## Residential Revalue

## 2009 Assessment Roll

## Enumclaw <br> AREA 41

King County Department of Assessments Seattle, Washington

## AREA 41 VALUE SUMMARY AND

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation is made to post values for Area 41 to the 2009 assessment roll.

The attached report for Area 41 - Enumclaw has been reviewed. This report is hereby accepted and the values described in the attached documentation for Area 41 should be posted to the 2009 assessment roll.
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## Executive Summary Report

## Appraisal Date 1/1/2009-2009 Assessment Roll

Area Name / Number: Enumclaw / 41
Previous Physical Inspection: 2003
Sales - Improved Summary:
Number of Sales: 220
Range of Sale Dates: 1/2007-12/2008

| Sales - Average Improved Valuation Change Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land | Imps | Total | Sale Price** | Ratio | COV $^{*}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2008 Value | $\$ 103,700$ | $\$ 179,000$ | $\$ 282,700$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 Value | $\$ 100,800$ | $\$ 140,400$ | $\$ 241,200$ | $\$ 282,400$ | $85.4 \%$ | $6.80 \%$ |  |  |  |  |
| Change | $-\$ 2,900$ | $-\$ 38,600$ | $-\$ 41,500$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Change | $-2.8 \%$ | $-21.6 \%$ | $-14.7 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*COV is a measure of uniformity, the lower the number the better the uniformity.
** Sales adjusted to 1/1/09
Sales used in this analysis: All sales of one to three unit residences on residential lots which were verified as, or appeared to be market sales were considered for analysis. Sales along with values were market adjusted to $1 / 1 / 09$. Individual sales that were excluded are listed later in this report. Multi-parcel sales, multi-building sales, mobile home sales, and sales of new construction where less than a $100 \%$ complete house was assessed for 2008 or any existing residence where the data for 2008 is significantly different from the data for 2009 due to remodeling were also excluded. In addition, the summary above excludes sales of parcels that had improvement value of $\$ 25,000$ or less posted for the 2008 Assessment Roll. This also excludes previously vacant and destroyed property partial value accounts.

| Population - Improved Parcel Summary Data: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land | Imps | Total |
| 2008 Value | $\$ 105,900$ | $\$ 180,200$ | $\$ 286,100$ |
| 2009 Value | $\$ 103,800$ | $\$ 138,800$ | $\$ 242,600$ |
| Percent Change | $-2.0 \%$ | $-23.0 \%$ | $-15.2 \%$ |

Number of improved Parcels in the Population: 3147
The population summary above excludes multi-building parcels, mobile home parcels, and new construction where less than $100 \%$ complete house was assessed for 2008 or any existing residence where the data for 2008 is significantly different from the data for 2009 due to remodeling. In addition, parcels with 2008 or 2009 Assessment Roll improvement values of $\$ 1,000$ or less, were also excluded to eliminate previously vacant or destroyed property value accounts. These parcels do not reflect accurate percent change results for the overall population. As described in the model validation section of this report, sales and values were adjusted to $1 / 1 / 09$ with an additional adjustment of .85 made to all properties. Exceptions may be found in the Improved Parcel Total Value Model Calibration section of this report.

## Conclusion and Recommendation:

Since the values recommended in this report improve uniformity, assessment level and equity, we recommend posting them for the 2009 Assessment Roll.

## Market Change of Average Sale Price in Area 41

From 1/1/07 to 1/1/09


## Sales Sample Representation of Population - Year Built / Year Renovated

| Sales Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Built/Ren | Frequency | \% Sales Sample |
| 1910 | 4 | $1.82 \%$ |
| 1920 | 11 | $5.00 \%$ |
| 1930 | 15 | $6.82 \%$ |
| 1940 | 12 | $5.45 \%$ |
| 1950 | 15 | $6.82 \%$ |
| 1960 | 15 | $6.82 \%$ |
| 1970 | 14 | $6.36 \%$ |
| 1980 | 14 | $6.36 \%$ |
| 1990 | 32 | $14.55 \%$ |
| 2000 | 64 | $29.09 \%$ |
| 2008 | 24 | $10.91 \%$ |
|  | 220 |  |


| Population <br> Year Built/Ren <br> 1910 | Frequency | \% Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1920 | 71 | $2.26 \%$ |
| 1930 | 190 | $6.04 \%$ |
| 1940 | 242 | $7.69 \%$ |
| 1950 | 233 | $7.40 \%$ |
| 1960 | 142 | $4.51 \%$ |
| 1970 | 268 | $8.52 \%$ |
| 1980 | 298 | $9.47 \%$ |
| 1990 | 280 | $8.90 \%$ |
| 2000 | 390 | $12.39 \%$ |
| 2008 | 880 | $27.96 \%$ |
|  | 153 | $4.86 \%$ |
|  | 3147 |  |



Sales of new homes built within the last eight years are over-represented in this sample. This is a common occurrence due to the fact that most new homes will sell shortly after completion.

Sales Sample Representation of Population - Above Grade Living Area

| Sales Sample |  |  | Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGLA | Frequency | \% Sales Sample | AGLA | Frequency | \% Population |
| 500 | 0 | 0.00\% | 500 | 6 | 0.19\% |
| 1000 | 32 | 14.55\% | 1000 | 350 | 11.12\% |
| 1500 | 71 | 32.27\% | 1500 | 1192 | 37.88\% |
| 2000 | 71 | 32.27\% | 2000 | 1032 | 32.79\% |
| 2500 | 22 | 10.00\% | 2500 | 341 | 10.84\% |
| 3000 | 19 | 8.64\% | 3000 | 167 | 5.31\% |
| 3500 | 4 | 1.82\% | 3500 | 38 | 1.21\% |
| 4000 | 0 | 0.00\% | 4000 | 12 | 0.38\% |
| 4500 | 1 | 0.45\% | 4500 | 4 | 0.13\% |
| 5000 | 0 | 0.00\% | 5000 | 4 | 0.13\% |
| 5500 | 0 | 0.00\% | 5500 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 7500 | 0 | 0.00\% | 7500 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| 220 |  |  |  | 3147 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution very closely with regard to Above Grade Living Area. This distribution is ideal for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

## Sales Sample Representation of Population - Grade

| Sales Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Frequency | \% Sales Sample |
| 1 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 3 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 4 | 1 | $0.45 \%$ |
| 5 | 13 | $5.91 \%$ |
| 6 | 58 | $26.36 \%$ |
| 7 | 93 | $42.27 \%$ |
| 8 | 49 | $22.27 \%$ |
| 9 | 5 | $2.27 \%$ |
| 10 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 11 | 1 | $0.45 \%$ |
| 12 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 13 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | 220 |  |


| Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Frequency | \% Population |
| 1 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 2 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 3 | 1 | $0.03 \%$ |
| 4 | 29 | $0.92 \%$ |
| 5 | 185 | $5.88 \%$ |
| 6 | 671 | $21.32 \%$ |
| 7 | 1626 | $51.67 \%$ |
| 8 | 570 | $18.11 \%$ |
| 9 | 61 | $1.94 \%$ |
| 10 | 2 | $0.06 \%$ |
| 11 | 2 | $0.06 \%$ |
| 12 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 13 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | 3147 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution very closely with regard to Building Grade. This distribution is ideal for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

## Area 41-3



## Analysis Process

## Effective Date of Appraisal: January 1, 2009

## Date of Appraisal Report: July 8, 2009

## Highest and Best Use Analysis

As If Vacant: Market analysis of the area, together with current zoning and current and anticipated use patterns, indicate the highest and best use of the overwhelming majority of the appraised parcels is single family residential. Any other opinion of highest and best use is specifically noted in our records, and would form the basis for the valuation of that specific parcel.

As If Improved: Where any value for improvements is part of the total valuation, we are of the opinion that the present improvements produce a higher value for the property than if the site was vacant. In appraisal theory, the present use is therefore the highest and best (as improved) of the subject property, though it could be an interim use.

Standards and Measurement of Data Accuracy: Sales were verified with the purchaser, seller or real estate agent where possible. Current data was verified via field inspection and corrected. Data was collected and coded per the assessor's residential procedures manual.

## Special Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

The sales comparison and cost approaches to value were considered for this mass appraisal valuation. After the sales verification process, the appraiser concluded that the market participants typically do not consider an income approach to value. Therefore the income approach is not applicable in this appraisal as these properties are not typically leased, but rather owner occupied. The income approach to value was not considered in the valuation of this area.

The following Departmental guidelines were considered and adhered to:

- Sales from $1 / 2007$ to $1 / 2009$ (at minimum) were considered in all analyses.
- Values and sales were adjusted to January 1, 2009.
- All values were adjusted as described in the model validation section of this report.
- This report is intended to meet the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Standard 6.


## Identification of the Area

## Name or Designation:

Area 41---Enumclaw

## Boundaries:

The northern boundary of Area 41 is SE $424^{\text {th }}$ Street. The western boundary follows south along $244^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE to Highway 410 (Enumclaw-Buckley Road.) From there, the southern boundary then follows Highway 410 NE to Warner Road, then east on Warner Road to $284^{\text {th }}$ Avenue SE. The boundary then goes south to SE $464^{\text {th }}$ Street, then east below the King County Fair Grounds, then north along the eastern perimeter of the Fair Grounds and the Enumclaw Golf Course and continuing north to SE $424^{\text {th }}$ Street.

## Maps:

A general map of the area is included in this report. More detailed Assessor’s maps are located on the 7th floor of the King County Administration Building.

## Area Description:

Situated between the plateau farmlands and the Cascade Mountains in southeast King County is the rural community known as Area 41. Approximately two-thirds of the nearly 3,600 residential parcels are located within the City limits of Enumclaw and the balance in unincorporated King County. Services such as major department store shopping and entertainment needs require travel outside the area to Auburn or Bonney Lake in Pierce County. In general, services such as police, fire, schools and other small business activities are available.

Approximately $\mathbf{8 \%}$ of the residential parcels in the area are vacant land. The parcels located in unincorporated King County are primarily acreage in nature and the long term use would be farming and pasture land. Lot sizes in area 41 range from less than 3500 square feet to roughly 80 acres. The primary view characteristics are of Mt. Rainier and the Cascade foothills. Several plats have good views from each lot, which is considered in the base land value. The Newaukum Creek runs across the northwestern portion of the area, with the Boise Creek at the southwestern portion. Environmental and sensitive area restrictions addressed include wetlands, stream, one hundred year flood plain and proximity to the Enumclaw landfill.

The entire area is serviced by a Water District and the parcels located within the city limits are on public sewer. Approximately 1,185 , or $36 \%$ of the homes in the area have been built since 1990 . A large part of the construction took place between the years of 1990 to 1995 , when 859 single family residences were built. The rapid development led to shortages with water and sewer availability. In response, the city implemented a water moratorium in April 1995. Development of new plats and single family residences dropped dramatically to only 5\% since 1996. The City of Enumclaw has since built a new wastewater treatment plant which was completed in November, 2008. The moratorium that formerly limited new construction was officially lifted on January 6, 2009. The anticipation of the completion of the plant and the lifting of the moratorium has led to a real strength in the market's land values, regardless of any current economic factors.

## Preliminary Ratio Analysis

A Ratio Study was completed just prior to the application of the 2009 recommended values. This study benchmarks the prior assessment level using 2008 posted values ( $1 / 1 / 08$ ) compared to current adjusted sale prices (1/1/09). The study was also repeated after the application of the 2009 recommended values. The results are included in the validation section of this report showing an improvement in the COV from 9.70 \% to $6.80 \%$.

## Scope of Data

## Land Value Data:

Vacant sales from $1 / 2007$ to $1 / 2009$ were given primary consideration for valuing land with emphasis placed on those sales closest to January 1, 2009. Further consideration was given to vacant land sales in neighboring Area 40 . These sales were utilized for additional market evidence and support in building the land valuation model. All land sales were verified by field review and buyer/seller contact when possible.

## Improved Parcel Total Value Data:

Sales information is obtained from excise tax affidavits and reviewed initially by the Accounting Division, Sales Identification Section. Information is analyzed and investigated by the appraiser in the process of revaluation. All sales were verified if possible by calling either the purchaser or seller, inquiring in the field or calling the real estate agent. Characteristic data is verified for all sales if possible. Due to time constraints, interior inspections were limited. Sales are listed in the "Sales Used" and "Sales Removed" sections of this report. Additional information resides in the Assessor's procedure manual located in the Public Information area of the King County Administration Building.

