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## AREA 68 VALUE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation is made to post values for Area 68 to the 2010 assessment roll:

The attached report for Area 68 - Bridle Trails/Downtown Bellevue has been reviewed.
This report is hereby accepted and the values described in the attached documentation for Area $\mathbf{6 8}$ should be posted to the $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ assessment roll.
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## Executive Summary Report

## Appraisal Date 1/1/2010-2010 Assessment Roll

Area Name / Number: Bridle Trails and Downtown Bellevue/ 68
Previous Physical Inspection: 2004 Bridle Trails and 2006 Downtown Bellevue
Sales - Improved Summary:
Number of Sales: 193
Range of Sale Dates: $\quad 1 / 1 / 2008-1 / 1 / 2010$

| Sales - Average Improved Valuation Change <br> Summary | Land | Imps | Total | Sale Price** | Ratio | COV $^{*}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 447,700$ | $\$ 488,000$ | $\$ 935,400$ |  |  |  |
| 2009 Value | $\$ 460,300$ | $\$ 372,300$ | $\$ 832,600$ | $\$ 909,400$ | $91.6 \%$ | $11.47 \%$ |
| 2010 Value | $\$ 42,900$ | $-\$ 115,700$ | $-\$ 102,800$ |  |  |  |
| Change | $+\$ 12$, |  |  |  |  |  |
| \% Change | $+2.9 \%$ | $-23.7 \%$ | $-11.0 \%$ |  |  |  |

*COV is a measure of uniformity, the lower the number the better the uniformity.
** Sales time adjusted to $1 / 1 / 10$.
Sales used in this analysis: All sales of one to three unit residences on residential lots, short sales, financial institution re-sales and foreclosure sales which were verified as, or appeared to be market sales were considered for the analysis. Sales were time adjusted to $1 / 1 / 10$. Individual sales that were excluded are listed later in this report. Multi-parcel sales, multi-building sales, mobile home sales, and sales of new construction where less than a $100 \%$ complete house was assessed for 2009 or any existing residence where the data for 2009 is significantly different from the data for 2010 due to remodeling were also excluded. In addition, the summary above excludes sales of parcels that had improvement value of $\$ 10,000^{*}$ or less posted for the 2009 Assessment Roll. This also excludes previously vacant and destroyed property partial value accounts.

| Population - Improved Parcel Summary Data: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Land | Imps | Total |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ Value | $\$ 457,300$ | $\$ 305,800$ | $\$ 763,100$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ Value | $\$ 465,400$ | $\$ 248,300$ | $\$ 713,700$ |
| Percent Change | $+1.8 \%$ | $-18.8 \%$ | $-6.5 \%$ |

Number of improved Parcels in the Population: 3177
The population summary above excludes multi-building parcels, mobile home parcels, and new construction where less than $100 \%$ complete house was assessed for 2009 or any existing residence where the data for 2009 is significantly different from the data for 2010 due to remodeling. In addition, parcels with 2009 or 2010 Assessment Roll improvement values of $\$ 10,000^{*}$ or less were also excluded. These parcels do not reflect accurate percent change results for the overall population. Exceptions may be found in the Improved Parcel Total Value Model Calibration section of this report.

## Conclusion and Recommendation:

Since the values recommended in this report improve uniformity, assessment level and equity, we recommend posting them for the 2010 Assessment Roll.
*Standard exclusion is for properties with improvement value less than $\$ 25,000$, reduced to $\$ 10,000$ due to prior tear down values.

## Market Change of Average Sale Price in Area 68

From 1/1/08 to 1/1/10


| Sales Sample |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Built/Ren | Frequency | \% Sales Sample |
| 1910 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 1920 | 1 | $0.52 \%$ |
| 1930 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 1940 | 0 | $0.00 \%$ |
| 1950 | 3 | $1.55 \%$ |
| 1960 | 28 | $14.51 \%$ |
| 1970 | 16 | $8.29 \%$ |
| 1980 | 19 | $9.84 \%$ |
| 1990 | 28 | $14.51 \%$ |
| 2000 | 20 | $10.36 \%$ |
| 2009 | 78 | $40.41 \%$ |
|  | 193 |  |


| Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Built/Ren | Frequency | \% Population |
| 1910 | 2 | $0.06 \%$ |
| 1920 | 6 | $0.19 \%$ |
| 1930 | 2 | $0.06 \%$ |
| 1940 | 6 | $0.19 \%$ |
| 1950 | 44 | $1.38 \%$ |
| 1960 | 851 | $26.79 \%$ |
| 1970 | 508 | $15.99 \%$ |
| 1980 | 577 | $18.16 \%$ |
| 1990 | 494 | $15.55 \%$ |
| 2000 | 284 | $8.94 \%$ |
| 2009 | 403 | $12.68 \%$ |
|  | 3177 |  |



Sales of new homes built over the last few years are over represented in this sample. This is a common occurrence due to the fact that most new homes will sell shortly after completion. This over representation was found to lack statistical significance during the modeling process.

Sales Sample Representation of Population - Above Grade Living Area

| Sales Sample |  |  | Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGLA | Frequency | \% Sales Sample | AGLA | Frequency | \% Population |
| 500 | 0 | 0.00\% | 500 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 1000 | 2 | 1.04\% | 1000 | 95 | 2.99\% |
| 1500 | 23 | 11.92\% | 1500 | 599 | 18.85\% |
| 2000 | 42 | 21.76\% | 2000 | 614 | 19.33\% |
| 2500 | 13 | 6.74\% | 2500 | 429 | 13.50\% |
| 3000 | 30 | 15.54\% | 3000 | 456 | 14.35\% |
| 3500 | 22 | 11.40\% | 3500 | 373 | 11.74\% |
| 4000 | 18 | 9.33\% | 4000 | 242 | 7.62\% |
| 4500 | 23 | 11.92\% | 4500 | 180 | 5.67\% |
| 5000 | 12 | 6.22\% | 5000 | 85 | 2.68\% |
| 5500 | 3 | 1.55\% | 5500 | 43 | 1.35\% |
| 7500 | 5 | 2.59\% | 14000 | 61 | 1.92\% |
| 193 |  |  |  | 3177 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution fairly close with regard to Above Grade Living Area. The homes between 4500-5000 Above Grade Living Area are well represented. This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

Sales Sample Representation of Population - Grade

| Sales Sample |  |  | Population |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | Frequency | \% Sales Sample | Grade | Frequency | \% Population |
| 1 | 0 | 0.00\% | 1 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 2 | 0 | 0.00\% | 2 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 3 | 0 | 0.00\% | 3 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 4 | 0 | 0.00\% | 4 | 0 | 0.00\% |
| 5 | 0 | 0.00\% | 5 | 3 | 0.09\% |
| 6 | 1 | 0.52\% | 6 | 18 | 0.57\% |
| 7 | 32 | 16.58\% | 7 | 847 | 26.66\% |
| 8 | 15 | 7.77\% | 8 | 540 | 17.00\% |
| 9 | 58 | 30.05\% | 9 | 741 | 23.32\% |
| 10 | 46 | 23.83\% | 10 | 598 | 18.82\% |
| 11 | 31 | 16.06\% | 11 | 296 | 9.32\% |
| 12 | 10 | 5.18\% | 12 | 105 | 3.31\% |
| 13 | 0 | 0.00\% | 13 | 29 | 0.91\% |
| 193 |  |  |  | 3177 |  |



The sales sample frequency distribution follows the population distribution fairly close with regard to Building Grade. This distribution is adequate for both accurate analysis and appraisals.

## Area 68



May 14, 2009

## Analysis Process

Effective Date of Appraisal: January 1, 2010

## Date of Appraisal Report: June 15, 2010

## Appraisal Team Members and Participation

The valuation for this area was done by District 4-NE Appraisal Team. The degree of participation varied according to individual skill in relevant areas and depending on the time they joined the team.

## Highest and Best Use Analysis

As If Vacant: Market analysis of the area, together with current zoning and current and anticipated use patterns, indicate the highest and best use of the overwhelming majority of the appraised parcels is single family residential. Any other opinion of highest and best use is specifically noted in our records, and would form the basis for the valuation of that specific parcel.

As If Improved: Where any value for improvements is part of the total valuation, we are of the opinion that the present improvements produce a higher value for the property than if the site was vacant. In appraisal theory, the present use is therefore the highest and best (as improved) of the subject property, though it could be an interim use.

Standards and Measurement of Data Accuracy: Sales were verified with the purchaser, seller or real estate agent where possible. Current data was verified via field inspection and corrected. Data was collected and coded per the assessor's residential procedures manual.

