 0145 | $6 / 21 / 2010$ | $\$ 4,000$ | 13125 | N | N |
| 001 | 734980 | 0400 | $4 / 10 / 2009$ | $\$ 17,600$ | 9800 | N | N |
| 001 | 734980 | 0760 | $9 / 28 / 2011$ | $\$ 15,610$ | 9600 | N | N |
| 001 | 734980 | 0860 | $1 / 25 / 2010$ | $\$ 12,000$ | 9625 | N | N |
| 001 | 780660 | 0090 | $5 / 20 / 2010$ | $\$ 18,000$ | 12000 | N | N |
| 001 | 780660 | 0105 | $11 / 11 / 2009$ | $\$ 5,000$ | 4975 | N | N |
| 001 | 780940 | 0390 | $11 / 23 / 2010$ | $\$ 5,000$ | 15650 | N | N |
| 001 | 780940 | 0400 | $5 / 15 / 2009$ | $\$ 3,500$ | 11517 | N | N |
| 001 | 864940 | 1200 | $3 / 25 / 2011$ | $\$ 8,000$ | 11040 | N | N |
| 004 | 312409 | 9012 | $3 / 1 / 2010$ | $\$ 125,000$ | 449974 | N | N |

## Vacant Sales Removed in this Annual Update Analysis

Area 90
(1 to 3 Unit Residences)

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 001 | 022610 | 9082 | $2 / 16 / 2009$ | $\$ 90,000$ | DOR RATIO |
| 001 | 077310 | 0225 | $3 / 11 / 2010$ | $\$ 9,000$ | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 001 | 260860 | 0201 | $8 / 17 / 2011$ | $\$ 15,000$ | DOR RATIO |
| 001 | 262611 | 9098 | $1 / 13 / 2011$ | $\$ 3,672$ | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 001 | 282612 | 9019 | $6 / 19 / 2009$ | $\$ 17,000$ | QUIT CLAIM DEED |
| 001 | 282612 | 9022 | $4 / 29 / 2009$ | $\$ 4,000$ | DOR RATIO |
| 001 | 322612 | 9012 | $9 / 23 / 2011$ | $\$ 40,000$ | BANKRUPTCY - RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE |
| 001 | 506080 | 0070 | $1 / 6 / 2010$ | $\$ 16,000$ | GOVERNMENT AGENCY |
| 001 | 734980 | 0130 | $1 / 7 / 2010$ | $\$ 6,325$ | DOR RATIO |
| 001 | 864940 | 1060 | $1 / 15 / 2010$ | $\$ 10,500$ | DOR RATIO |
| 001 | 873270 | 0090 | $8 / 25 / 2011$ | $\$ 3,000$ | DOR RATIO |
| 004 | 092409 | 9001 | $3 / 4 / 2009$ | $\$ 135,000$ | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 004 | 102309 | 9018 | $2 / 10 / 2009$ | $\$ 143,000$ | GOVERNMENT AGENCY |
| 004 | 232111 | 9001 | $4 / 13 / 2009$ | $\$ 152,316$ | GOVERNMENT AGENCY |
| 004 | 252309 | 9001 | $5 / 15 / 2009$ | $\$ 18,890,000$ | GOVERNMENT AGENCY |
| 004 | 322409 | 9018 | $11 / 20 / 2009$ | $\$ 1,500,000$ | DOR RATIO |