The Assessor maintains a cost model, which is specified by the physical characteristics of the improvement, such as first floor area, second floor area, total basement area, and number of bathrooms. The cost for each component is further calibrated to the 13 grades to account for quality of construction. Reconstruction Cost New (RCN) is calculated from adding up the cost of each component. Depreciation is then applied by means of a percent good table which is based on year built, grade, and condition, resulting in Reconstruction Cost New less Depreciation (RCNLD). The appraiser can make furthers adjustments for obsolescence (poor floor plan, design deficiencies, external nuisances etc.) if needed. The Assessor's cost model generates RCN and RCNLD for principal improvements and accessories such as detached garages and pools.

The Assessor’s cost model was developed by the King County Department of Assessments in the early 1970's. It was recalibrated in 1990 to roughly approximate Marshall \& Swift’s square foot cost tables, and is indexed annually to keep up with current costs.

## Land Model

## Model Development, Description and Conclusions

There are 3577 total parcels in Area 41 with two market segments. The major segment is within the city limits of Enumclaw. The second segment consists of the acreage parcels located just outside the city limits, in unincorporated King County. There were insufficient vacant land sales over .75 acres in Enumclaw to establish a basis for reliable land valuation. Therefore additional sales were sought in neighboring area 40-1. By expanding parameters to include these adjacent area sales, there were sufficient sales for the two market segments on which to reach a conclusion.

Vacant sales were analyzed and land models developed from the direct sales comparison approach. Plats which were generally homogeneous in age, improvement size and site size are listed on the following page and were valued by the base site value method. All other sites, whether situated in plats or on tax lots, were valued utilizing the Land Value Model Calibration below. Adjustments were applied to the base land value for factors affecting value such as views, topography, traffic noise, access problems, wetlands and/or streams and percolation problems. These adjustments are shown on page 16.

With the completion of the new sewage treatment plant, the city of Enumclaw has lifted its building moratorium. Vacant land sales indicate that developers have purchased larger parcels of land since greater opportunities for development now exist. However due to the current downturn of the economy there is no apparent rush to develop these parcels into subdivisions. Therefore, these sites were valued as single sites, and not with regards to their potential for subdivision into multiple buildable sites.

All land values were adjusted by .85 as described in the model validation section of this report. A list of vacant sales used and those considered not reflective of market are included in the following sections.

## Land Value Model Calibration

AREA 41 LAND SCHEDULE FOR UNPLATTED LAND

| Lot Square Footage | Acreage | Base Land Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3,000 | 0.07 | \$90,000 |
| 4,000 | 0.09 | \$95,000 |
| 5,000 | 0.11 | \$100,000 |
| 7,000 | 0.16 | \$105,000 |
| 9,000 | 0.21 | \$110,000 |
| 11,000 | 0.25 | \$115,000 |
| 21,780 | 0.50 | \$140,000 |
| 32,670 | 0.75 | \$160,000 |
| 43,560 | 1.00 | \$180,000 |
| 65,340 | 1.50 | \$190,000 |
| 87,120 | 2.00 | \$200,000 |
| 108,900 | 2.50 | \$210,000 |
| 130,680 | 3.00 | \$220,000 |
| 152,460 | 3.50 | \$230,000 |
| 174,240 | 4.00 | \$240,000 |
| 196,020 | 4.50 | \$250,000 |
| 217,800 | 5.00 | \$260,000 |
| 261,360 | 6.00 | \$272,000 |
| 304,920 | 7.00 | \$284,000 |
| 348,480 | 8.00 | \$296,000 |
| 392,040 | 9.00 | \$308,000 |
| 435,600 | 10.00 | \$320,000 |
| 653,400 | 15.00 | \$345,000 |
| 871,200 | 20.00 | \$370,000 |
| 1,089,000 | 25.00 | \$395,000 |
| 1,306,800 | 30.00 | \$420,000 |
| 1,524,600 | 35.00 | \$445,000 |
| 1,742,400 | 40.00 | \$470,000 |

For sites over 40 acres: $\$ 470,000$ + \$5,000/each additional acre after 40 acres
Note: Incremental value adjustments were made between specified lot sizes.

## SITE VALUES BY PLAT

| Major | Plat Name | Grade | Yr Built | Lot size | Base Land |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 019270 | Alpine Ridge | 5 to 8 | varies | 15-25,000 | \$125,000 |
| 034940 | Babbs Addition | 6 to 7 | 1980s | 7-9,000 | \$112,000 |
| 076680 | Berilla Estates \#1 | 7 | 1990s | 7-9,000 | \$120,000 |
| 076681 | Berilla Estates \#2 | 7 | 1990s | 7-9,000 | \$120,000 |
| 089902 | Boisjolie Add \#3 | 6 | 1980s | 8-10,000 | \$112,000 |
| 116340 | Broos 1st Add | 7 | 1970s | 21,000 | \$130,000 |
| 156590 | Chinook Winds | 7 | 1990s | 8-10,000 | \$115,000 |
| 164500 | Clovercrest at Enumclaw Add | 7 | 1960s | 7-10,000 | \$110,000 |
| 230970 | Elk Meadows Phase 1 | 8 | 2006-08 | 4-5,000 | \$130,000 |
| 230971 | Elk Meadows Phase 2 | TBD | TBD | 4-5,000 | \$130,000 |
| 246880 | Fairway Hills \#1 | 8 | 1970s | 13-25,000 | \$138-140,000 |
| 248210 | Farnams 1st Add | 8 | 1970s | 16-19,000 | \$128,000 |
| 257190 | Flensted Add \#1 | 8 | 1990s | 8-13,000 | \$120,000 |
| 257191 | Flensted Add \#2 | 7 | 1990s | 7-11,000 | \$120,000 |
| 257192 | Flensted Add \#3 | 8 | 1990s | 8-13,000 | \$120,000 |
| 261700 | Four Forty East | 6 | 1970s | 9-10,000 | \$110,000 |
| 272290 | Gaydeski Add to Enumclaw | 7 | 1960s | 9,000 | \$109,000 |
| 293810 | Griffel Add to Enumclaw | 7 | 1960s | 9-10,000 | \$110,000 |
| 293820 | Griffel 2nd Add to Enumclaw | 7 | 1960s | 9-10,000 | \$110-112,000 |
| 325750 | Hensel-Kirkman Subdiv to Enumclaw | 6 to 8 | 1980s | 9-10,000 | \$112,000 |
| 369830 | Jensen Karl Add to Enumclaw | 8 | 1960s | 17,000 | \$125,000 |
| 369870 | Jensen-Peterson Add | 8 | 1960s | 9-14,000 | \$112,000 |
| 379730 | Kavera Estates | 7 to 8 | 1990s | 8-10,000 | \$120,000 |
| 379731 | Kavera Estates \#2 | 7 | 1990s | 8-10,000 | \$120,000 |
| 541610 | Meadows at Enumclaw Phase 1 | 8 | 1990s | 8-10,000 | \$130,000 |
| 542281 | Meadows at Enumclaw Phase 2 | 8 | 2000s | 8-13,000 | \$130,000 |
| 542282 | Meadows at Enumclaw Phase 3 | 8 | 2000s | 9-10,000 | \$130,000 |
| 543780 | Melody Park \#1 | 7 | 1960-70s | 8-10,000 | \$112,000 |
| 543781 | Melody Park \#2 | 7 | 1970s | 8-10,000 | \$112,000 |
| 543782 | Melody Park \#3 | 7 | 70s-80s | 8-10,000 | \$112,000 |
| 553110 | Miller Meadows | 7 | 1990s | 10,000 | \$117,000 |
| 560200 | Montgomery Park Add | 5 to 8 | 1930s-80s | 6-10,000 | \$110,000 |
| 570238 | Mt. Peak Village | 7 | 1990s | 8-10,000 | \$115,000 |
| 614200 | North Hillcrest Trs | 7 | 1960s | 9-10,000 | \$124,000 |
| 614210 | North Hillcrest Trs \#1 | 6 to 7 | 1960-70s | 12-19000 | \$125-128,000 |
| 659900 | PJ's Add \#2 | 6 to 8 | 1970s | 13-17000 | \$117-119,000 |
| 680220 | Pinnacle Estates | 7 | 1990s | 8-11,000 | \$115,000 |
| 680221 | Pinnacle Estates \#2 | 7 | 1990s | 8-11,000 | \$115,000 |
| 713820 | Rainier Trails | 7 | 1990s | 8-11,000 | \$120,000 |
| 713821 | Rainier Trails \#2 | 7 | 1990s | 8-11,000 | \$120,000 |
| 716470 | Rasmussen Add to Enumclaw | 7 to 8 | 1971-98 | 8-13,000 | \$115-120,000 |
| 757550 | Scandia Court | 6 | 1990s | 8-9,000 | \$112,000 |
| 771590 | Shannon Lane | 7 to 8 | 2003-04 | 9-15,000 | \$130,000 |
| 779200 | Sierra Vista Trs | 7 to 8 | 1950-60s | 24-30,000 | \$140,000 |
| 807805 | Summer Glen | 7 | 1990s | 8-9,000 | \$120,000 |
| 807849 | Summer Street | 8 | 1990s | 9-14,000 | \$120,000 |

Area 41
2009

| Major | Plat Name |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 809160 | Sun Mountain | 6 | 1980 s | $8-9,000$ | $\$ 115,000$ |
| 855680 | Takoba | 9 | 2000 s | $11-20,000$ | $\$ 170,000$ |
| 858880 | Terry Lane | 8 | 1990 s | 11,000 | $\$ 120,000$ |
| 888060 | Van Mar Estates | 7 | 1970 s | $7-15,000$ | $\$ 112,000$ |
| 932101 | Westwood Add \#2 | 7 to 8 | 1980 s | $9-12,000$ | $\$ 117-119,000$ |
| 943290 | Willowgate | 8 | 1990 s | $8-10,000$ | $\$ 120,000$ |
| 981470 | Yerxas Add to Town of Enumclaw | 5 to 8 | varies | $6-9,000$ | $105-110000$ |

Most Plats not on this list have been valued based on the land schedule for unplatted land.

## Land Value Adjustments

| Traffic | Reduction to Base Land |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Moderate | $-\$ 3,000$ |  |
| High |  | $-\$ 6,000$ |


| Topography | $-5 \%$ to $-10 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Sensitive Areas | $-5 \%$ to $-75 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |


| Restricted size/shape | $-5 \%$ to $-35 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |


| Non-percl |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Non-buildable | $-75 \%$ |


| View Adjustments | Additive to Base Land |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mt. Rainier |  |
| Average | $+\$ 5,000$ |
| Good | $+\$ 10,000$ |
| Excellent | $+\$ 15,000$ |


| Cascade Mountains |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Average | $+\$ 2,000$ |
| Good | $+\$ 4,000$ |
| Excellent | $+\$ 8,000$ |


| Territorial |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Good | $+\$ 2,000$ |
| Excellent | $+\$ 5,000$ |

## NDR Properties - (No Development Rights)

If one or more homes are currently situated on the site, valued using Land schedule
(Zoning already restricts these parcels to one dwelling per unit - typically RA10, A10 or A35 zoning.)
If currently vacant - having no reserved home site, the site is valued at Land schedule minus \$125,000.

Land model results were adjusted by .85 as described in the model validation section of this report.

## Calculation Samples:

1) A 43,560 SF ( 1 acre) site is calculated at $\$ 170,000$ per the land schedule, $+/-$ any other land adjustments. If this parcel had $5 \%$ taken off for topography and was situated on a street with moderate traffic, ( $-\$ 3,000$ per schedule), the adjusted value would be as follows:
$\$ 170,000 * .95=\$ 161,500-\$ 3,000=\$ 158,000$ (truncated to the nearest $\$ 1,000$ ) * $.85=$ \$134,000 (truncated).

Note: When multiple adjustments occur, the sum of the percentage adjustments are first applied, then the sum of the dollar amount adjustments are applied. Then $-15 \%$ market adjustment is taken off the total.
2) A site in a plat with the base land value of $\$ 90,000$ with a good territorial view and a good Cascade Mtn. range view:
$\$ 90,000+\$ 2,000+\$ 4,000=\$ 96,000 * .85=\$ 81,000$ (truncated)
Exceptions:
*If land value $=<\$ 1,000$ no adjustment is applied.
*If adjusted land value falls $<\$ 1,000$, then land value $=\$ 1,000$ or previous, whichever is less.
*If vacant parcels (no improvement value) only the land adjustment applies.
*If a parcel is coded "non-perc" (sewer system=3), the land adjustment is applied.
*If a parcel is coded sewer system public restricted, or water district private restricted, or water district public restricted, the land adjustment is applied.
*Any other properties excluded from the adjustment of .85 are noted in Real Property.

* Specific residential parcels on commercial zoning - No adjustments were applied to parcels listed below:

800610-0020, 800610-0045, 800610-0055, 232006-9175
(These parcels were coded for traffic, when applicable, however, to remain consistent with other commercially zoned parcels, no traffic adjustments were made.)