## Special Assumptions and Limiting Conditions

The sales comparison and cost approaches to value were considered for this mass appraisal valuation. After the sales verification process, the appraiser concluded that the market participants typically do not consider an income approach to value. Therefore the income approach is not applicable in this appraisal as these properties are not typically leased, but rather owner occupied. The income approach to value was not considered in the valuation of this area.

The following Departmental guidelines were considered and adhered to:

- Sales from $1 / 2008$ to $1 / 2010$ (at minimum) were considered in all analyses.
- Sales were time adjusted to $1 / 1 / 10$.
- This report is intended to meet the requirements of the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice Standard 6.


## Identification of the Area

## Name or Designation:

Area 68--- Bridle Trails and Downtown Bellevue

## Boundaries:

Area 68-3, Bridle Trails area, is defined by the boundaries of NE 70 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Street/Old Redmond Road to the North, NE $148^{\text {th }}$ Ave to the East, SR-520 to the South and I-405 to the West.

Area 68-6, Downtown Bellevue area, is defined by the boundaries of NE $30^{\text {th }}$ Street to the North, $112^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE to the East, NE $12^{\text {th }}$ Street to the South, and $98^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE to the West.

## Maps:

A general map of the area is included in this report. More detailed Assessor's maps are located on the 7th floor of the King County Administration Building.

## Area Description:

Area 68 has a population of 3,456 residential parcels, of which $96 \%$ are improved. There are two distinct neighborhoods within Area 68:

Area 68-3 includes all residential lots in the neighborhood called Bridle Trails. This neighborhood is located in the eastern sections of both North Bellevue and South Kirkland. The area has a substantial number of 'horse acre' sites or larger sized lots. A number of these larger sites are located in platted developments that have horse trails that access Bridle Trails State Park. Many of the homes on the larger sites have accessory buildings such as small horse barns. This area also has some platted developments improved with more 'typically' sized residential parcels. The housing mix is diversified in terms of age, style, grade, and dwelling size, as well as, the varied site sizes. There are some older homes being demolished on the larger sites with new, higher grade homes being built. Additionally, some of the older existing homes are being remodeled. This area offers a unique 'rural like' setting close to the urban environment. A very small number of properties benefit from views of Lake Washington, and/or Seattle and Bellevue Skylines, and/or mountain and territorial views.

Area 68-6 is a residential area located just north of the downtown area of the City of Bellevue. This area was a very homogeneous area, which is a primarily platted, with the majority of the improved properties being Grade 7 and Grade 8, rambler and split level homes, built during the 1950’s to 1970's. After 2000 approximately $19 \%$ of the older homes were demolished and replaced with newer higher grade, large two-story homes. The area now has quite a mix of housing in terms of grade, age, and size. Lot prices are higher than typical for Bellevue, given the demand of being close to downtown Bellevue. Larger lots in this area are rare and demand a sizable premium. There is one newer townhome plat in this area.

Proximity to the major employment centers of Bellevue, Seattle, Redmond, Kirkland, Renton, and Issaquah contribute to the desirability of this area. Major employers, shopping centers and entertainment venues are in close proximity. Major highways of Interstate 90, State Route 520, and Interstate 405 are all accessible in Bellevue.

## Preliminary Ratio Analysis

A Ratio Study was completed just prior to the application of the 2010 recommended values. This study benchmarks the prior assessment level using 2009 posted values (1/1/09) compared to current adjusted sale prices ( $1 / 1 / 10$ ). The study was also repeated after the application of the 2010 recommended values. The results are included in the validation section of this report showing an improvement in the COV from $15.90 \%$ to $11.47 \%$.

## Scope of Data

## Land Value Data:

Vacant sales from $1 / 2008$ to $1 / 2010$ were given primary consideration for valuing land with emphasis placed on those sales closest to January 1, 2010. In addition to the market data approach the allocation technique was also utilized, due to limited vacant land sales in the area. Tear down sales were also considered. There were five land sales total in Area 68, two were vacant at the time of sale and three were tear down sales. Sales were reviewed and verified and site visits made to identify all land characteristics. All vacant land sales are listed in the Land Sales Used section of this report.

## Improved Parcel Total Value Data:

Sales information is obtained from excise tax affidavits and reviewed initially by the Accounting Division, Sales Identification Section. Information is analyzed and investigated by the appraiser in the process of revaluation. All sales were verified if possible by calling either the purchaser or seller, inquiring in the field or calling the real estate agent. Characteristic data is verified for all sales if possible. Due to time constraints, interior inspections were limited. Sales are listed in the "Sales Used" and "Sales Removed" sections of this report. Additional information may reside in the Assessor’s Real Property Database, Assessor's procedures, Assessor’s "field" maps, Revalue Plan, separate studies, and statutes.

The Assessor maintains a cost model, which is specified by the physical characteristics of the improvement, such as first floor area, second floor area, total basement area, and number of bathrooms. The cost for each component is further calibrated to the 13 grades to account for quality of construction. Reconstruction Cost New (RCN) is calculated from adding up the cost of each component. Depreciation is then applied by means of a percent good table which is based on year built, grade, and condition, resulting in Reconstruction Cost New less Depreciation (RCNLD). The appraiser can make further adjustments for obsolescence (poor floor plan, design deficiencies, external nuisances etc.) if needed. The Assessor's cost model generates RCN and RCNLD for principal improvements and accessories such as detached garages and pools.

The Assessor's cost model was developed by the King County Department of Assessments in the early 1970's. It was recalibrated in 1990 to roughly approximate Marshall \& Swift's square foot cost tables, and is indexed annually to keep up with current costs.

## Land Model

## Model Development, Description and Conclusions

It was necessary to develop two land models for the area, due to the substantial differences between Areas 68-3, Bridle Trails, and Area 68-6, Downtown Bellevue.

Area 68 is comprised of 3,456 parcels of which approximately $82 \%$ of the parcels are located in plats. The following chart shows the different lot size comparisons of the two sub areas, which demonstrate the varying composition of parcels within the two sub areas, with Area 68-3 having larger than typical site sizes for a suburban setting:

| Chart is composed of Improved Parcels: | Sub Area 3 Bridle Trails |  | Sub Area 6 Downtown Bellevue |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lot Size | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Parcels } \end{gathered}$ | \% of SubArea | $\begin{gathered} \text { \# of } \\ \text { Parcels } \end{gathered}$ | \% of SubArea |
| Under 4,000 SqFt | 1 | 0\% | 49 | 4\% |
| 4,000-19,999 SqFt | 759 | 38\% | 1165 | 90\% |
| 20,000-43,559 SqFt | 876 | 44\% | 55 | 4\% |
| 1 Acre+ | 361 | 18\% | 20 | 2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Improved Parcel Total Population of SubArea | 1997 |  | 1289 |  |

## Area 68-3 Bridle Trails

There are 2108 improved and vacant parcels in 68-3 with approximately $40 \%$ of the population being tax lots, and the balance platted. There are some pockets of newer development, but due to the lack of vacant land in the area, many of the homes are being remodeled or renovated. Lot sizes varies significantly in this area, therefore a land valuation model based on site size was developed. The zoning ranges from R1- one dwellings per acre being most frequent, but typical size suburban lots also exist. Lots over 20,000 square feet make up $62 \%$ of the areas population. Base land values were determined from the market and categorized by the unique characteristics and relationships that are attributed to each parcel and/or plats, those with similarities in lot size, amenity, and average selling price were considered. Plat values were given to homogenous sized neighboring lots. The list of plat values is on page 18. The base site value for estate sized one acre parcels is $\$ 650,000$. A typically sized 9,000 square foot platted parcel has a base land value of $\$ 278,000$.

Land redevelopment and sales of new homes had slowed during the time of this market evaluation. This was taken into consideration in valuing the large developable sites in the area. Those sites previously identified as likely to be developed, remained valued as such. Parcels that had the capability of subdividing, but no indication that subdividing was likely in the near future, were valued as single large lots. Sites larger than a half acre were valued as estate lots by utilizing the acreage land values. Sites larger than 1 acre, where short platting is legally allowable and typical, were valued based on zoning and the number of sites allowed for
development, with consideration given for 'on site' development costs. Less than $1 \%$ of the total population is lots larger than one acre, and legally sub-dividable per current zoning.