## Vacant Sales Used In This Physical Inspection Analysis

Area 41

| SubArea | Major | Minor | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Lot Size | View | Waterfront |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $41-3$ | 132006 | 9242 | $01 / 07$ | $\$ 170,000$ | 69,260 | Y | N |
| $41-3$ | 142006 | 9074 | $06 / 08$ | $\$ 140,000$ | 310,582 | Y | N |
| $41-3$ | 192007 | 9047 | $12 / 07$ | $\$ 367,500$ | 420,283 | Y | N |
| $41-3$ | 232006 | 9039 | $05 / 07$ | $\$ 900,000$ | 346,737 | Y | N |
| $41-3$ | 232006 | 9268 | $08 / 07$ | $\$ 180,000$ | 32,868 | Y | N |
| $41-3$ | 232006 | 9336 | $07 / 08$ | $\$ 115,000$ | 10,468 | N | N |
| $41-3$ | 232006 | 9384 | $08 / 07$ | $\$ 140,000$ | 9,589 | N | N |
| $41-3$ | 262006 | 9082 | $02 / 08$ | $\$ 525,000$ | 212,868 | Y | N |
| $41-3$ | 262006 | 9083 | $02 / 08$ | $\$ 450,000$ | 213,561 | Y | N |
| $41-3$ | 559590 | 0211 | $01 / 07$ | $\$ 100,000$ | 4,965 | N | N |
| $41-3$ | 659900 | 0020 | $04 / 07$ | $\$ 82,000$ | 13,267 | N | N |
| $41-3$ | 779200 | 0140 | $02 / 07$ | $\$ 145,000$ | 27,158 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 012006 | 9007 | $07 / 08$ | $\$ 60,000$ | 414,256 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 012006 | 9060 | $01 / 07$ | $\$ 278,000$ | 204,296 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 022006 | 9066 | $06 / 08$ | $\$ 200,000$ | 83,199 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 052007 | 9027 | $05 / 07$ | $\$ 219,000$ | 60,548 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 052007 | 9062 | $04 / 07$ | $\$ 159,500$ | 212,572 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 052007 | 9118 | $07 / 07$ | $\$ 242,000$ | 60,548 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 052007 | 9128 | $02 / 08$ | $\$ 230,000$ | 51,836 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 052007 | 9129 | $05 / 07$ | $\$ 199,000$ | 50,094 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 062006 | 9017 | $06 / 07$ | $\$ 450,000$ | $1,658,850$ | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 081700 | 0210 | $10 / 07$ | $\$ 468,950$ | 267,894 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 102006 | 9035 | $04 / 07$ | $\$ 150,000$ | 178,596 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 112006 | 9021 | $10 / 07$ | $\$ 260,000$ | 871,200 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 142250 | 0095 | $10 / 07$ | $\$ 155,000$ | 67,082 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 152006 | 9047 | $07 / 08$ | $\$ 425,000$ | 645,578 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 152006 | 9069 | $08 / 07$ | $\$ 55,000$ | 323,215 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 152006 | 9070 | $08 / 08$ | $\$ 27,500$ | 38,000 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 222006 | 9122 | $02 / 08$ | $\$ 209,950$ | 93,218 | Y | N |
| $40-1$ | 312007 | 9095 | $07 / 07$ | $\$ 135,000$ | 36,319 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 312007 | 9122 | $04 / 08$ | $\$ 380,000$ | 217,800 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 312007 | 9137 | $05 / 07$ | $\$ 135,000$ | 35,899 | N | N |
| $40-1$ | 312007 | 9137 | $07 / 08$ | $\$ 175,000$ | 35,899 | N | N |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Vacant Sales Removed From This Physical Inspection Analysis

Area 41

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $41-3$ | 142006 | 9074 | $06 / 08$ | $\$ 140,000$ | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| $41-3$ | 192007 | 9047 | $12 / 07$ | $\$ 367,500$ | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| $41-3$ | 232006 | 9386 | $12 / 08$ | $\$ 130,950$ | SEGREGATION AND/OR MERGER |
| $41-3$ | 262006 | 9177 | $10 / 07$ | $\$ 200,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE; RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR <br> NEIGHBOR |
| $41-3$ | 262006 | 9178 | $10 / 07$ | $\$ 200,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE; RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR <br> NEIGHBOR |
| $41-3$ | 659900 | 0026 | $04 / 08$ | $\$ 47,000$ | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |

## Improved Parcel Total Value Model:

## Model Development, Description and Conclusions

Most sales were field verified and characteristics updated prior to model development. Additionally, all sales from 1/2007 to 1/2009 were given equal consideration and weight in the model after adjustment to $1 / 1 / 09$.

Area 41 consists of properties in, and around, downtown Enumclaw. It is desirable as an established community with affordable, average quality homes, many of which are suitable for first home buyers.

The analysis of Area 41 consisted of a systematic review of pertinent characteristics which influence property value such as Base Land, Building RCN, (Replacement Cost New), Building RCNLD (Replacement Cost New Less Depreciation), Age, Condition, Accessory cost and Plat Major. Characteristics that indicated adjustments were analyzed using NCSS (Number Crunching Statistical software) along with Microsoft Excel. A wide variety of charts, graphs, reports and statistical diagnostics were scrutinized to determine adjustments for any specific variables that would be included in the final valuation model. These tools revealed that variables integral for the model for Area 41 to be Base Land Value, Building RCN, Age, Condition, a Plat Major and Accessory RCNLD. A single multiplicative model was developed for this area using market sales data adjusted to 1/1/09.

Properties in fair or poor condition, grade 4 or below, grade 9 and above, homes with less than 600 square feet or more than 3,500 square feet and parcels with more than one improvement were considered exceptions. In the valuation of these exception parcels, appraiser judgment was the most important factor with the aid of available Assessor applications and cost tools.

Mobile homes were also considered as exception parcels. There was only 1 mobile home sale in Area 41. Mobile homes were valued using the "Boecke" 2009 Mobile-Manufactured Housing Cost guide adjusted with regional multipliers. An additional $\$ 15,000$ was added to the "Boecke" model for on-site development costs, which account for the septic, water, power and excavation that make the site usable. There were a total of 23 properties with mobile homes in Area 41, with 16 of these serving as the main residence.

All total values were adjusted by .85 as described in the model validation section of this report. A list of improved sales used and those considered not reflective of market are included in the following sections.

## Improved Parcel Total Value Model Calibration

## Estimated Market Value Equation <br> Multiple Regression Model <br> For Area 41

## Formula:

Variable
Intercept
+If Major $=230970$ then LN(10)
+LN(Base Land/1000)
+LN(BldgRCN/1000)
+LN(Age +1 )
+If Grade >8 then LN(10)
+If Condition = Good then LN(10)
+If Condition = Very Good then LN(10)
+(Accy RCNLD/1000)

Coefficient
2.235963
*-0.04916238

* 0.2849701
* 0.4102243
*-0.02365404
* 0.02832971
* 0.02069096
* 0.04640998

Supplemental addition to regression formula calculation is as follows:
If condition = fair, then Total EMV - Land $=$ Imp EMV * .85 ( $15 \%$ reduction)
If condition $=$ poor, then Total EMV - Land $=$ Imp EMV * 70 ( $30 \%$ reduction)

Then EXP(Total)*1000 = EMV
Then Truncate EMV to the lower thousand


EMV values were not generated for:

- Buildings with grade less than 3
- Building two or greater. (EMV is generated for building one only.)
- If total EMV is less than base land value
- Lot size less than 100 square feet
- Buildings coded with Obsolescence or Net Condition


## Supplemental Valuation Adjustments

| Grade 3 | Valued using RCNLD |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade 11 | Valued using RCNLD |

Multiple Buildings - Base Land + (EMV of Bldg \#1) + (Total RCNLD Bldg \# 2 +/or \#3 if applicable)

## Plat Adjustments <br> Major 855680 - Total EMV * 1.20 for a Premium Grade 9 plat

Improvements with attached garages converted to living area - Base Land + Imp EMV * . 95
Model results were adjusted by .85 as described in the model validation section of this report.

## Exceptions:

*If land value $=<\$ 1,000$ no adjustment is applied.
*If improvements and accessories $=<\$ 1,000$ no further adjustment applied.
*If adjusted land value falls $<\$ 1,000$, then land value $=\$ 1,000$ or previous, whichever is less.
*If adjusted improvement value falls $<\$ 1,000$, then improvement value $=\$ 1,000$ or previous whichever is less.
*If vacant parcels (no improvement value) only the land adjustment applies.
*If a parcel is coded "non-perc" (sewer system=3), the land adjustment is applied.
*If a parcel is coded sewer system public restricted, or water district private restricted, or water district public restricted, the land adjustment is applied.
*If an improvement is coded "\% net condition" or is in "poor" condition, then the model adjustment is applied.
*Any other properties excluded from the adjustment of .85 are noted in Real Property.
Of the improved parcels in the population (see Executive Summary Report on page 4), 45 parcels increased in value. They were comprised of 13 single family residences on commercially zoned land and 32 single family residences on other parcels.

Of the vacant land parcels greater than $\$ 1000$, 112 parcels increased in value. (Tax exempt parcels were excluded from the number of parcels increased.)

## Glossary for Improved Sales

## Condition: Relative to Age and Grade

| 1= Poor | Many repairs needed. Showing serious deterioration <br> 2= Fair |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3= Average | Some repairs needed immediately. Much deferred maintenance. <br> Depending upon age of improvement; normal amount of upkeep <br> for the age of the home. |
| $4=$ Good | Condition above the norm for the age of the home. Indicates extra <br> attention and care has been taken to maintain home. |
| $5=$ Very Good | Excellent maintenance and updating on home. Not a total renovation. |

## Residential Building Grades

Grades 1-3 Falls short of minimum building standards. Normally cabin or inferior structure.
Grade $4 \quad$ Generally older low quality construction. Does not meet code.
Grade 5 Lower construction costs and workmanship. Small, simple design.
Grade 6 Lowest grade currently meeting building codes. Low quality materials, simple designs.
Grade $7 \quad$ Average grade of construction and design. Commonly seen in plats and older subdivisions.
Grade $8 \quad$ Just above average in construction and design. Usually better materials in both the exterior and interior finishes.
Grade $9 \quad$ Better architectural design, with extra exterior and interior design and quality.
Grade 10 Homes of this quality generally have high quality features. Finish work is better, and more design quality is seen in the floor plans and larger square footage.
Grade 11 Custom design and higher quality finish work, with added amenities of solid woods, bathroom fixtures and more luxurious options.
Grade 12 Custom design and excellent builders. All materials are of the highest quality and all conveniences are present
Grade $13 \quad$ Generally custom designed and built. Approaching the Mansion level. Large amount of highest quality cabinet work, wood trim and marble; large entries.

## Area 41 Sales price changes (relative to 1/1/2009 valuation date)

In a declining market recognition of a sales trend is required to accurately predict value as of a certain date. Assessed values are determined as of January 1 of a given year.

| Market Adjustment to 1/1/2009 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Downward Adjustment <br> (Factor) | Equivalent Percent |
| Sale Date | 0.892 | $-10.8 \%$ |
| $1 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.888 | $-11.2 \%$ |
| $2 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.885 | $-11.5 \%$ |
| $3 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.882 | $-11.8 \%$ |
| $4 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.880 | $-12.0 \%$ |
| $5 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.879 | $-12.1 \%$ |
| $6 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.878 | $-12.2 \%$ |
| $7 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.878 | $-12.2 \%$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.879 | $-12.1 \%$ |
| $9 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.881 | $-11.9 \%$ |
| $10 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.883 | $-11.7 \%$ |
| $11 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.886 | $-11.4 \%$ |
| $12 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.890 | $-11.0 \%$ |
| $1 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.895 | $-10.5 \%$ |
| $2 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.900 | $-10.0 \%$ |
| $3 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.906 | $-9.4 \%$ |
| $4 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.913 | $-8.7 \%$ |
| $5 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.921 | $-7.9 \%$ |
| $6 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.929 | $-7.1 \%$ |
| $7 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.939 | $-6.1 \%$ |
| $8 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.949 | $-5.1 \%$ |
| $9 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.960 | $-4.0 \%$ |
| $10 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.973 | $-2.7 \%$ |
| $11 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.986 | $-1.4 \%$ |
| $12 / 1 / 2008$ | 1.000 | $0.0 \%$ |
| $1 / 1 / 2009$ |  | 0 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

The chart above shows the \% adjustment required for sales to be representative of the assessment date of $1 / 1 / 09$.

| Example: | Sales <br> Price | Sales Date | Adjustment <br> factor | Adjusted Sales price* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale 1 | $\$ 525,000$ | $4 / 1 / 2007$ | 0.882 | $\$ 463,000$ |
| Sale 2 | $\$ 475,000$ | $10 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.960 | $\$ 456,000$ |
| Sale 3 | $\$ 515,000$ | $7 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.929 | $\$ 478,000$ |

* The adjusted sale price has been rounded to the nearest $\$ 1000$.

The time adjustment formula for Area 41 is =1/EXP(-0.0004804841*SaleDay-
$0.0000004438567 *$ SaleDaySq)
SaleDay = SaleDate - 39814
SaleDaySq $=(\text { SaleDate }-39814)^{\wedge 2}$

Improved Sales Used In This Physical Inspection Analysis Area 41

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 089800 | 0165 | 07/08 | \$196,000 | \$184,000 | 580 | 4 | 1922 | 4 | 7,718 | N | N | 1222 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 559590 | 0175 | 05/07 | \$210,000 | \$185,000 | 550 | 5 | 1920 | 5 | 5,751 | N | N | 1505 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 570650 | 0320 | 07/07 | \$224,000 | \$197,000 | 660 | 5 | 1930 | 5 | 4,166 | N | N | 3011 DIVISION ST |
| 003 | 262006 | 9083 | 02/08 | \$450,000 | \$403,000 | 740 | 5 | 1947 | 3 | 213,561 | Y | N | 801 SEMANSKI ST |
| 003 | 570650 | 0015 | 04/07 | \$202,000 | \$178,000 | 760 | 5 | 1950 | 4 | 5,000 | N | N | 3016 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 559590 | 0210 | 12/07 | \$200,000 | \$178,000 | 800 | 5 | 1918 | 5 | 5,280 | N | N | 2016 INITIAL AVE |
| 003 | 601850 | 0180 | 12/08 | \$203,000 | \$200,000 | 810 | 5 | 1923 | 5 | 6,450 | N | N | 1144 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9142 | 01/07 | \$227,500 | \$203,000 | 960 | 5 | 1914 | 4 | 7,500 | N | N | 1329 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9333 | 05/07 | \$235,000 | \$207,000 | 960 | 5 | 1931 | 4 | 9,735 | N | N | 1432 MCHUGH AVE |
| 003 | 601850 | 0240 | 11/07 | \$235,000 | \$208,000 | 1,020 | 5 | 1928 | 5 | 6,450 | N | N | 1105 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 232006 | 9187 | 08/08 | \$262,000 | \$247,000 | 1,060 | 5 | 1952 | 4 | 18,512 | N | N | 44106 244TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9118 | 06/07 | \$292,000 | \$256,000 | 1,130 | 5 | 1940 | 4 | 88,862 | N | N | 26444 SE 427TH ST |
| 003 | 866100 | 0070 | 08/08 | \$248,000 | \$233,000 | 1,160 | 5 | 1937 | 4 | 11,920 | N | N | 1927 LORAINE ST |
| 003 | 232006 | 9089 | 05/07 | \$320,000 | \$281,000 | 1,830 | 5 | 1930 | 4 | 19,606 | N | N | 1566 FLORENCE ST |
| 003 | 800510 | 0316 | 07/07 | \$220,000 | \$193,000 | 580 | 6 | 1938 | 4 | 3,115 | N | N | 1519 MARSHALL AVE |
| 003 | 142006 | 9064 | 03/07 | \$235,500 | \$208,000 | 690 | 6 | 1957 | 5 | 9,583 | Y | N | 42830 248TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 570650 | 0300 | 07/08 | \$209,000 | \$196,000 | 720 | 6 | 1938 | 4 | 4,752 | N | N | 3035 DIVISION ST |
| 003 | 771010 | 0194 | 02/07 | \$230,950 | \$205,000 | 730 | 6 | 1941 | 4 | 6,157 | N | N | 2227 GRIFFIN AVE |
| 003 | 601850 | 0100 | 09/08 | \$239,000 | \$227,000 | 770 | 6 | 1947 | 5 | 6,167 | N | N | 1115 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 370190 | 0030 | 12/08 | \$210,000 | \$209,000 | 780 | 6 | 1947 | 5 | 6,450 | N | N | 1221 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9296 | 07/07 | \$295,000 | \$259,000 | 840 | 6 | 1928 | 3 | 11,258 | N | N | 1115 COLE ST |
| 003 | 232006 | 9182 | 12/07 | \$218,000 | \$193,000 | 870 | 6 | 1951 | 4 | 7,740 | N | N | 2325 KIBLER AVE |
| 003 | 570650 | 0690 | 04/07 | \$235,900 | \$208,000 | 890 | 6 | 1924 | 4 | 6,400 | N | N | 1724 WILSON AVE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9249 | 03/07 | \$244,000 | \$216,000 | 910 | 6 | 1913 | 5 | 8,978 | N | N | 3224 DIVISION ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9418 | 08/07 | \$235,000 | \$206,000 | 910 | 6 | 1936 | 4 | 5,600 | N | N | 1433 MERRITT AVE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9200 | 03/07 | \$269,000 | \$238,000 | 920 | 6 | 1979 | 4 | 28,643 | Y | N | 43110 268TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 800610 | 0295 | 02/07 | \$238,121 | \$211,000 | 920 | 6 | 1991 | 4 | 7,000 | N | N | 1101 WELLS ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9229 | 05/07 | \$224,000 | \$197,000 | 940 | 6 | 1908 | 4 | 4,750 | N | N | 1643 WASHINGTON AVE |

Area 41

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade <br> Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 560200 | 0035 | 03/07 | \$247,000 | \$218,000 | 950 | 6 | 1949 | 4 | 10,212 | N | N | 1524 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9202 | 12/07 | \$230,000 | \$204,000 | 960 | 6 | 1914 | 4 | 7,879 | N | N | 1714 GRIFFIN AVE |
| 003 | 601850 | 0160 | 06/08 | \$240,000 | \$222,000 | 970 | 6 | 1949 | 4 | 7,179 | N | N | 1161 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9343 | 07/07 | \$242,000 | \$212,000 | 980 | 6 | 1927 | 4 | 5,000 | N | N | 2137 WELLS ST |
| 003 | 560200 | 0030 | 04/07 | \$264,400 | \$233,000 | 990 | 6 | 1949 | 5 | 10,212 | N | N | 1540 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 561510 | 0290 | 05/07 | \$233,500 | \$205,000 | 1,000 | 6 | 1959 | 4 | 6,000 | N | N | 1428 CHINOOK AVE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9193 | 01/07 | \$249,950 | \$223,000 | 1,010 | 6 | 1918 | 4 | 5,000 | N | N | 1715 WASHINGTON AVE |
| 003 | 261700 | 0030 | 02/08 | \$210,000 | \$188,000 | 1,010 | 6 | 1973 | 3 | 10,071 | N | N | 43908 283RD PL SE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9126 | 01/07 | \$240,000 | \$213,000 | 1,020 | 6 | 1947 | 4 | 16,848 | N | N | 42616 264TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 543782 | 0240 | 07/07 | \$245,000 | \$215,000 | 1,020 | 6 | 1983 | 4 | 8,662 | N | N | 2457 SCANDIA CT |
| 003 | 242006 | 9435 | 02/07 | \$223,200 | \$198,000 | 1,050 | 6 | 1949 | 4 | 10,050 | Y | N | 1209 BATTERSBY AVE |
| 003 | 809160 | 0230 | 06/07 | \$240,000 | \$211,000 | 1,060 | 6 | 1987 | 3 | 9,386 | N | N | 2970 SUN MOUNTAIN DR |
| 003 | 809160 | 0240 | 12/08 | \$243,500 | \$243,000 | 1,060 | 6 | 1987 | 3 | 9,773 | N | N | 2960 SUN MOUNTAIN DR |
| 003 | 089902 | 0060 | 03/08 | \$254,950 | \$230,000 | 1,070 | 6 | 1984 | 5 | 8,817 | N | N | 2740 GREEN RIVER CT |
| 003 | 242006 | 9265 | 12/07 | \$256,150 | \$228,000 | 1,090 | 6 | 1915 | 4 | 8,900 | N | N | 3141 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 757550 | 0030 | 04/08 | \$260,000 | \$236,000 | 1,090 | 6 | 1990 | 3 | 8,410 | N | N | 2256 SCANDIA AVE |
| 003 | 866100 | 0150 | 01/07 | \$305,000 | \$271,000 | 1,090 | 6 | 1949 | 5 | 15,190 | N | N | 1744 LORAINE ST |
| 003 | 089902 | 0050 | 01/07 | \$250,000 | \$222,000 | 1,100 | 6 | 1986 | 4 | 10,027 | N | N | 2722 GREEN RIVER CT |
| 003 | 809160 | 0320 | 08/08 | \$250,052 | \$236,000 | 1,120 | 6 | 1986 | 4 | 8,666 | N | N | 2853 SUN MOUNTAIN DR |
| 003 | 132006 | 9094 | 01/07 | \$289,000 | \$257,000 | 1,150 | 6 | 1941 | 5 | 13,500 | N | N | 42702 266TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 272290 | 0035 | 09/08 | \$263,249 | \$251,000 | 1,160 | 6 | 1959 | 4 | 8,925 | N | N | 1432 GARFIELD ST |
| 003 | 570650 | 0855 | 02/07 | \$279,950 | \$248,000 | 1,190 | 6 | 1930 | 5 | 5,400 | N | N | 1634 WILSON AVE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9076 | 12/07 | \$299,800 | \$266,000 | 1,210 | 6 | 1948 | 5 | 8,660 | N | N | 2416 MCHUGH AVE |
| 003 | 559590 | 0235 | 02/07 | \$248,000 | \$220,000 | 1,240 | 6 | 1958 | 4 | 7,670 | N | N | 1444 DIVISION ST |
| 003 | 132006 | 9048 | 05/08 | \$326,000 | \$300,000 | 1,250 | 6 | 1927 | 4 | 18,125 | N | N | 43128 260TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9206 | 04/07 | \$285,000 | \$251,000 | 1,250 | 6 | 1939 | 5 | 8,276 | N | N | 1923 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9145 | 09/07 | \$255,000 | \$224,000 | 1,270 | 6 | 1912 | 4 | 5,000 | N | N | 1207 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 802920 | 0070 | 06/07 | \$299,500 | \$263,000 | 1,290 | 6 | 1927 | 4 | 7,198 | N | N | 1761 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9052 | 10/07 | \$252,000 | \$222,000 | 1,300 | 6 | 1903 | 5 | 6,146 | N | N | 1233 MARION ST |
| 003 | 034940 | 0160 | 12/08 | \$241,450 | \$239,000 | 1,320 | 6 | 1981 | 4 | 7,883 | N | N | 633 NATALIE PL |
| 003 | 809160 | 0360 | 05/07 | \$250,000 | \$220,000 | 1,350 | 6 | 1988 | 3 | 9,745 | N | N | 2892 SUN MOUNTAIN DR |
| 003 | 560200 | 0270 | 06/08 | \$252,000 | \$233,000 | 1,360 | 6 | 1949 | 5 | 10,212 | N | N | 1542 HARDING ST |