## Area 68-6 Downtown Bellevue

There are 1348 improved and vacant parcels in Area 68-6, and is located closest to the Bellevue Central business district. It is a very desirable area due to its convenient location and has been experiencing a great amount of new construction since the year 2000. Most homes in the area were originally built in the 1950's and 1960's, but many of those have been torn down to build newer larger two story homes. There is limited land available for subdivision. Lot sizes tend to be typical suburban size between 7,000 and 13,000 square feet. Lots under 20,000 square feet make up $94 \%$ of the area's population. There are two plats in this area which are unique compared to the other properties. One has 43 homes with an average site size of 29,000 square feet and other plat has 14 properties with an average site size of 1.35 acre. These two plats demand a premium for their larger site sizes. Since in this area, larger sites show a significant premium, this means lot values change rapidly depending on size. Therefore a land valuation model based on site size was developed. The zoning for the larger lots is R1- one dwelling per acre, most lots in the area are R4- four dwellings per acre. Base land values were determined from the market and categorized by the unique characteristics and relationships that are attributed to each parcel. Those with similarities in lot size, amenity, and average selling price were considered. This area is mainly comprised of single-family residences, but a few multifamily homes and a newer townhome plat does exist. A typically sized 9,000 square foot platted parcel has a base land value of $\$ 478,000$. The base site value for estate sized one acre parcels is $\$ 881,000$.

The townhome plat comprises approximately $4 \%$ of the total population in Area 68-6. Site values for the townhome plats range from $\$ 300,000$ to $\$ 440,000$.

Market analysis demonstrated that sales of home bounded or located between the boundaries of NE $30^{\text {th }}$ Street to the North, $100^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE to the East, NE $14^{\text {th }}$ Street to the South, and $98^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE to the West sold at a premium compared to other homes in Area 68. For the purpose of this report, we have called this market "Neighborhood 1". The appeal of Neighborhood 1 is its close proximity to Clyde Hill, Bellevue Square shopping mall, and popular private schools. Builders are building higher grade large custom homes in this neighborhood. The map included on page 15 illustrates this neighborhood.

For both sub areas Paired Sales analysis was used to determine the positive and negative adjustments to base land and to determine the valuation for different amenities and impacts. These amenities include but are not limited to topography, traffic noise, streams, wetland/sensitive areas, power lines and 'other nuisances' such as proximity to commercial area, or positive adjustments like view and greenbelt amenity. Adjustments apply to base land values, and the positive or negative overall area adjustments are shown on page 19, and are applied as a net percentage adjustment to the base land value.

All vacant land sales available were utilized in the creation of the land model.
A list of vacant sales used and those considered not reflective of market are included in the following sections.


## Land Value Model Calibration

Area 68-3 Land Schedule

| Lot Sq Ft | Lot Value |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| $5,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$$ | 250,000 |
| $10,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$$ | 285,000 |
| $15,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$$ | 340,000 |
| $20,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$$ | 355,000 |
| $25,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$$ | 392,000 |
| $30,000 \mathrm{sq} \mathrm{ft}$ | $\$$ | 430,000 |
| .75 Acre | $\$$ | 474,000 |
| 1 Acres | $\$$ | 650,000 |
| 1.25 Acres | $\$$ | 683,000 |
| 1.5 Acres | $\$$ | 716,000 |
| 1.75 Acres | $\$$ | 749,000 |
| 2 Acres | $\$$ | 782,000 |
| 2.5 Acres | $\$$ | 845,000 |
| 3 Acres | $\$$ | 911,000 |
| 3.5 Acres | $\$$ | 977,000 |
| 4 Acres | $\$ 1,043,000$ |  |
| 4.5 Acres | $\$ 1,109,000$ |  |
| 5 Acres | $\$ 1,172,000$ |  |
| 5.5 Acres | $\$ 1,238,000$ |  |
| 6 Acres | $\$ 1,304,000$ |  |

For view, traffic noise and other adjustments see page 19.

## Area 68-6 Land Schedule

| Lot Sq Ft |  | Lot Value |  | Townhomes | Attached End Unit Townhome Lots plus \$20,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From | Up To |  |  |  |  |
| Under |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1,600 | \$ | 300,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Townhomes | Attached End Unit Townhome Lots plus \$40,000 |
| 1,601 | 2,200 | \$ | 360,000 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Attached End Unit Townhome Lots plus \$40,000 |
| 2,201 | 3,500 | \$ | 400,000 | Townhomes |  |
| 3,501 | 5,000 | \$ | 425,000 |  |  |
|  | 10,000 | \$ | 483,000 |  |  |
|  | 15,000 | \$ | 527,000 |  |  |
|  | 20,000 | \$ | 593,000 |  |  |
|  | 25,000 | \$ | 653,000 |  |  |
|  | 30,000 | \$ | 713,000 |  |  |
|  | 35,000 | \$ | 773,000 |  |  |
|  | 40,000 | \$ | 833,000 |  |  |
|  | One Acre | \$ | 881,000 |  |  |
|  | 1.25 Acres | \$ | 1,013,000 |  |  |
|  | 1.5 Acres | \$ | 1,134,000 |  |  |
|  | 1.75 Acres | \$ | 1,255,000 |  |  |
|  | 2 Acres | \$ | 1,376,000 |  |  |
|  | 2.5 Acres | \$ | 1,594,000 |  |  |
|  | 3 Acres | \$ | 1,770,000 |  |  |
|  | 3.5 Acres | \$ | 1,946,000 |  |  |
|  | 4 Acres | \$ | 2,122,000 |  |  |
|  | 4.5 Acres | \$ | 2,298,000 |  |  |

For view, traffic noise and other adjustments see page 19.

## Plat Values for Area 68

| Area | Map | Major | Plat Name | Value | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 020100 | Ambleside Add | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SW 21-25-05 | 068760 | Bellmeade | \$500,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SW 15-25-05 | 106550 | Brentwood Lane | \$506,000 | Parcels above 44,000 SF valued per land schedule. |
| 63-3 | SW 10-25-05 | 108810 | Bridle View | \$522,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 22-25-05 | 108870 | Bridle Ridge | \$375,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NE 28-25-05 | 108875 | Bridleview Park | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NW 16-25-05 | 108890 | Bridlewood | \$525,000 | Below 40,000SF \& above 62,000SF-per land schedule. |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 108900 | Bridlewood Creek | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 09-25-05 | 124150 | Burke-Farrars Kirkland Div \# 20 | \$271,000 | Parcels above 11,999 SF valued per land schedule. |
| 63-3 | SW 21-25-05 | 124270 | Burke-Farrars Kirkland Div \# 23 | \$329,000 | Parcels under 20,000 Sq.Ft. |
| 63-3 | SW 21-25-05 | 124270 | Burke-Farrars Kirkland Div \# 23 | \$400,000 | Parcels between 20,000 Sq.Ft. to 34,999 Sq.Ft. |
| 63-3 | SW 21-25-05 | 124270 | Burke-Farrars Kirkland Div \# 23 | \$600,000 | Parcels between 35,000 Sq.Ft. to 49,999 Sq.Ft. |
| 63-3 | SW 21-25-05 | 124270 | Burke-Farrars Kirkland Div \# 23 | \$650,000 | Parcels between 50,000 Sq.Ft. to 59,999 Sq.Ft. |
| 63-3 | SW 21-25-05 | 124270 | Burke-Farrars Kirkland Div \# 23 | \$700,000 | Parcels between 60,000 Sq.Ft. to 75,000 Sq.Ft. |
| 63-3 | SW 22-25-05 | 131047 | Cambridge Estates | \$522,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NW 15-25-05 | 133110 | Cantershire | \$522,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NW 15-25-05 | 133170 | Canterwood | \$522,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NW 15-25-05 | 154250 | Chelsea Park | \$522,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 154660 | Cherry Crest | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 154680 | Cherry Crest \#2 | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 154681 | Cherry Crest \#3 | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SW 16-25-05 | 162505 | Tax Lots part of Cor-Sun Plat | \$540,000 | Minors 9037, 9039, 9011, 9040, 9041 |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 172660 | Compton Green | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NE 21-25-05 | 172700 | Compton Green | \$346,000 | Parcels between 13,000 Sq.Ft. to 15,999 Sq.Ft. |
| 63-3 | NE 21-25-05 | 172700 | Compton Green | \$362,000 | Parcels between 16,000 Sq.Ft. to 33,999 Sq.Ft. |
| 63-3 | NE 21-25-05 | 172700 | Compton Green | \$570,000 | Parcels between 34,000 Sq.Ft. to 47,000 Sq.Ft. |
| 63-3 | NE 21-25-05 | 172785 | Compton Trails | \$349,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SW 16-25-05 | 176260 | Cor-Sun Ranch Estates | \$540,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 212505 | Tax Lots in Cherry Crest Plat | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NE 28-25-05 | 282505 | Tax Lots in NE 28-25-05 | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 311710 | Harpers Glen | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 09-25-05 | 419160 | La Rose Park | \$271,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 15-25-05 | 613750 | North Creek | \$558,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 618920 | Northrup Heights | \$350,000 | Except 618920-0075 \& 618920-0115 = \$400,000 |
| 63-3 | SW 15-25-05 | 634500 | O'Farrells Bridle Trails | \$570,000 | Parcels above 45,000 SF valued per land schedule. |
| 63-3 | NW 15-25-05 | 666740 | Parkshire | \$522,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 09-25-05 | 666907 | Parkside Lane | \$271,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NE 21-25-05 | 666910 | Parkside East | \$538,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SW 16-25-05 | 750100 | Sablewood | \$320,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NE 15-25-05 | 773241 | Sheffield Greens | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | NE 15-25-05 | 773265 | Sheffield Greens | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 09-25-05 | 779600 | Silver Spurs Ranch Add | \$469,000 | Plat value of parcels located on 132nd Ave. N.E. only. |
| 63-3 | SW 15-25-05 | 866940 | Trails End | \$586,000 | Below 35,000SF \& above 50,000SF-per land schedule. |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 933280 | Weymour Estates | \$350,000 |  |
| 63-3 | SE 21-25-05 | 933290 | Weymour Estates Div \#2 | \$350,000 |  |
| 68-6 | NW 29-25-05 | 202620 | Diamond S Ranch | See Comm | Land Schedule plus 15\% |
| 68-6 | SW 20-25-05 | 793325 | Spring Creek | \$457,000 |  |