Area 41

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 800610 | 0436 | 08/07 | \$239,000 | \$210,000 | 1,360 | 6 | 1918 | 4 | 6,370 | N | N | 1158 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 809160 | 0110 | 06/07 | \$262,000 | \$230,000 | 1,390 | 6 | 1988 | 3 | 8,424 | N | N | 2871 SUN MOUNTAIN DR |
| 003 | 601850 | 0225 | 09/07 | \$253,000 | \$223,000 | 1,410 | 6 | 1928 | 5 | 6,450 | N | N | 1135 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 809160 | 0040 | 06/08 | \$224,000 | \$207,000 | 1,410 | 6 | 1987 | 3 | 8,400 | N | N | 2960 INITIAL AVE |
| 003 | 262006 | 9075 | 01/08 | \$254,000 | \$226,000 | 1,480 | 6 | 1940 | 4 | 6,720 | N | N | 754 MYRTINE ST |
| 003 | 182007 | 9037 | 01/07 | \$299,000 | \$266,000 | 1,500 | 6 | 1942 | 4 | 49,658 | N | N | 28126 SE 432ND ST |
| 003 | 232006 | 9294 | 03/07 | \$340,000 | \$300,000 | 1,620 | 6 | 1987 | 4 | 35,000 | Y | N | 2790 MCHUGH AVE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9003 | 11/07 | \$305,000 | \$270,000 | 1,620 | 6 | 1960 | 3 | 79,993 | Y | N | 26929 SE 432ND ST |
| 003 | 561510 | 0005 | 01/07 | \$314,950 | \$280,000 | 1,720 | 6 | 1928 | 5 | 9,193 | N | N | 3053 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 570650 | 0575 | 03/07 | \$295,000 | \$260,000 | 1,750 | 6 | 1937 | 5 | 6,170 | N | N | 1985 KIBLER AVE |
| 003 | 771010 | 0061 | 05/07 | \$349,000 | \$307,000 | 1,820 | 6 | 1988 | 3 | 10,200 | N | N | 1855 GARFIELD ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9159 | 01/07 | \$292,000 | \$259,000 | 2,140 | 6 | 1910 | 5 | 11,835 | N | N | 1830 WASHINGTON AVE |
| 003 | 601850 | 0215 | 07/07 | \$267,600 | \$235,000 | 910 | 7 | 1951 | 4 | 6,450 | N | N | 1155 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 242006 | 9374 | 01/07 | \$272,000 | \$242,000 | 940 | 7 | 1940 | 4 | 5,000 | N | N | 1217 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 561510 | 0395 | 11/07 | \$256,300 | \$227,000 | 984 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 4,801 | N | N | 1554 CHINOOK AVE |
| 003 | 164500 | 0180 | 03/07 | \$266,950 | \$236,000 | 1,010 | 7 | 1968 | 4 | 7,500 | N | N | 1948 MCKINLEY ST |
| 003 | 116340 | 0060 | 01/07 | \$287,000 | \$255,000 | 1,030 | 7 | 1970 | 3 | 21,600 | N | N | 28310 SE 430TH ST |
| 003 | 559590 | 0280 | 02/07 | \$265,000 | \$234,000 | 1,050 | 7 | 1955 | 4 | 7,670 | N | N | 1449 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 543782 | 0180 | 04/08 | \$253,000 | \$230,000 | 1,070 | 7 | 1983 | 4 | 8,352 | N | N | 359 HARMONY LN |
| 003 | 601850 | 0285 | 04/07 | \$291,450 | \$257,000 | 1,090 | 7 | 1925 | 5 | 5,629 | N | N | 2105 ROOSEVELT AVE |
| 003 | 981470 | 0081 | 02/07 | \$315,000 | \$279,000 | 1,090 | 7 | 1913 | 4 | 6,000 | N | N | 1829 WASHINGTON AVE |
| 003 | 076400 | 0120 | 10/07 | \$285,000 | \$252,000 | 1,120 | 7 | 1955 | 5 | 8,760 | N | N | 1079 FLORENCE ST |
| 003 | 559590 | 0120 | 07/07 | \$299,000 | \$263,000 | 1,120 | 7 | 1920 | 4 | 6,382 | N | N | 1540 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 543780 | 0160 | 10/07 | \$264,950 | \$234,000 | 1,150 | 7 | 1972 | 4 | 9,122 | N | N | 2509 B CT |
| 003 | 570238 | 0220 | 09/08 | \$286,000 | \$274,000 | 1,160 | 7 | 1990 | 3 | 7,100 | N | N | 309 JEWELL ST |
| 003 | 257191 | 0320 | 05/07 | \$324,000 | \$285,000 | 1,200 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 8,745 | N | N | 707 VICTOR ST |
| 003 | 713820 | 0350 | 08/08 | \$250,000 | \$236,000 | 1,210 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 8,094 | N | N | 3333 WETHERBEE LN |
| 003 | 713820 | 0350 | 08/07 | \$269,000 | \$236,000 | 1,210 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 8,094 | N | N | 3333 WETHERBEE LN |
| 003 | 543782 | 0110 | 07/07 | \$259,950 | \$228,000 | 1,220 | 7 | 1979 | 4 | 10,549 | N | N | 324 CHARWILA LN |
| 003 | 561510 | 0390 | 02/08 | \$248,000 | \$222,000 | 1,264 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 4,626 | N | N | 3009 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 191730 | 0340 | 11/07 | \$259,200 | \$229,000 | 1,280 | 7 | 1996 | 4 | 5,374 | N | N | 1402 DAVIS AVE |
| 003 | 981470 | 0146 | 02/08 | \$260,000 | \$233,000 | 1,290 | 7 | 2006 | 3 | 3,001 | N | N | 1858 HILLCREST AVE |

Area 41
2009

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade <br> Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 242006 | 9474 | 10/07 | \$269,000 | \$237,000 | 1,320 | 7 | 1959 | 4 | 9,520 | N | N | 1444 MERRITT AVE |
| 003 | 076681 | 0320 | 12/07 | \$285,000 | \$253,000 | 1,350 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 8,424 | N | N | 3005 EDEL AVE |
| 003 | 680221 | 0430 | 05/08 | \$270,000 | \$248,000 | 1,350 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 8,440 | N | N | 906 OLSEN PL |
| 003 | 076680 | 0310 | 01/08 | \$284,200 | \$253,000 | 1,380 | 7 | 1990 | 3 | 8,482 | N | N | 2928 EDEL AVE |
| 003 | 076681 | 0210 | 04/07 | \$300,000 | \$264,000 | 1,400 | 7 | 1990 | 3 | 8,679 | N | N | 1009 WEST CT |
| 003 | 370190 | 0125 | 04/07 | \$240,000 | \$211,000 | 1,410 | 7 | 1987 | 3 | 4,711 | N | N | 1223 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 164500 | 0420 | 07/07 | \$269,950 | \$237,000 | 1,420 | 7 | 1963 | 3 | 8,043 | N | N | 1747 CLOVERCREST ST |
| 003 | 232006 | 9355 | 02/08 | \$345,000 | \$310,000 | 1,420 | 7 | 1993 | 4 | 8,226 | N | N | 3038 GOSSARD ST |
| 003 | 771010 | 0191 | 07/07 | \$280,000 | \$246,000 | 1,420 | 7 | 1997 | 3 | 6,748 | N | N | 1733 HARDING ST |
| 003 | 680221 | 0100 | 10/07 | \$262,500 | \$231,000 | 1,430 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 8,410 | N | N | 401 BERNINGER ST |
| 003 | 543781 | 0200 | 02/07 | \$298,850 | \$265,000 | 1,460 | 7 | 1978 | 4 | 8,795 | N | N | 659 HARMONY LN |
| 003 | 680220 | 1070 | 07/08 | \$280,000 | \$262,000 | 1,460 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 9,909 | N | N | 402 PETSCHAUER PL |
| 003 | 156590 | 0310 | 12/07 | \$297,000 | \$264,000 | 1,490 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 8,404 | N | N | 299 MICHAEL AVE |
| 003 | 570238 | 0200 | 09/07 | \$319,900 | \$281,000 | 1,490 | 7 | 1990 | 3 | 10,591 | N | N | 313 JEWELL ST |
| 003 | 132006 | 9174 | 04/07 | \$258,000 | \$227,000 | 1,500 | 7 | 1959 | 4 | 10,500 | N | N | 26431 SE 427TH ST |
| 003 | 559590 | 0190 | 04/07 | \$364,950 | \$322,000 | 1,510 | 7 | 2003 | 3 | 8,627 | N | N | 1526 DIVISION ST |
| 003 | 680220 | 0910 | 06/08 | \$289,950 | \$269,000 | 1,520 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 8,400 | N | N | 307 CARLEY PL |
| 003 | 262006 | 9120 | 09/07 | \$295,000 | \$260,000 | 1,530 | 7 | 1906 | 5 | 10,227 | Y | N | 554 SEMANSKI ST |
| 003 | 076681 | 0310 | 05/07 | \$320,000 | \$281,000 | 1,570 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 8,424 | N | N | 3012 EDITH AVE |
| 003 | 293810 | 0055 | 05/07 | \$300,000 | \$264,000 | 1,570 | 7 | 1962 | 4 | 10,710 | N | N | 1255 LORAINE ST |
| 003 | 560200 | 0135 | 04/07 | \$345,000 | \$304,000 | 1,580 | 7 | 1956 | 5 | 8,997 | N | N | 2243 ELMONT AVE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9055 | 07/07 | \$340,500 | \$299,000 | 1,590 | 7 | 1938 | 5 | 8,119 | N | N | 1300 PORTER ST |
| 003 | 293810 | 0100 | 04/07 | \$335,000 | \$295,000 | 1,600 | 7 | 1961 | 4 | 9,282 | N | N | 1109 LORAINE ST |
| 003 | 379730 | 0330 | 03/07 | \$315,000 | \$278,000 | 1,600 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 8,656 | N | N | 3059 CHELSEA LN |
| 003 | 680221 | 0370 | 04/08 | \$309,000 | \$282,000 | 1,610 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 10,504 | N | N | 1011 OLSEN PL |
| 003 | 076680 | 0150 | 04/07 | \$300,000 | \$264,000 | 1,620 | 7 | 1990 | 3 | 10,191 | N | N | 1033 BERILLA DR |
| 003 | 236600 | 0060 | 10/07 | \$320,700 | \$283,000 | 1,620 | 7 | 1963 | 4 | 10,508 | N | N | 3129 HARDING ST |
| 003 | 076681 | 0390 | 10/08 | \$299,900 | \$290,000 | 1,630 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 10,875 | N | N | 3113 EDEL AVE |
| 003 | 771010 | 0040 | 02/08 | \$299,000 | \$269,000 | 1,630 | 7 | 1990 | 4 | 6,875 | N | N | 1815 GARFIELD ST |
| 003 | 257190 | 0250 | 08/08 | \$330,000 | \$311,000 | 1,640 | 7 | 1990 | 4 | 10,787 | N | N | 579 FARRELLY ST |
| 003 | 680220 | 0250 | 06/07 | \$310,000 | \$272,000 | 1,650 | 7 | 1990 | 3 | 9,044 | N | N | 515 BATHKE AVE |
| 003 | 713821 | 0300 | 06/07 | \$312,000 | \$274,000 | 1,650 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 7,709 | N | N | 380 WETHERBEE LN |