## LAND ADJUSTMENTS

## Area 68

| Views | Territorial | Average |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Fair |  | Good |
|  |  | N/A | 5\% | 10\% |
|  | Mountain | N/A | 5\% | 10\% |
|  | Bellevue Skyline | N/A | 5\% | 10\% |
|  | Seattle Skyline | N/A | 5\% | 10\% |
|  | Lake Washington | 5-10\% | N/A | N/A |

View adjustments are cumulative.

| Greenbelt | or Park | +3\% to +10\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Easement | or Flag Lot | $-2 \%$ to -30\% based on effective lot size |
| Traffic Noise | Moderate | $-3 \%$ to -10\% Intersection of two High Traffic Streets -25\% |
|  | High | -10\% to -20\% |
|  | Extreme | -25\% |
| Powerlines | -5\% to -25\% |  |
| Topography | -5\% to -20\% |  |
|  | $-25 \%$ to -65\% | for Vacant- High Cost to Develop |
| Unbuildable |  |  |
| Lot | -70\% to -80\% |  |
| Sensitive <br> Areas |  |  |
|  | Wetland, | -5\% to -80\% |
|  | Water problems, |  |
|  | or Streams |  |
| Other <br> Nuisance |  |  |
|  | Commercial, | -5\% |
|  | Apartments, |  |
|  | Shopping |  |
|  | Center, |  |
|  | Office Building, |  |
|  | or Schools |  |

Sample A 10,000 square foot lot in area 68-6 adjacent to a greenbelt, with moderate traffic, and a good territorial view.
$\$ 483,000$ is Base Land Value for this size lot.
Adjustments are +5\% Greenbelt, -10\% Moderate Traffic, +10\% Territorial View (5\%-10\%+10\%=5\%) $=\$ 483,000$ base $+5 \%$ for all the above adjustments.
$=\$ 507,000$ Total Land Value, rounded down to the nearest 1000.

## Traffic Nuisance Streets in Area 68

## AREA 68-3

## Traffic Codes Streets Coded for Traffic Nuisance

MODERATE NE 24th Street
NE 70th Street
Interstate 405- the region from I405 over to Bridle Trails Park/
I405 over to the Westside of 122nd Ave NE/
I405 over to 120th Ave NE
(West Side of Quarter Section Maps: SW16-25-05, NW21-25-05, SW21-25-05)
HIGH NE 24th Street- near 126th Ave NE
NE 40th Street- from 140th Ave NE to $148^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE
116th Ave NE
132nd Ave NE
134th Ave NE
140th Ave NE
148th Ave NE
EXTREME Interstate 405 \& 116th Ave NE- Parcels located between these streets State Route 520

## AREA 68-6

## Traffic Codes <br> Streets Coded for Traffic Nuisance

MODERATE NE $14^{\text {th }}$ Street
NE $15^{\text {th }}$ Street- 9700 Block Only
NE 24th Street
$97^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE
$98^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE
108th Ave NE
HIGH Bellevue Way NE
NE 12th Street
NE 24th Street- Between 99 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE and Bellevue
Way
$100^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE- South of NE $24^{\text {th }}$ Street
$112^{\text {th }}$ Ave NE
EXTREME Interstate 405

## Vacant Sales Used In This Physical Inspection Analysis

 Area 68| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Lot Size | View | Water- <br> front |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 124150 | 0269 | $07 / 13 / 2009$ | $\$ 285,000$ | 10,397 | N | N |
| 003 | 634500 | 0040 | $05 / 07 / 2008$ | $\$ 970,000$ | 36,696 | N | N |
| 006 | 142420 | 0085 | $06 / 22 / 2009$ | $\$ 675,000$ | 13,300 | N | N |
| 006 | 202620 | 0011 | $05 / 05 / 2008$ | $\$ 1,500,000$ | 42,347 | N | N |
| 006 | 808540 | 0217 | $01 / 02 / 2008$ | $\$ 830,000$ | 9,384 | N | N |
| 006 | 808540 | 0452 | $07 / 28 / 2009$ | $\$ 441,000$ | 8,100 | N | N |

## Vacant Sales Removed From This Physical Inspection Analysis

 Area 68| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 003 | 132900 | 0100 | $10 / 10 / 2008$ | $\$ 2,400$ | EASEMENT OR RIGHT-OF-WAY |
| 003 | 212505 | 9159 | $06 / 24 / 2008$ | $\$ 1,400,000$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 866940 | 0030 | $07 / 17 / 2009$ | $\$ 4,735,598$ | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 006 | 202620 | 0011 | $03 / 10 / 2008$ | $\$ 1,105,267$ | CORPORATE AFFILIATES |

## Improved Parcel Total Value Model:

## Model Development, Description and Conclusions

Most sales were field verified and characteristics updated prior to model development. Sales were time adjusted to $1 / 1 / 10$.

The analysis of this area consisted of a systematic review of applicable characteristics which influence property values such as: Subarea, age, grade, condition, land issues, building cost, neighborhood, plat majors and views. Characteristics that indicated possible adjustments were analyzed using NCSS (Number Crunching Statistical Software) along with Microsoft EXCEL. A wide variety of charts, graphs, reports, and statistical diagnostics were analyzed to determine which specific variables would be included in the final valuation model. These tools showed variables for base land value, Sub Area 3, Neighborhood 1,Traffic, the Townhome Plat, high Grade homes greater than 11, and Total RCNLD (replacement cost new less depreciation). Through this process a cost based EMV (Estimate Market Value) model was developed.

Since a majority of the sales sample is in platted neighborhoods, there were some subcategories under represented by the sales sample. Some examples of these parcels include low grade homes in poor or fair condition, pre 1950's homes, high grade homes on acreage and multiple houses on one site. Appraisal judgment played a critical role for determining total valuation for these parcels.

A majority of the parcels were valued using EMV. However supplemental models such as cost, market adjusted cost, or adjustments to the regression model were developed by the appraiser to address parcels outside the parameters of the main valuation formula. Ultimately appraisal judgment was the most critical factor in selecting values for all parcels.

The improved parcel total value models are included later in this report.
A list of improved sales used and those considered not reflective of market are included in the following sections.

Most other revalue areas in King County excluded properties that had improvement value less than $\$ 25,000$. However, in Area 68-6 many of the older homes of Grade 7 or less were being torn down, as evidenced by 65 tear down sales during the last revalue, because of that trend the older Grade 7 and less homes were valued as primarily land value with less than $\$ 25,000$ improvement value. This trend has slowed in the current economy to only 3 tear down sales during this revalue period. Because of this unique marketplace occurrence, prior improvement values down to $\$ 10,000$ were evaluated as part of the Area 68 Population, analysis, and statistical results.

## Improved Parcel Total Value Model Calibration

The majority of parcels in Area 68 were valued using the multiplicative valuation model described below. The model was developed using NCSS (Number Crunching Statistical Software).

> Estimated Market Value (EMV) Equation
> Multiple Regression Model
> For Area 68 Improved Parcels

| Variable | Definition/Transformation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sub 3 | =If Sub equals 3, then the coefficient times the natural log of 10. |
| Plat 872595 | =If Plat Major equals 872595, then the coefficient times the natural log of 10 |
| Base Land | =The coefficient times the natural log of Base Land divided by 1000. |
| Total RCNLD | $=$ The coefficient times the natural log of Total RCNLD divided by 1000. |
| High Grade | =If Grade equals 12 or 13 and Year Built or Year Renovate is greater than <br> 1989, then the coefficient times the natural log of 10. |
| Grade11 | =If Grade equals 11 and Year Built or Year Renovate is greater than 1989, <br> then the coefficient times the natural log of 10. |
| Neighborhood | $=$ If Neighborhood equals 1, then the coefficient times the natural log of 10. |
| Heavy Traffic | =If Heavy Traffic equals 2 and Plat Major does not equal 872595, then the <br> coefficient times the natural log of 10. |

Formula:

| Variable | $*$ | Coefficients |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| +Intercept | $*$ | 1.489218 |
| +Sub3YN | $*$ | $-2.58 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| +Plat872595YN | $*$ | $-3.41 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| +LN (BaseLand/1000) | $*$ | .3980605 |
| +LN (TotalRcnld/1000) | $*$ | 0.480045 |
| +If High Grade is ‘12'or '13' the LN(10) | $*$ | 0.1131052 |
| +If Grade is '11' the LN(10) | $*$ | $6.69 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| +If Neighborhood is ‘1' the LN(10) | $*$ | $4.47 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| +If Heavy Traffic Noise is '2' the LN(10) | $*$ | $-2.98 \mathrm{E}-02$ |
| +(Accy RCNLD/1000) |  |  |
|  |  | Total |

Then, EXP(Total)*1000 x.925**=EMV
Then, truncate EMV to the lower thousand.

## EMV <br> LAND VALUE <br> IMPROVEMENT VALUE

=TOTAL VALUE<br>=BASE LAND VALUE<br>=EMV-BASE LAND VALUE

**See Assessor’s letter page 52.

## Improved Parcel Total Value Model Calibration

## EMV values were not generated for:

- Buildings with grade less than 7
- More than one improvement: (EMV is generated for building one only.)
- If total EMV is less than base land value
- Lot size less than 100 square feet
- Percent completes
- Obsolescence
- Fair and Poor Condition


## Supplemental Valuation Adjustments to EMV:

## Valuation adjustments for exceptions:

- Building < Grade 6: BLV + \$1,000 for Improvement
- Building = Grade 6: BLV + \$10,000 for Improvement
- More than one improvement: BLV + EMV of Building 1 + (Total RCNLD Building $2 \times .925$ )
- Accessory Only parcels $=$ BLV $+($ Total Accessory RCNLD x .925 $)$
- Percent Complete: BLV + EMV Improvement value @ 100\% x \% completed
- Poor Condition: BLV + \$1,000 for Improvement
- Fair Condition: BLV + \$10,000 for Improvement


## Grade 13 Adjustment

Grade 13 (Mansion Quality Homes) Total EMV x 1.10

## Plat Adjustments

Major 773265 (Sheffield Green) Total EMV x 1.10
Major 793325 (Spring Creek) Total EMV x 1.10

Of the improved parcels in the population (see Executive Summary Report on page 4), 1633 parcels increased in value

Of the vacant land parcels greater than $\$ 1000$, 53 parcels increased in value. (tax exempt parcels were excluded from the number of parcels increased)

## Glossary for Improved Sales

## Condition: Relative to Age and Grade

1= Poor Many repairs needed. Showing serious deterioration
2= Fair Some repairs needed immediately. Much deferred maintenance.
3= Average Depending upon age of improvement; normal amount of upkeep for the age of the home.
4= Good Condition above the norm for the age of the home. Indicates extra attention and care has been taken to maintain
5= Very Good Excellent maintenance and updating on home. Not a total renovation.

## Residential Building Grades

Grades 1-3 Falls short of minimum building standards. Normally cabin or inferior structure.
Grade $4 \quad$ Generally older low quality construction. Does not meet code.
Grade 5 Lower construction costs and workmanship. Small, simple design.
Grade 6 Lowest grade currently meeting building codes. Low quality materials, simple designs.
Grade $7 \quad$ Average grade of construction and design. Commonly seen in plats and older subdivisions.
Grade 8 Just above average in construction and design. Usually better materials in both the exterior and interior finishes.
Grade $9 \quad$ Better architectural design, with extra exterior and interior design and quality.
Grade 10 Homes of this quality generally have high quality features. Finish work is better, and more design quality is seen in the floor plans and larger square footage.
Grade 11 Custom design and higher quality finish work, with added amenities of solid woods, bathroom fixtures and more luxurious options.
Grade 12 Custom design and excellent builders. All materials are of the highest quality and all conveniences are present
Grade 13 Generally custom designed and built. Approaching the Mansion level. Large amount of highest quality cabinet work, wood trim and marble; large entries.

## Area 68 Sales price changes (relative to 1/1/2010 valuation date)

In a changing market, recognition of a sales trend is required to accurately estimate value as of a certain date. Assessed values are determined as of January 1 of a given year.

| Market Adjustment to 1/1/2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Date | Downward Adjustment (Factor) | Equivalent Percent |
| 1/1/2008 | 0.670 | -33.0\% |
| 2/1/2008 | 0.692 | -30.8\% |
| 3/1/2008 | 0.713 | -28.7\% |
| 4/1/2008 | 0.735 | -26.5\% |
| 5/1/2008 | 0.756 | -24.4\% |
| 6/1/2008 | 0.778 | -22.2\% |
| 7/1/2008 | 0.798 | -20.2\% |
| 8/1/2008 | 0.818 | -18.2\% |
| 9/1/2008 | 0.837 | -16.3\% |
| 10/1/2008 | 0.855 | -14.5\% |
| 11/1/2008 | 0.873 | -12.7\% |
| 12/1/2008 | 0.889 | -11.1\% |
| 1/1/2009 | 0.905 | -9.5\% |
| 2/1/2009 | 0.920 | -8.0\% |
| 3/1/2009 | 0.932 | -6.8\% |
| 4/1/2009 | 0.945 | -5.5\% |
| 5/1/2009 | 0.956 | -4.4\% |
| 6/1/2009 | 0.966 | -3.4\% |
| 7/1/2009 | 0.975 | -2.5\% |
| 8/1/2009 | 0.983 | -1.7\% |
| 9/1/2009 | 0.989 | -1.1\% |
| 10/1/2009 | 0.994 | -0.6\% |
| 11/1/2009 | 0.997 | -0.3\% |
| 12/1/2009 | 0.999 | -0.1\% |
| 1/1/2010 | 1.000 | 0.0\% |

The chart above shows the \% adjustment required for sales to be representative of the assessment date of $1 / 1 / 10$.

| Example: | Sales <br> Price | Sales Date | Adjustment factor | Adjusted Sales price* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale 1 | $\$ 525,000$ | $4 / 1 / 2008$ | 0.735 | $\$ 386,000$ |
| Sale 2 | $\$ 475,000$ | $10 / 1 / 2009$ | 0.994 | $\$ 472,000$ |
| Sale 3 | $\$ 515,000$ | $7 / 1 / 2009$ | 0.975 | $\$ 502,000$ |

* The adjusted sale price has been rounded to the nearest $\$ 1000$.

The time adjustment formula for Area 68 is 1/EXP(0.0000007505502*SaleDaySq)
SaleDaySq = (SaleDate -40179$)^{\wedge} 2$

| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 020100 | 0140 | 07/08/2008 | \$560,000 | \$449,000 | 1,180 | 7 | 1967 | 4 | 10,995 | N | N | 12530 NE 32ND ST |
| 003 | 020100 | 0090 | 05/14/2009 | \$490,000 | \$470,000 | 1,330 | 7 | 1967 | 4 | 9,995 | N | N | 12716 NE 32ND ST |
| 003 | 020100 | 0300 | 06/19/2008 | \$545,000 | \$430,000 | 1,330 | 7 | 1967 | 3 | 10,733 | N | N | 3103 128TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 020100 | 0540 | 06/01/2009 | \$569,000 | \$549,000 | 1,330 | 7 | 1966 | 5 | 15,025 | N | N | 12906 NE 29TH ST |
| 003 | 020100 | 0010 | 11/11/2009 | \$432,000 | \$431,000 | 1,560 | 7 | 1966 | 4 | 11,561 | N | N | 3201 130TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 020100 | 0770 | 11/03/2009 | \$400,000 | \$398,000 | 1,560 | 7 | 1967 | 3 | 11,244 | N | N | 3020 130TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 618920 | 0055 | 12/19/2008 | \$531,000 | \$477,000 | 1,180 | 8 | 1957 | 5 | 10,349 | N | N | 12437 NE 28TH ST |
| 003 | 154660 | 0245 | 06/19/2008 | \$690,000 | \$544,000 | 1,390 | 8 | 2007 | 3 | 10,115 | N | N | 3081 125TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 866940 | 0310 | 08/17/2009 | \$730,000 | \$719,000 | 1,420 | 8 | 1961 | 3 | 41,851 | N | N | 4632 137TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 866940 | 0040 | 07/16/2009 | \$818,000 | \$800,000 | 1,570 | 8 | 1966 | 5 | 35,316 | N | N | 13800 NE 40TH ST |
| 003 | 222505 | 9075 | 02/03/2009 | \$565,000 | \$520,000 | 1,610 | 8 | 1953 | 5 | 43,560 | N | N | 2859 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 212505 | 9072 | 10/28/2009 | \$535,000 | \$533,000 | 1,770 | 8 | 1966 | 4 | 10,798 | N | N | 2434 130TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 172700 | 0600 | 06/18/2008 | \$720,000 | \$568,000 | 1,990 | 8 | 1971 | 4 | 16,173 | N | N | 3301 131ST AVE NE |
| 003 | 222505 | 9165 | 01/26/2009 | \$615,000 | \$563,000 | 2,010 | 8 | 1991 | 3 | 43,560 | N | N | 3211 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 108810 | 0050 | 07/15/2009 | \$595,000 | \$582,000 | 2,540 | 8 | 1995 | 3 | 35,010 | N | N | 13353 NE 61ST ST |
| 003 | 108870 | 0130 | 06/23/2008 | \$813,100 | \$644,000 | 2,710 | 8 | 1988 | 4 | 10,634 | N | N | 14283 NE 27TH ST |
| 003 | 666907 | 0030 | 02/14/2008 | \$661,540 | \$464,000 | 1,780 | 9 | 1984 | 4 | 7,201 | N | N | 6807 126TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 311710 | 0230 | 10/20/2009 | \$568,800 | \$566,000 | 1,870 | 9 | 1975 | 4 | 20,527 | N | N | 2424 130TH PL NE |
| 003 | 222505 | 9139 | 12/04/2008 | \$950,000 | \$846,000 | 1,940 | 9 | 1978 | 4 | 39,204 | N | N | 3813 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 152505 | 9127 | 09/16/2009 | \$634,000 | \$628,000 | 1,970 | 9 | 1956 | 3 | 50,094 | N | N | 4252 132ND AVE NE |
| 003 | 172700 | 0630 | 02/16/2008 | \$735,000 | \$516,000 | 1,970 | 9 | 1972 | 4 | 15,750 | N | N | 13008 NE 32ND PL |
| 003 | 779600 | 0060 | 05/06/2009 | \$825,000 | \$790,000 | 2,080 | 9 | 1972 | 3 | 46,173 | N | N | 13000 NE 61ST PL |
| 003 | 678930 | 0060 | 01/09/2009 | \$875,000 | \$795,000 | 2,170 | 9 | 1954 | 4 | 54,325 | N | N | 12223 NE 32ND ST |
| 003 | 773241 | 0490 | 04/15/2008 | \$700,000 | \$521,000 | 2,190 | 9 | 1988 | 4 | 8,562 | N | N | 14765 NE 57TH ST |
| 003 | 773241 | 0190 | 01/04/2008 | \$759,500 | \$510,000 | 2,240 | 9 | 1987 | 4 | 11,924 | N | N | 5852 146TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 108810 | 0140 | 12/23/2008 | \$775,000 | \$697,000 | 2,300 | 9 | 1965 | 3 | 35,003 | N | N | 6125 133RD AVE NE |
| 003 | 773241 | 0400 | 04/21/2009 | \$630,000 | \$599,000 | 2,330 | 9 | 1988 | 3 | 9,534 | N | N | 5733 146TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 773241 | 0270 | 10/21/2009 | \$615,000 | \$612,000 | 2,380 | 9 | 1987 | 4 | 8,896 | N | N | 14508 NE 58TH ST |
| 003 | 152505 | 9219 | 07/30/2009 | \$665,000 | \$653,000 | 2,520 | 9 | 1975 | 3 | 37,897 | N | N | 13840 NE 44TH PL |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 773241 | 0090 | 04/30/2008 | \$785,000 | \$593,000 | 2,520 | 9 | 1987 | 5 | 7,444 | N | N | 5825 147TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 152505 | 9259 | 06/30/2008 | \$750,000 | \$597,000 | 2,560 | 9 | 1988 | 4 | 35,093 | N | N | 14080 NE 40TH ST |
| 003 | 172660 | 0010 | 07/03/2008 | \$735,000 | \$587,000 | 2,560 | 9 | 1975 | 5 | 12,586 | N | N | 13000 NE 28TH PL |
| 003 | 666907 | 0190 | 11/02/2009 | \$555,000 | \$553,000 | 2,570 | 9 | 1985 | 4 | 9,493 | N | N | 12616 NE 68TH PL |
| 003 | 133110 | 0130 | 09/11/2009 | \$870,000 | \$861,000 | 2,640 | 9 | 1971 | 5 | 35,079 | N | N | 13422 NE 54TH PL |
| 003 | 124150 | 0240 | 05/13/2008 | \$738,000 | \$564,000 | 2,670 | 9 | 1996 | 5 | 9,869 | N | N | 6516 127TH LN NE |
| 003 | 773241 | 0150 | 04/03/2008 | \$780,000 | \$574,000 | 2,710 | 9 | 1987 | 5 | 8,086 | N | N | 5742 146TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 930440 | 0020 | 12/01/2009 | \$675,000 | \$674,000 | 2,910 | 9 | 1978 | 3 | 35,276 | N | N | 13901 NE 26TH PL |
| 003 | 124150 | 0219 | 11/03/2008 | \$710,000 | \$620,000 | 3,000 | 9 | 1991 | 3 | 7,236 | N | N | 12607 NE 66TH PL |
| 003 | 154660 | 0270 | 08/19/2009 | \$889,000 | \$876,000 | 3,170 | 9 | 2003 | 3 | 10,198 | N | N | 3050 125TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 124150 | 0226 | 07/22/2009 | \$730,000 | \$715,000 | 3,180 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 9,022 | N | N | 6834 128TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 279490 | 0100 | 07/01/2009 | \$710,000 | \$692,000 | 3,450 | 9 | 1971 | 3 | 35,009 | N | N | 13410 NE 33RD LN |
| 003 | 280630 | 0010 | 08/27/2008 | \$1,150,000 | \$958,000 | 3,540 | 9 | 1990 | 3 | 34,981 | N | N | 3627 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 124270 | 0062 | 05/01/2008 | \$830,000 | \$627,000 | 3,730 | 9 | 1995 | 3 | 25,570 | N | N | 12340 NE 24TH ST |
| 003 | 678970 | 0075 | 12/01/2009 | \$1,150,000 | \$1,149,000 | 3,740 | 9 | 1958 | 5 | 43,670 | N | N | 12280 NE 37TH ST |
| 003 | 222505 | 9289 | 05/29/2009 | \$825,000 | \$796,000 | 3,810 | 9 | 1982 | 4 | 43,339 | N | N | 3408 134TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 235130 | 0110 | 10/07/2009 | \$975,000 | \$969,000 | 4,480 | 9 | 1985 | 4 | 41,161 | N | N | 12209 NE 33RD ST |
| 003 | 235130 | 0050 | 04/16/2008 | \$1,400,000 | \$1,044,000 | 2,210 | 10 | 1975 | 5 | 60,287 | N | N | 12102 NE 33RD ST |
| 003 | 750100 | 0280 | 06/12/2009 | \$589,000 | \$571,000 | 2,570 | 10 | 1988 | 3 | 14,091 | N | N | 11818 NE 48TH PL |
| 003 | 773265 | 0120 | 12/07/2009 | \$815,000 | \$814,000 | 2,750 | 10 | 1999 | 3 | 9,949 | N | N | 14540 NE 57TH ST |
| 003 | 946470 | 0130 | 10/22/2008 | \$700,000 | \$606,000 | 2,840 | 10 | 1979 | 3 | 35,316 | N | N | 3614 142ND PL NE |