Area 41

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 713821 | 0370 | 11/07 | \$298,909 | \$265,000 | 1,650 | 7 | 1995 | 3 | 10,919 | N | N | 3374 WARD CT |
| 003 | 156590 | 0100 | 06/08 | \$304,450 | \$280,000 | 1,660 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 8,848 | N | N | 355 CHINOOK AVE |
| 003 | 156590 | 0510 | 07/07 | \$335,000 | \$294,000 | 1,660 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 8,434 | N | N | 250 MICHAEL AVE |
| 003 | 680220 | 0360 | 03/07 | \$302,000 | \$266,000 | 1,660 | 7 | 1990 | 3 | 9,135 | N | N | 301 WARWICK ST |
| 003 | 142006 | 9019 | 11/08 | \$399,800 | \$389,000 | 1,670 | 7 | 1935 | 5 | 85,813 | Y | N | 42812 257TH PL SE |
| 003 | 570238 | 0370 | 02/08 | \$275,000 | \$247,000 | 1,670 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 7,280 | N | N | 207 JEWELL ST |
| 003 | 257191 | 0460 | 04/08 | \$309,000 | \$281,000 | 1,680 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 8,424 | N | N | 512 VICTOR ST |
| 003 | 680220 | 1010 | 09/08 | \$291,000 | \$276,000 | 1,680 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 8,926 | N | N | 307 PETSCHAUER PL |
| 003 | 680221 | 0390 | 09/07 | \$327,000 | \$288,000 | 1,680 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 8,426 | N | N | 1008 OLSEN PL |
| 003 | 570238 | 0390 | 04/07 | \$299,950 | \$264,000 | 1,690 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 7,832 | N | N | 203 JEWELL ST |
| 003 | 076681 | 0450 | 10/08 | \$310,000 | \$299,000 | 1,700 | 7 | 1993 | 3 | 8,441 | N | N | 3110 EDEL AVE |
| 003 | 807805 | 0050 | 02/07 | \$338,000 | \$300,000 | 1,720 | 7 | 1998 | 3 | 8,615 | N | N | 1906 LOIS LN |
| 003 | 232006 | 9271 | 08/07 | \$339,000 | \$298,000 | 1,750 | 7 | 1977 | 4 | 20,790 | N | N | 2052 MCHUGH AVE |
| 003 | 379731 | 0230 | 07/07 | \$355,000 | \$312,000 | 1,760 | 7 | 1996 | 3 | 9,732 | N | N | 3450 WYNALDA DR |
| 003 | 888060 | 0040 | 06/08 | \$309,000 | \$286,000 | 1,770 | 7 | 1976 | 3 | 9,700 | N | N | 2504 MARTIN WAY |
| 003 | 156590 | 0160 | 10/07 | \$370,000 | \$327,000 | 1,800 | 7 | 1992 | 4 | 10,813 | Y | N | 102 CHINOOK AVE |
| 003 | 379731 | 0150 | 12/08 | \$275,000 | \$274,000 | 1,800 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 9,229 | N | N | 3501 WYNALDA DR |
| 003 | 132006 | 9223 | 04/07 | \$399,000 | \$351,000 | 1,810 | 7 | 1969 | 4 | 100,188 | N | N | 42730 260TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 888060 | 0050 | 04/08 | \$293,000 | \$267,000 | 1,810 | 7 | 1976 | 3 | 7,162 | N | N | 2480 MARTIN WAY |
| 003 | 257191 | 0310 | 06/07 | \$348,000 | \$306,000 | 1,820 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 11,350 | N | N | 705 VICTOR ST |
| 003 | 570238 | 0270 | 05/07 | \$290,000 | \$255,000 | 1,820 | 7 | 1991 | 3 | 6,700 | N | N | 227 JEWELL ST |
| 003 | 802920 | 0420 | 03/07 | \$315,000 | \$279,000 | 1,860 | 7 | 2000 | 3 | 7,199 | N | N | 1956 LAFROMBOISE ST |
| 003 | 771010 | 0110 | 09/07 | \$375,000 | \$330,000 | 1,890 | 7 | 1977 | 4 | 10,311 | N | N | 1976 PIONEER ST |
| 003 | 156590 | 0270 | 10/07 | \$335,000 | \$296,000 | 1,950 | 7 | 1992 | 3 | 8,415 | N | N | 199 MICHAEL AVE |
| 003 | 713820 | 0040 | 01/08 | \$322,750 | \$289,000 | 1,970 | 7 | 1995 | 3 | 8,400 | N | N | 3502 WETHERBEE LN |
| 003 | 713820 | 0430 | 10/07 | \$320,000 | \$282,000 | 1,970 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 8,469 | N | N | 480 GARLAND PL |
| 003 | 713821 | 0170 | 06/07 | \$338,450 | \$297,000 | 1,970 | 7 | 1994 | 3 | 8,400 | N | N | 365 RANDALL PL |
| 003 | 713821 | 0380 | 03/07 | \$359,000 | \$317,000 | 1,970 | 7 | 1995 | 3 | 13,484 | N | N | 3350 WARD CT |
| 003 | 713821 | 0440 | 08/07 | \$359,500 | \$316,000 | 1,970 | 7 | 1995 | 3 | 14,180 | N | N | 580 HAMILTON PL |
| 003 | 713821 | 0460 | 04/07 | \$327,000 | \$288,000 | 1,970 | 7 | 1995 | 3 | 14,149 | N | N | 524 HAMILTON PL |
| 003 | 237280 | 0085 | 03/07 | \$339,950 | \$300,000 | 1,990 | 7 | 1956 | 4 | 16,066 | N | N | 1185 GARFIELD ST |
| 003 | 543780 | 0260 | 10/07 | \$309,000 | \$273,000 | 1,990 | 7 | 1972 | 4 | 8,800 | N | N | 2415 A CT |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 232006 | 9106 | 10/08 | \$370,000 | \$358,000 | 2,090 | 7 | 1977 | 3 | 38,984 | N | N | 3029 HARDING ST |
| 003 | 257191 | 0040 | 06/08 | \$323,000 | \$298,000 | 2,110 | 7 | 1992 | 4 | 8,638 | N | N | 499 HAZEL PL |
| 003 | 076681 | 0060 | 10/07 | \$340,000 | \$300,000 | 2,120 | 7 | 1990 | 3 | 8,491 | N | N | 3046 ELMONT AVE |
| 003 | 771010 | 0060 | 02/07 | \$315,000 | \$279,000 | 2,170 | 7 | 2006 | 3 | 4,800 | N | N | 1875 GARFIELD ST |
| 003 | 252006 | 9060 | 07/07 | \$425,000 | \$373,000 | 2,240 | 7 | 1924 | 5 | 41,272 | Y | N | 439 BLAKE ST |
| 003 | 379731 | 0070 | 10/08 | \$348,000 | \$335,000 | 2,270 | 7 | 1995 | 3 | 9,240 | N | N | 3335 WYNALDA DR |
| 003 | 379731 | 0220 | 12/08 | \$305,000 | \$304,000 | 2,350 | 7 | 1996 | 3 | 9,732 | Y | N | 3482 WYNALDA DR |
| 003 | 248210 | 0130 | 07/08 | \$355,000 | \$333,000 | 2,560 | 7 | 1993 | 3 | 19,055 | N | N | 42830 283RD AVE SE |
| 003 | 191730 | 0265 | 06/07 | \$389,000 | \$342,000 | 2,800 | 7 | 2004 | 3 | 7,750 | N | N | 1571 KIBLER AVE |
| 003 | 932101 | 0130 | 09/07 | \$329,900 | \$291,000 | 1,390 | 8 | 1985 | 4 | 8,980 | N | N | 1159 SEMANSKI ST |
| 003 | 192007 | 9075 | 05/08 | \$317,500 | \$292,000 | 1,480 | 8 | 1967 | 4 | 17,169 | N | N | 43630 284TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 192007 | 9062 | 02/07 | \$380,000 | \$337,000 | 1,500 | 8 | 1962 | 3 | 43,560 | Y | N | 43816 284TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 932100 | 0160 | 03/07 | \$318,500 | \$281,000 | 1,510 | 8 | 1965 | 4 | 10,500 | N | N | 1166 EDEL CT |
| 003 | 257190 | 0520 | 06/07 | \$340,000 | \$299,000 | 1,750 | 8 | 1990 | 3 | 12,647 | N | N | 2812 LINK AVE |
| 003 | 257190 | 0020 | 04/07 | \$350,000 | \$308,000 | 1,810 | 8 | 1991 | 3 | 12,070 | N | N | 2831 LINK AVE |
| 003 | 659900 | 0024 | 04/07 | \$485,000 | \$427,000 | 1,830 | 8 | 1977 | 4 | 17,368 | N | N | 43729 284TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 369830 | 0020 | 10/07 | \$425,000 | \$375,000 | 1,870 | 8 | 1958 | 5 | 17,361 | N | N | 1072 MCKINLEY ST |
| 003 | 257190 | 0500 | 05/07 | \$355,000 | \$312,000 | 1,910 | 8 | 1990 | 3 | 12,070 | N | N | 2846 LINK AVE |
| 003 | 541610 | 0020 | 07/07 | \$360,000 | \$316,000 | 1,910 | 8 | 2004 | 3 | 8,329 | N | N | 3547 LARSEN AVE |
| 003 | 807849 | 0480 | 11/08 | \$350,000 | \$342,000 | 1,910 | 8 | 1990 | 3 | 10,450 | Y | N | 109 SUMMER PL |
| 003 | 076681 | 0470 | 01/08 | \$342,000 | \$306,000 | 1,940 | 8 | 1991 | 4 | 7,948 | N | N | 3116 EDEL AVE |
| 003 | 257190 | 0050 | 05/07 | \$361,000 | \$317,000 | 1,940 | 8 | 1990 | 3 | 12,067 | N | N | 451 BURGER PL |
| 003 | 325750 | 0025 | 01/07 | \$340,000 | \$303,000 | 1,950 | 8 | 1983 | 4 | 8,798 | N | N | 1471 FLORENCE ST |
| 003 | 076681 | 0050 | 08/08 | \$340,000 | \$321,000 | 1,970 | 8 | 1991 | 3 | 8,491 | N | N | 3058 ELMONT AVE |
| 003 | 807849 | 0350 | 10/08 | \$332,500 | \$320,000 | 1,970 | 8 | 1991 | 3 | 9,856 | N | N | 207 ALMADON ST |
| 003 | 943290 | 0020 | 10/08 | \$313,500 | \$302,000 | 1,970 | 8 | 1994 | 3 | 8,500 | N | N | 3110 SILVER SPRINGS AVE |
| 003 | 943290 | 0710 | 06/07 | \$348,900 | \$306,000 | 1,970 | 8 | 1994 | 3 | 8,733 | N | N | 3029 KIBLER AVE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9115 | 03/07 | \$405,000 | \$357,000 | 2,080 | 8 | 1963 | 5 | 35,200 | N | N | 43440 250TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 257192 | 0100 | 02/08 | \$342,950 | \$307,000 | 2,150 | 8 | 1993 | 3 | 9,839 | N | N | 2940 OLIE ANN PL |
| 003 | 943290 | 0790 | 07/07 | \$360,000 | \$316,000 | 2,160 | 8 | 1997 | 3 | 8,400 | N | N | 3035 HIGHPOINT ST |
| 003 | 943290 | 0390 | 05/08 | \$349,950 | \$320,000 | 2,190 | 8 | 1996 | 4 | 8,606 | N | N | 1931 HAWK CT |
| 003 | 248210 | 0040 | 02/07 | \$396,000 | \$351,000 | 2,220 | 8 | 1977 | 4 | 16,800 | N | N | 28250 SE 428TH PL |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 932100 | 0190 | 01/08 | \$382,750 | \$341,000 | 2,230 | 8 | 1964 | 4 | 13,440 | N | N | 1114 MCKINLEY ST |
| 003 | 369870 | 0010 | 03/07 | \$397,000 | \$350,000 | 2,240 | 8 | 1965 | 4 | 14,827 | N | N | 3075 OLYMPIC PL |
| 003 | 943290 | 0470 | 05/07 | \$381,000 | \$335,000 | 2,410 | 8 | 1991 | 3 | 8,415 | N | N | 3015 CARBON RIDGE ST |
| 003 | 230970 | 0100 | 06/07 | \$368,685 | \$324,000 | 2,430 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,500 | N | N | 317 BONDGARD AVE E |
| 003 | 230970 | 0360 | 07/07 | \$350,000 | \$307,000 | 2,430 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 5,647 | N | N | 372 ASMUNDSON LN N |
| 003 | 943290 | 0690 | 06/07 | \$385,000 | \$338,000 | 2,430 | 8 | 1996 | 3 | 8,412 | N | N | 2920 CARBON RIDGE ST |
| 003 | 943290 | 0540 | 06/07 | \$369,500 | \$325,000 | 2,440 | 8 | 1992 | 3 | 8,453 | N | N | 3010 CARBON RIDGE ST |
| 003 | 230970 | 0060 | 05/07 | \$333,100 | \$293,000 | 2,470 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,500 | N | N | 259 BONDGARD AVE E |
| 003 | 943290 | 0580 | 03/07 | \$385,000 | \$340,000 | 2,540 | 8 | 1992 | 3 | 8,896 | N | N | 3167 HUNTER CT |
| 003 | 932100 | 0200 | 09/07 | \$469,500 | \$413,000 | 2,560 | 8 | 1969 | 5 | 13,104 | N | N | 2755 LINCOLN AVE |
| 003 | 230970 | 0170 | 06/07 | \$342,143 | \$301,000 | 2,570 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,681 | N | N | 246 BONDGARD AVE E |
| 003 | 230970 | 0210 | 06/07 | \$333,365 | \$293,000 | 2,570 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,681 | N | N | 223 PETERSEN DR E |
| 003 | 230970 | 0240 | 02/07 | \$333,248 | \$295,000 | 2,570 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,681 | N | N | 279 PETERSEN DR E |
| 003 | 230970 | 0220 | 03/07 | \$381,675 | \$337,000 | 2,640 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,681 | N | N | 245 PETERSEN DR E |
| 003 | 230970 | 0270 | 08/07 | \$386,305 | \$339,000 | 2,640 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,681 | N | N | 222 PETERSEN DR E |
| 003 | 230970 | 0350 | 07/07 | \$452,638 | \$397,000 | 2,640 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 5,647 | N | N | 404 ASMUNDSON LN N |
| 003 | 230970 | 0370 | 07/07 | \$367,500 | \$323,000 | 2,640 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 5,647 | Y | N | 360 ASMUNDSON LN N |
| 003 | 542281 | 0040 | 09/07 | \$469,000 | \$412,000 | 2,690 | 8 | 2000 | 3 | 12,787 | Y | N | 3236 LARSEN AVE |
| 003 | 230970 | 0160 | 08/07 | \$372,650 | \$327,000 | 2,800 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,681 | N | N | 258 BONDGARD AVE E |
| 003 | 230970 | 0250 | 07/07 | \$388,100 | \$341,000 | 2,800 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 5,092 | N | N | 281 PETERSEN DR E |
| 003 | 771590 | 0040 | 01/07 | \$550,000 | \$489,000 | 2,800 | 8 | 2003 | 3 | 15,337 | N | N | 1810 SHANNON LN |
| 003 | 809160 | 0020 | 05/08 | \$375,000 | \$344,000 | 2,810 | 8 | 1995 | 3 | 8,907 | N | N | 1461 FARRELLY ST |
| 003 | 230970 | 0050 | 06/07 | \$349,650 | \$307,000 | 2,880 | 8 | 2006 | 3 | 4,500 | N | N | 247 BONDGARD AVE E |
| 003 | 542281 | 0180 | 04/07 | \$429,000 | \$378,000 | 2,900 | 8 | 2001 | 3 | 9,259 | N | N | 3446 PHILLIPS AVE |
| 003 | 230970 | 0010 | 07/07 | \$412,635 | \$362,000 | 3,410 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 4,825 | N | N | 147 BONDGARD AVE E |
| 003 | 230970 | 0110 | 12/07 | \$389,990 | \$346,000 | 3,410 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 5,334 | N | N | 339 BONDGARD AVE E |
| 003 | 814130 | 0030 | 11/07 | \$409,990 | \$363,000 | 1,920 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 5,688 | Y | N | 402 BONDGARD AVE E |
| 003 | 807849 | 0290 | 08/07 | \$435,000 | \$382,000 | 2,310 | 9 | 1992 | 3 | 11,703 | N | N | 120 ALMADON ST |
| 003 | 232006 | 9013 | 07/07 | \$715,000 | \$628,000 | 2,570 | 9 | 1977 | 5 | 272,685 | Y | N | 43424 244TH AVE SE |
| 003 | 855680 | 0210 | 05/08 | \$645,000 | \$592,000 | 3,330 | 9 | 2004 | 3 | 13,511 | N | N | 2635 PERRY CT |
| 003 | 807849 | 0120 | 01/07 | \$435,000 | \$388,000 | 3,400 | 9 | 1992 | 3 | 10,423 | Y | N | 3203 ALMADON ST |
| 003 | 085300 | 0200 | 02/08 | \$1,150,000 | \$1,034,000 | 4,290 | 11 | 1990 | 3 | 24,950 | N | N | 1513 GRIFFIN AVE |