| 003 | 108870 | 0170 | 12/07/2009 | \$699,000 | \$698,000 | 2,870 | 10 | 1987 | 3 | 13,841 | N | N | 14378 NE 27TH ST |
| 003 | 172700 | 0440 | 01/07/2009 | \$935,000 | \$848,000 | 2,870 | 10 | 1969 | 4 | 36,144 | N | N | 3625 130TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 803580 | 0080 | 05/14/2009 | \$825,000 | \$792,000 | 2,890 | 10 | 1981 | 4 | 43,499 | N | N | 11740 NE 39TH ST |
| 003 | 106550 | 0050 | 07/17/2009 | \$884,000 | \$865,000 | 2,910 | 10 | 1999 | 3 | 35,168 | N | N | 13704 NE 48TH PL |
| 003 | 152505 | 9079 | 04/02/2008 | \$1,241,000 | \$913,000 | 2,950 | 10 | 1974 | 4 | 43,560 | N | N | 4445 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 773265 | 0110 | 09/08/2009 | \$830,000 | \$821,000 | 2,950 | 10 | 1999 | 4 | 9,952 | N | N | 14552 NE 57TH ST |
| 003 | 108870 | 0010 | 10/21/2008 | \$835,000 | \$723,000 | 3,060 | 10 | 1987 | 4 | 22,457 | N | N | 14011 NE 27TH ST |
| 003 | 172700 | 0820 | 07/10/2008 | \$945,000 | \$759,000 | 3,100 | 10 | 1969 | 4 | 15,008 | N | N | 12814 NE 36TH ST |
| 003 | 152505 | 9241 | 09/02/2009 | \$925,000 | \$914,000 | 3,150 | 10 | 1987 | 5 | 105,415 | N | N | 4760 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 108870 | 0380 | 09/21/2009 | \$868,000 | \$861,000 | 3,330 | 10 | 1988 | 4 | 12,794 | N | N | 2737 142ND PL NE |
| 003 | 222505 | 9220 | 08/25/2008 | \$1,460,000 | \$1,215,000 | 3,390 | 10 | 2008 | 3 | 35,283 | N | N | 13419 NE 27TH ST |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price |  | Bld <br> Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 613750 | 0280 | 09/23/2008 | \$1,190,000 | \$1,011,000 | 3,400 | 10 | 1981 | 4 | 32,713 | N | N | 14208 NE 40TH PL |
| 003 | 212505 | 9191 | 05/20/2008 | \$1,050,000 | \$807,000 | 3,410 | 10 | 1997 | 3 | 50,094 | N | N | 3630 116TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 176260 | 0240 | 06/01/2009 | \$807,000 | \$779,000 | 3,420 | 10 | 1985 | 3 | 35,000 | N | N | 4107 117TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 279490 | 0070 | 09/26/2008 | \$1,110,000 | \$945,000 | 3,420 | 10 | 1972 | 5 | 35,035 | N | N | 13415 NE 33RD LN |
| 003 | 212505 | 9161 | 09/18/2009 | \$900,000 | \$892,000 | 3,430 | 10 | 1979 | 5 | 43,560 | Y | N | 3525 122ND AVE NE |
| 003 | 779600 | 0125 | 05/01/2008 | \$1,255,000 | \$949,000 | 3,470 | 10 | 1993 | 4 | 40,070 | N | N | 12804 NE 61ST ST |
| 003 | 222505 | 9362 | 06/19/2009 | \$1,035,000 | \$1,005,000 | 3,510 | 10 | 2007 | 3 | 11,969 | N | N | 2610 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 176260 | 0340 | 03/10/2009 | \$950,000 | \$889,000 | 3,660 | 10 | 1984 | 3 | 35,040 | N | N | 4126 117TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 108870 | 0290 | 08/26/2009 | \$670,800 | \$662,000 | 3,690 | 10 | 1988 | 3 | 14,359 | N | N | 2786 142ND PL NE |
| 003 | 779600 | 0155 | 07/21/2008 | \$1,850,000 | \$1,499,000 | 3,920 | 10 | 2004 | 3 | 36,740 | N | N | 6131 128TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 152505 | 9253 | 07/08/2008 | \$1,200,000 | \$962,000 | 4,060 | 10 | 1991 | 5 | 35,006 | N | N | 4674 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 108810 | 0460 | 04/01/2008 | \$1,785,000 | \$1,312,000 | 4,180 | 10 | 2008 | 3 | 36,048 | N | N | 13528 NE 66TH ST |
| 003 | 794125 | 0020 | 09/10/2008 | \$1,300,000 | \$1,095,000 | 3,250 | 11 | 1987 | 4 | 43,357 | N | N | 14024 NE 32ND PL |
| 003 | 613750 | 0040 | 11/05/2009 | \$1,115,000 | \$1,112,000 | 3,290 | 11 | 1981 | 3 | 34,995 | N | N | 4110 143RD AVE NE |
| 003 | 162505 | 9040 | 07/14/2008 | \$1,650,000 | \$1,329,000 | 3,780 | 11 | 1989 | 4 | 38,269 | N | N | 11837 NE 43RD PL |
| 003 | 152505 | 9260 | 06/09/2008 | \$1,975,000 | \$1,546,000 | 4,120 | 11 | 2003 | 3 | 35,903 | N | N | 4016 134TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 152505 | 9237 | 10/01/2008 | \$1,850,000 | \$1,581,000 | 4,200 | 11 | 2004 | 3 | 36,409 | N | N | 4200 132ND AVE NE |
| 003 | 172785 | 0140 | 05/18/2009 | \$890,000 | \$855,000 | 4,310 | 11 | 1984 | 3 | 15,234 | N | N | 3320 126TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 172700 | 0470 | 06/09/2008 | \$1,350,000 | \$1,056,000 | 4,740 | 11 | 1970 | 4 | 35,144 | N | N | 3509 131ST AVE NE |
| 003 | 280630 | 0050 | 10/22/2008 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,040,000 | 4,770 | 11 | 1979 | 4 | 35,237 | N | N | 13705 NE 36TH PL |
| 003 | 176260 | 0061 | 10/27/2009 | \$1,440,000 | \$1,435,000 | 5,280 | 11 | 2006 | 3 | 46,400 | N | N | 11823 NE 41ST LN |
| 003 | 132900 | 0040 | 09/24/2009 | \$1,560,000 | \$1,548,000 | 4,380 | 12 | 1998 | 4 | 33,593 | N | N | 13730 NE 32ND PL |
| 003 | 131047 | 0030 | 09/14/2009 | \$1,060,000 | \$1,050,000 | 4,600 | 12 | 1989 | 3 | 35,111 | N | N | 13944 NE 31ST PL |
| 003 | 152505 | 9261 | 01/20/2009 | \$1,700,000 | \$1,553,000 | 5,160 | 12 | 1996 | 3 | 44,315 | N | N | 5331 140TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 222505 | 9121 | 10/28/2009 | \$1,915,000 | \$1,908,000 | 5,400 | 12 | 2006 | 3 | 40,510 | N | N | 3827 134TH AVE NE |
| 003 | 212505 | 9081 | 06/26/2009 | \$2,025,000 | \$1,971,000 | 5,830 | 12 | 2003 | 3 | 60,112 | N | N | 2823 122ND PL NE |
| 003 | 222505 | 9056 | 07/28/2009 | \$2,055,000 | \$2,017,000 | 6,920 | 12 | 2007 | 3 | 34,848 | N | N | 2840 134TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 202620 | 0050 | 07/22/2009 | \$1,050,000 | \$1,029,000 | 1,790 | 6 | 1950 | 3 | 49,880 | N | N | 10 DIAMOND S RANCH |
| 006 | 953310 | 0270 | 11/24/2009 | \$490,000 | \$489,000 | 850 | 7 | 1952 | 4 | 9,045 | N | N | 2031 102ND AVE NE |
| 006 | 664590 | 0065 | 09/03/2008 | \$570,000 | \$477,000 | 970 | 7 | 1954 | 4 | 8,152 | N | N | 2910 100TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 808540 | 0385 | 01/31/2008 | \$958,000 | \$662,000 | 1,020 | 7 | 1950 | 5 | 9,384 | N | N | 9814 NE 28TH ST |
| 006 | 025000 | 0160 | 05/20/2009 | \$520,000 | \$500,000 | 1,040 | 7 | 1954 | 3 | 11,391 | N | N | 2623 108TH AVE NE |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld <br> Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | 068500 | 0250 | 10/29/2009 | \$438,000 | \$436,000 | 1,040 | 7 | 1953 | 4 | 8,442 | N | N | 10210 NE 23RD ST |
| 006 | 953310 | 0105 | 08/10/2009 | \$510,000 | \$502,000 | 1,040 | 7 | 1952 | 4 | 8,640 | N | N | 10255 NE 21ST PL |
| 006 | 325050 | 0077 | 08/13/2008 | \$885,000 | \$730,000 | 1,070 | 7 | 1951 | 4 | 10,796 | N | N | 9847 NE 19TH ST |
| 006 | 126620 | 0325 | 04/24/2009 | \$595,950 | \$568,000 | 1,090 | 7 | 1950 | 5 | 9,393 | N | N | 1245 112TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 126620 | 0105 | 09/02/2009 | \$490,000 | \$484,000 | 1,100 | 7 | 1954 | 3 | 10,335 | N | N | 11035 NE 15TH ST |
| 006 | 202505 | 9189 | 11/23/2009 | \$425,000 | \$424,000 | 1,150 | 7 | 1963 | 5 | 11,463 | N | N | 10304 NE 28TH PL |