## Improved Sales Removed From This Physical Inspection Analysis

## Area 41

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 019270 | 0100 | 02/08 | \$220,000 | NO MARKET EXP.; RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 034940 | 0050 | 05/07 | \$236,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE; BANKRUPTCY |
| 003 | 034940 | 0100 | 03/08 | \$205,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 034940 | 0210 | 01/08 | \$230,000 | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR |
| 003 | 076400 | 0050 | 05/08 | \$310,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 076400 | 0060 | 10/08 | \$199,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 076680 | 0160 | 04/07 | \$50,670 | QUIT CLAIM DEED; PARTIAL INTEREST (1/3, 1/2, ETC.); ET.AL. |
| 003 | 076681 | 0470 | 01/08 | \$342,000 | RELOCATION - SALE TO SERVICE |
| 003 | 089800 | 0085 | 06/07 | \$325,000 | MULTIPLE IMPROVEMENTS |
| 003 | 089902 | 0030 | 06/08 | \$237,500 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 089902 | 0150 | 01/07 | \$213,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 089902 | 0180 | 09/07 | \$180,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 116340 | 0070 | 02/07 | \$313,500 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9094 | 11/08 | \$203,520 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9094 | 10/08 | \$187,850 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE; EXEMPT FROM EXCISE TAX |
| 003 | 132006 | 9130 | 05/07 | \$430,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9137 | 07/07 | \$289,000 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9155 | 03/07 | \$247,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9162 | 12/07 | \$243,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9213 | 05/07 | \$325,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 132006 | 9256 | 11/08 | \$205,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9272 | 07/07 | \$289,000 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9274 | 09/08 | \$245,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 132006 | 9275 | 04/08 | \$389,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 142006 | 9074 | 06/08 | \$140,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 156590 | 0160 | 07/07 | \$270,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 164500 | 0260 | 04/07 | \$270,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 164500 | 0601 | 02/07 | \$250,000 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 164500 | 0610 | 02/07 | \$250,000 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 164500 | 0620 | 08/08 | \$170,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE; NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 182007 | 9033 | 10/08 | \$85,000 | ESTATE ADMIN., GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR; NO MARKET EXP. |
| 003 | 188250 | 0045 | 03/07 | \$203,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 188250 | 0085 | 02/07 | \$200,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 191730 | 0095 | 08/07 | \$240,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 191730 | 0250 | 03/07 | \$235,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 192007 | 9031 | 06/07 | \$565,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 192007 | 9047 | 12/07 | \$367,500 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 192007 | 9047 | 12/07 | \$367,500 | PARTIAL INTEREST (1/3, 1/2, ETC.) |
| 003 | 192007 | 9050 | 11/07 | \$605,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 192007 | 9101 | 05/07 | \$262,500 | MOBILE HOME |
| 003 | 230970 | 0080 | 06/08 | \$328,000 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 230970 | 0120 | 07/08 | \$369,234 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |

## Improved Sales Removed From This Physical Inspection Analysis

 Area 41| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 230970 | 0130 | 04/08 | \$325,893 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 230970 | 0140 | 08/08 | \$343,470 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 230970 | 0200 | 10/08 | \$322,400 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 230970 | 0230 | 05/07 | \$391,820 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 230970 | 0260 | 09/08 | \$303,540 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 230970 | 0280 | 11/08 | \$341,695 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 230970 | 0290 | 11/08 | \$299,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 230970 | 0330 | 12/07 | \$378,870 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 230970 | 0340 | 05/08 | \$437,340 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 232006 | 9011 | 03/08 | \$200,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 232006 | 9039 | 05/07 | \$900,000 | BUILDER OR DEVELOPER SALES |
| 003 | 232006 | 9057 | 01/07 | \$200,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9116 | 09/08 | \$245,000 | MP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9123 | 04/07 | \$267,800 | BANKRUPTCY - RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9124 | 05/07 | \$220,000 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9160 | 09/08 | \$220,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 232006 | 9178 | 10/07 | \$584,000 | MULTIPLE IMPROVEMENTS |
| 003 | 232006 | 9272 | 09/08 | \$285,000 | BANKRUPTCY - RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9275 | 05/07 | \$220,000 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 232006 | 9384 | 07/08 | \$363,000 | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 232006 | 9386 | 12/08 | \$130,950 | SEGREGATION AND/OR MERGER |
| 003 | 242006 | 9005 | 06/08 | \$217,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9042 | 11/07 | \$266,200 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9142 | 01/07 | \$227,500 | RELOCATION - SALE TO SERVICE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9163 | 08/08 | \$209,950 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9192 | 05/07 | \$225,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9229 | 09/08 | \$210,000 | BANKRUPTCY - RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE; NON-REP. SALE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9242 | 09/07 | \$165,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9278 | 06/08 | \$160,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9342 | 04/07 | \$205,300 | ESTATE ADMIN., GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR; NO MARKET EXP. |
| 003 | 242006 | 9355 | 08/07 | \$34,025 | CORPORATE AFFILIATES; QUIT CLAIM DEED; ET.AL. |
| 003 | 242006 | 9364 | 10/08 | \$225,000 | BANKRUPTCY - RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9364 | 05/08 | \$244,720 | FORCED SALE; EXEMPT FROM EXCISE TAX |
| 003 | 242006 | 9366 | 09/08 | \$165,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9434 | 12/07 | \$86,726 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9477 | 05/07 | \$77,667 | QUIT CLAIM DEED; RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 242006 | 9482 | 05/07 | \$250,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 242006 | 9541 | 06/07 | \$238,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 246880 | 0010 | 10/08 | \$252,000 | BANKRUPTCY-RECEIVER OR TRUSTEE; NO MARKET EXP; ET.AL. |
| 003 | 252006 | 9067 | 09/08 | \$205,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 252006 | 9084 | 07/07 | \$195,000 | PARTIAL INTEREST (1/3, 1/2, ETC.) |
| 003 | 252006 | 9127 | 05/07 | \$360,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 257190 | 0290 | 06/07 | \$390,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 257191 | 0200 | 07/07 | \$153,495 | QUIT CLAIM DEED; EXEMPT FROM EXCISE TAX; ET.AL. |
| 003 | 262006 | 9060 | 03/07 | \$232,475 | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR |
| 003 | 262006 | 9061 | 06/07 | \$295,000 | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR |

## Improved Sales Removed From This Physical Inspection Analysis

 Area 41| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale | Date | Sale Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | Comments Area 41


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 003 | 814130 | 0130 | $05 / 08$ | $\$ 461,000$ | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE $<\$ 25,000$ |
| 003 | 814130 | 0210 | $10 / 08$ | $\$ 497,990$ | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 814130 | 0240 | $03 / 08$ | $\$ 514,790$ | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE $<\$ 25,000$ |
| 003 | 814130 | 0270 | $11 / 08$ | $\$ 260,000$ | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 814130 | 0280 | $10 / 08$ | $\$ 291,200$ | PREVIOUS IMPROVEMENT ASSESSED VALUE < \$25,000 |
| 003 | 866100 | 0033 | $03 / 07$ | $\$ 285,000$ | ESTATE ADMINISTRATOR, GUARDIAN, OR EXECUTOR |
| 003 | 866100 | 0125 | $09 / 08$ | $\$ 137,847$ | QUIT CLAIM DEED; RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 887600 | 0130 | $10 / 07$ | $\$ 103,800$ | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 887600 | 0130 | $08 / 07$ | $\$ 85,000$ | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 887600 | 0175 | $12 / 07$ | $\$ 275,000$ | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 887600 | 0175 | $06 / 07$ | $\$ 300,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 887600 | 0195 | $06 / 07$ | $\$ 300,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 887600 | 0235 | $06 / 07$ | $\$ 300,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 887600 | 0255 | $06 / 07$ | $\$ 300,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 943290 | 0040 | $02 / 07$ | $\$ 333,000$ | 1031 TRADE; NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 981470 | 0100 | $06 / 07$ | $\$ 189,000$ | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |

## Model Validation

## Total Value Model Conclusions, Recommendations and Validation:

Appraiser judgment prevails in all decisions regarding individual parcel valuation. Each parcel is field reviewed and a value selected based on general and specific data pertaining to the parcel, the neighborhood, and the market. The Appraiser determines which available value estimate may be appropriate and may adjust particular characteristics and conditions as they occur in the valuation area.

The resulting assessment level is $85.4 \%$. The standard statistical measures of valuation performance are presented in the 2009 Ratio Analysis chart included in this report.

The reason the assessment level falls outside the IAAO recommended range of $90 \%-110 \%$ is related to an additional market shift (downward) which has not been reflected in the sale analysis. The current real estate market, both in this county and nationally, is unprecedented in its quick and widespread downturn.
Market participants appear to have taken a cautious approach evidenced by the significant reduction in sales transactions in the last month of 2008 and initial months of 2009. Foreclosures appear to be playing a bigger role in the market and may ultimately impact the behavior of other market participants for some time to come. This phenomenon appears to be widespread occurring throughout the country.

The sale analysis and model building effort was well underway before receiving the IAAO's exposure draft entitled "Market Value Principles in a time of Economic Crisis-A Position Paper of the International Association of Assessing Officers". ${ }^{1}$ This exposure draft recognizes the distressed market conditions which are presently plaguing this country. In its continued attempt to maximize fairness and understandability in a property tax system, the IAAO suggests the consideration of inclusion of certain sale types which have previously been disregarded. These sale types include short sales and financial institution re-sales. The financial institution re-sales were not readily available to the appraisers for analysis this assessment cycle. A cursory review of sales where financial institutions were identified as the seller to non institutional third parties was made. This analysis showed these sales comprised $14.9 \%$ of the market on $1 / 1 / 09$ and sold for $31.8 \%$ less than the overall average.

Knowing this market information was not considered, but may in fact eventually define where our local market is and may continue to be headed, a downward market adjustment to the valuation models estimated and described in this report appears reasonable and appropriate. All values established through the revalue analysis were adjusted at .85 in an effort to accommodate the relevant economic conditions at the time of this valuation.

The Appraisal Team recommends application of the Appraiser selected values, as indicated by the appropriate model or method.

[^0]Application of these recommended values for the 2009 assessment year (taxes payable in 2010) results in an average total change from the 2008 assessments of $-15.20 \%$. This decrease is due partly to downward market changes over time and the previous assessment levels.

Note: More details and information regarding aspects of the valuations and the report are retained in the working files and folios kept in the appropriate district office.

Ratio studies of assessments before and after this physical inspection are included later in this report

Area 41 Physical Inspection Ratio Confidence Intervals
These tables provide evidence that assessment levels (NewAV / AdjustedSP * 100\%) are equitable across key strata of building, land and location characteristics.

For this purpose, the actual overall 2009 weighted mean of $85.4 \%$ in Area 41 has been displayed below. A Lower 95\% C.L. greater than 85\% indicates that values may be relatively high; An Upper 95\% C.L. less than 85\% indicates that values may be relatively low.

When the sales count is low, the uncertainties are larger, and it is difficult to draw valid conclusions. The confidence interval for the arithmetic mean is used as an estimate for the weighted mean.

| OVERALL | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \text { C.L. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 220 | 85\% | 84\% | 86\% |
| Bldg Grade | Count | $2009$ <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \text { C.L. } \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | 1 | Insuff data |  |  |
| 5 | 13 | 0.803 | 75\% | 86\% |
| 6 | 58 | 0.866 | 85\% | 89\% |
| 7 | 93 | 0.861 | 85\% | 87\% |
| 8 | 49 | 0.851 | 83\% | 87\% |
| 9 | 5 | 0.885 | 81\% | 96\% |
| 11 | 1 | Insuff data |  |  |
| Year Built or Year Renovated | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1900-1950 | 57 | 84\% | 82\% | 87\% |
| 1951-1970 | 29 | 88\% | 86\% | 90\% |
| 1971-1990 | 46 | 85\% | 83\% | 87\% |
| 1991-1999 | 62 | 86\% | 85\% | 87\% |
| 2000-2007 | 26 | 84\% | 81\% | 88\% |
| Condition | Count | 2009 Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | 2009 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| Average | 112 | 85\% | 84\% | 86\% |
| Good | 75 | 86\% | 84\% | 87\% |
| Very Good | 33 | 87\% | 84\% | 89\% |
| Stories | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | 2009 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| 1 | 125 | 86\% | 85\% | 87\% |
| 1.5 | 20 | 83\% | 80\% | 86\% |
| 2 | 74 | 86\% | 85\% | 87\% |
| 2.5 | 1 | Insuff data |  |  |

## Area 41 Physical Inspection Ratio Confidence Intervals

These tables provide evidence that assessment levels (NewAV / AdjustedSP * 100\%) are equitable across key strata of building, land and location characteristics.

For this purpose, the actual overall 2009 weighted mean of $85.4 \%$ in Area 41 has been displayed below. A Lower 95\% C.L. greater than 85\% indic ates that values may be relatively high; An Upper 95\% C.L. less than 85\% indicates that values may be relatively low.

When the sales count is low, the uncertainties are larger, and it is difficult to draw valid conclusions. The confidence interval for the arithmetic mean is used as an estimate for the weighted mean.

| Above Grade Living Area | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \text { C.L. } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <801 | 12 | 81\% | 74\% | 87\% |
| 801-1000 | 23 | 84\% | 81\% | 87\% |
| 1001-1400 | 55 | 87\% | 85\% | 89\% |
| 1401-1800 | 57 | 87\% | 85\% | 88\% |
| 1801-2200 | 40 | 86\% | 84\% | 88\% |
| 2201-2600 | 20 | 86\% | 84\% | 89\% |
| 2601-4300 | 13 | 80\% | 74\% | 86\% |
| View Y/N | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & 95 \% \text { C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 206 | 0.852 | 84\% | 86\% |
| Y | 11 | 0.851 | 77\% | 93\% |
| Wft Y/N | Count | 2009 Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \text { C.L. } \end{gathered}$ |
| N | 220 | 85\% | 84\% | 86\% |
| Sub | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 2009 \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \text { C.L. } \end{array}$ |
| 3 | 220 | 85\% | 84\% | 86\% |
| Lot Size | Count | 2009 Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 2009 \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \text { C.L. } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| 3000-7000 | 55 | 87\% | 85\% | 89\% |
| 7001-10000 | 96 | 86\% | 85\% | 87\% |
| 10001-15000 | 41 | 85\% | 83\% | 88\% |
| 15001-25000 | 15 | 80\% | 74\% | 87\% |
| 25001-43559 | 5 | 89\% | 83\% | 95\% |
| 1AC-10AC | 8 | 84\% | 73\% | 94\% |
| Major 230970 | Count | 2009 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 2009 \text { Upper } \\ 95 \% \text { C.L. } \end{gathered}\right.$ |
| N | 205 | 85\% | 84\% | 86\% |
| Y | 15 | 86\% | 83\% | 90\% |

Area 41

## Physical Inspection Ratio Study Report (Before)

2008 Assessment


## Physical Inspection Ratio Study Report (After)

2009 Assessment


## USPAP Compliance

## Client and Intended Use of the Appraisal:

This mass appraisal report is intended for use only by the King County Assessor and other agencies or departments administering or confirming ad valorem property taxes. Use of this report by others is not intended by the appraiser. The use of this appraisal, analyses and conclusions is limited to the administration of ad valorem property taxes in accordance with Washington State law. As such it is written in concise form to minimize paperwork. The assessor intends that this report conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) requirements for a mass appraisal report as stated in USPAP SR 6-8. To fully understand this report the reader may need to refer to the Assessor's Property Record Files, Assessors Real Property Data Base, separate studies, Assessor's Procedures, Assessor's field maps, Revalue Plan and the statutes.

The purpose of this report is to explain and document the methods, data and analysis used in the revaluation of King County. King County is on a six year physical inspection cycle with annual statistical updates. The revaluation plan is approved by Washington State Department of Revenue. The Revaluation Plan is subject to their periodic review.

## Definition and Date of Value Estimate:

## Market Value

The basis of all assessments is the true and fair value of property. True and fair value means market value (Spokane etc. R. Company v. Spokane County, 75 Wash. 72 (1913); Mason County Overtaxed, Inc. v. Mason County, 62 Wn. $2 d$ (1963); AGO 57-58, No. 2, 1/8/57; AGO 65-66, No. $65,12 / 31 / 65)$. The true and fair value of a property in money for property tax valuation purposes is its "market value" or amount of money a buyer willing but not obligated to buy would pay for it to a seller willing but not obligated to sell. In arriving at a determination of such value, the assessing officer can consider only those factors which can within reason be said to affect the price in negotiations between a willing purchaser and a willing seller, and he must consider all of such factors. (AGO 65,66, No. 65, 12/31/65)

## Highest and Best Use

RCW 84.40.030 All property shall be valued at one hundred percent of its true and fair value in money and assessed on the same basis unless specifically provided otherwise by law.

An assessment may not be determined by a method that assumes a land usage or highest and best use not permitted, for that property being appraised, under existing zoning or land use planning ordinances or statutes or other government restrictions.

WAC 458-07-030 (3) True and fair value -- Highest and best use. Unless specifically provided otherwise by statute, all property shall be valued on the basis of its highest and best use for assessment purposes. Highest and best use is the most profitable, likely use to which a property can be put. It is the use which will yield the highest return on the owner's investment. Any reasonable use to which the property may be put may be taken into consideration and if it is peculiarly adapted to some particular use, that fact may be taken into consideration. Uses that are within the realm of possibility, but not reasonably probable of occurrence, shall not be considered in valuing property at its highest and best use.

If a property is particularly adapted to some particular use this fact may be taken into consideration in estimating the highest and best use. (Sammish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922)) The present use of the property may constitute its highest and best use. The appraiser shall, however, consider the uses to which similar property similarly located is being put. (Finch v. Grays Harbor County, 121 Wash. 486 (1922)) The fact that the owner of the property chooses to use it for less productive purposes than similar land is being used shall be ignored in the highest and best use estimate. (Sammish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922))

Where land has been classified or zoned as to its use, the county assessor may consider this fact, but he shall not be bound to such zoning in exercising his judgment as to the highest and best use of the property. (AGO 63-64, No. 107, 6/6/64)

## Date of Value Estimate

All property now existing, or that is hereafter created or brought into this state, shall be subject to assessment and taxation for state, county, and other taxing district purposes, upon equalized valuations thereof, fixed with reference thereto on the first day of January at twelve o'clock meridian in each year, excepting such as is exempted from taxation by law. [1961 c 15 §84.36.005]

The county assessor is authorized to place any property that is increased in value due to construction or alteration for which a building permit was issued, or should have been issued, under chapter 19.27, 19.27A, or 19.28 RCW or other laws providing for building permits on the assessment rolls for the purposes of tax levy up to August 31st of each year. The assessed valuation of the property shall be considered as of July 31st of that year. [1989 c 246 § 4]

Reference should be made to the property card or computer file as to when each property was valued. Sales consummating before and after the appraisal date may be used and are analyzed as to their indication of value at the date a valuation. If market conditions have changed then the appraisal will state a logical cutoff date after which no market date is used as an indicator of value.

## Property Rights Appraised:

## Fee Simple

Wash Constitution Article 7 § 1 Taxation: All taxes shall be uniform upon the same class of property within the territorial limits of the authority levying the tax and shall be levied and collected for public purposes only. The word "property" as used herein shall mean and include everything, whether tangible or intangible, subject to ownership. All real estate shall constitute one class.
Trimble v. Seattle, 231 U.S. 683, 689, 58 L. Ed. 435, 34 S. Ct. 218 (1914)" the entire [fee] estate is to be assessed and taxed as a unit"
Folsom v. Spokane County, 111 Wn. $2 d 256$ (1988) "the ultimate appraisal should endeavor to arrive at the fair market value of the property as if it were an unencumbered fee"

The definition of fee simple estate as taken from The Third Edition of The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, published by the Appraisal Institute. "Absolute ownership unencumbered by any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat."

## Assumptions and Limiting Conditions:

1. No opinion as to title is rendered. Data on ownership and legal description were obtained from public records. Title is assumed to be marketable and free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, easements and restrictions unless shown on maps or property record files. The property is appraised assuming it to be under responsible ownership and competent management and available for its highest and best use.
2. No engineering survey has been made by the appraiser. Except as specifically stated, data relative to size and area were taken from sources considered reliable, and no encroachment of real property improvements is assumed to exist.
3. No responsibility for hidden defects or conformity to specific governmental requirements, such as fire, building and safety, earthquake, or occupancy codes, can be assumed without provision of specific professional or governmental inspections.
4. Rental areas herein discussed have been calculated in accord with generally accepted industry standards.
5. The projections included in this report are utilized to assist in the valuation process and are based on current market conditions and anticipated short term supply demand factors. Therefore, the projections are subject to changes in future conditions that cannot be accurately predicted by the appraiser and could affect the future income or value projections.
6. The property is assumed uncontaminated unless the owner comes forward to the Assessor and provides other information.
7. The appraiser is not qualified to detect the existence of potentially hazardous material which may or may not be present on or near the property. The existence of such substances may have an effect on the value of the property. No consideration has been given in this analysis to any potential diminution in value should such hazardous materials be found (unless specifically noted). We urge the taxpayer to retain an expert in the field and submit data affecting value to the assessor.
8. No opinion is intended to be expressed for legal matters or that would require specialized investigation or knowledge beyond that ordinarily employed by real estate appraisers, although such matters may be discussed in the report.
9. Maps, plats and exhibits included herein are for illustration only, as an aid in visualizing matters discussed within the report. They should not be considered as surveys or relied upon for any other purpose.
10. The appraisal is the valuation of the fee simple interest. Unless shown on the Assessor's parcel maps, easements adversely affecting property value were not considered.
11. An attempt to segregate personal property from the real estate in this appraisal has been made.
12. Items which are considered to be "typical finish" and generally included in a real property transfer, but are legally considered leasehold improvements are included in the valuation unless otherwise noted.
13. The movable equipment and/or fixtures have not been appraised as part of the real estate. The identifiable permanently fixed equipment has been appraised in accordance with RCW 84.04.090 and WAC 458-12-010.
14. I have considered the effect of value of those anticipated public and private improvements of which I have common knowledge. I can make no special effort to contact the various jurisdictions to determine the extent of their public improvements.
15. Exterior inspections were made of all properties in the physical inspection areas (outlined in the body of the report) however; due to lack of access and time few received interior inspections.

## Scope of Work Performed:

Research and analyses performed are identified in the body of the revaluation report. The assessor has no access to title reports and other documents. Because of legal limitations we did not research such items as easements, restrictions, encumbrances, leases, reservations, covenants, contracts, declarations and special assessments. Disclosure of interior home features and, actual income and expenses by property owners is not a requirement by law therefore attempts to obtain and analyze this information are not always successful. The mass appraisal performed must be completed in the time limits indicated in the Revaluation Plan and as budgeted. The scope of work performed and disclosure of research and analyses not performed are identified throughout the body of the report.

## CERTIFICATION:

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct
- The report analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- The area(s) physically inspected for purposes of this revaluation are outlined in the body of this report.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ "Market Value Principles in a time of Economic Crisis, A Position Paper of the International Association of Assessing Officers", by the IAAO Technical Standards Committee. Draft 2- March 3, 2009; posted to the IAAO website March 9, 2009