| 006 | 292505 | 9334 | 06/20/2008 | \$720,000 | \$568,000 | 1,210 | 7 | 1920 | 4 | 10,920 | N | N | 1616 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 024900 | 0115 | 05/20/2009 | \$500,000 | \$481,000 | 1,300 | 7 | 1953 | 4 | 8,266 | N | N | 10610 NE 26TH ST |
| 006 | 025000 | 0075 | 05/12/2008 | \$660,000 | \$504,000 | 1,300 | 7 | 2006 | 3 | 15,569 | N | N | 10731 NE 28TH ST |
| 006 | 278580 | 0025 | 08/18/2009 | \$492,000 | \$485,000 | 1,310 | 7 | 1956 | 4 | 10,802 | N | N | 2538 102ND AVE NE |
| 006 | 634400 | 0090 | 03/11/2008 | \$755,000 | \$543,000 | 1,420 | 7 | 1953 | 4 | 8,308 | N | N | 10615 NE 18TH ST |
| 006 | 070800 | 0095 | 02/25/2009 | \$635,000 | \$590,000 | 1,430 | 7 | 1953 | 4 | 9,595 | N | N | 10624 NE 14TH ST |
| 006 | 634400 | 0060 | 06/11/2008 | \$736,121 | \$577,000 | 1,470 | 7 | 1953 | 4 | 8,521 | N | N | 1711 106TH PL NE |
| 006 | 292505 | 9234 | 12/09/2009 | \$632,000 | \$631,000 | 1,490 | 7 | 1978 | 4 | 12,100 | N | N | 1411 110TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 278580 | 0040 | 09/22/2009 | \$530,000 | \$525,000 | 1,540 | 7 | 1956 | 4 | 11,342 | N | N | 2535 102ND AVE NE |
| 006 | 571000 | 0010 | 07/23/2008 | \$835,000 | \$677,000 | 1,570 | 7 | 1955 | 3 | 10,080 | N | N | 9811 NE 16TH ST |
| 006 | 953310 | 0155 | 04/16/2009 | \$500,000 | \$475,000 | 1,610 | 7 | 1952 | 3 | 8,640 | N | N | 10210 NE 20TH PL |
| 006 | 347280 | 0066 | 10/23/2009 | \$568,000 | \$565,000 | 1,740 | 7 | 1953 | 4 | 7,800 | N | N | 1625 100TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 325050 | 0070 | 07/24/2009 | \$600,000 | \$588,000 | 1,810 | 7 | 1951 | 3 | 12,815 | N | N | 2022 98TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 634400 | 0175 | 04/22/2008 | \$859,000 | \$644,000 | 1,880 | 7 | 1953 | 5 | 9,260 | N | N | 10471 NE 17TH ST |
| 006 | 325050 | 0045 | 12/24/2009 | \$675,000 | \$674,000 | 1,940 | 7 | 1951 | 4 | 11,776 | N | N | 9827 NE 20TH ST |
| 006 | 025100 | 0056 | 07/30/2009 | \$562,000 | \$551,000 | 2,140 | 7 | 1954 | 4 | 15,359 | N | N | 2816 107TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 025000 | 0015 | 11/16/2009 | \$620,000 | \$619,000 | 1,540 | 8 | 2001 | 3 | 8,400 | N | N | 10704 NE 28TH ST |
| 006 | 024900 | 0170 | 11/12/2009 | \$541,000 | \$539,000 | 1,610 | 8 | 1952 | 5 | 9,490 | N | N | 10540 NE 25TH ST |
| 006 | 202505 | 9083 | 07/10/2009 | \$565,000 | \$552,000 | 1,840 | 8 | 1993 | 4 | 40,072 | N | N | 2601 104TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 070800 | 0140 | 12/09/2009 | \$745,000 | \$744,000 | 1,910 | 8 | 1953 | 5 | 8,710 | N | N | 10627 NE 14TH ST |
| 006 | 808540 | 0550 | 05/18/2009 | \$885,000 | \$851,000 | 2,020 | 8 | 1974 | 5 | 16,875 | N | N | 2501 100TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 872595 | 0040 | 01/17/2008 | \$695,000 | \$473,000 | 1,570 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,206 | N | N | 1200 BELLEVUE WAY NE |
| 006 | 872595 | 0290 | 01/20/2009 | \$655,000 | \$598,000 | 1,570 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,108 | N | N | 10595 NE 12TH PL |
| 006 | 872595 | 0300 | 04/11/2008 | \$695,000 | \$515,000 | 1,570 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,108 | N | N | 10595 NE 12TH PL |
| 006 | 872595 | 0310 | 09/17/2008 | \$675,000 | \$571,000 | 1,570 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,108 | N | N | 10595 NE 12TH PL |
| 006 | 872595 | 0320 | 01/09/2008 | \$695,000 | \$469,000 | 1,570 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,108 | N | N | 10595 NE 12TH PL |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | 872595 | 0350 | 09/11/2008 | \$670,000 | \$564,000 | 1,570 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,108 | N | N | 10575 NE 12TH PL |
| 006 | 872595 | 0360 | 04/24/2008 | \$695,000 | \$522,000 | 1,570 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,108 | N | N | 10575 NE 12TH PL |
| 006 | 872595 | 0130 | 03/24/2008 | \$925,000 | \$674,000 | 1,760 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 2,039 | N | N | 10460 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 872595 | 0170 | 02/26/2008 | \$930,000 | \$660,000 | 1,760 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 2,043 | N | N | 10570 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 872595 | 0200 | 01/10/2008 | \$854,400 | \$577,000 | 1,760 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 2,119 | N | N | 10570 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 872595 | 0210 | 11/12/2008 | \$898,000 | \$789,000 | 1,760 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 2,121 | N | N | 10590 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 872595 | 0260 | 02/02/2008 | \$925,000 | \$641,000 | 1,760 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 2,062 | N | N | 10590 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 202505 | 9204 | 08/20/2008 | \$900,000 | \$746,000 | 1,820 | 9 | 1967 | 4 | 12,688 | N | N | 10043 NE 27TH ST |
| 006 | 068690 | 0050 | 07/23/2008 | \$1,125,000 | \$913,000 | 1,840 | 9 | 1966 | 5 | 18,415 | N | N | 2646 109TH PL NE |
| 006 | 872595 | 0190 | 01/02/2008 | \$825,600 | \$553,000 | 1,870 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,160 | N | N | 10570 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 872595 | 0240 | 10/21/2009 | \$635,000 | \$632,000 | 1,870 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,160 | N | N | 10590 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 872595 | 0250 | 09/24/2009 | \$640,000 | \$635,000 | 1,870 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,160 | N | N | 10590 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 872595 | 0220 | 09/29/2009 | \$630,000 | \$625,000 | 1,970 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,160 | N | N | 10590 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 872595 | 0230 | 09/30/2009 | \$630,000 | \$625,000 | 1,970 | 9 | 2007 | 3 | 1,160 | N | N | 10590 NE 12TH ST |
| 006 | 796390 | 0055 | 07/14/2009 | \$718,000 | \$702,000 | 2,430 | 9 | 1973 | 3 | 17,806 | N | N | 2212 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 068680 | 0035 | 01/20/2009 | \$800,000 | \$731,000 | 2,470 | 9 | 1953 | 5 | 15,539 | N | N | 2641 110TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 953360 | 0070 | 10/20/2009 | \$905,000 | \$901,000 | 2,560 | 9 | 2003 | 3 | 10,230 | Y | N | 10012 NE 21ST ST |
| 006 | 025000 | 0345 | 04/09/2008 | \$1,120,000 | \$829,000 | 2,690 | 9 | 2005 | 3 | 8,400 | N | N | 2418 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 793325 | 0230 | 12/14/2009 | \$888,950 | \$888,000 | 2,780 | 9 | 2009 | 3 | 9,211 | N | N | 2690 103RD PL NE |
| 006 | 808600 | 0081 | 07/29/2009 | \$1,175,000 | \$1,153,000 | 2,980 | 9 | 2003 | 3 | 11,055 | N | N | 9814 NE 22ND ST |
| 006 | 793325 | 0270 | 04/29/2009 | \$850,000 | \$811,000 | 3,090 | 9 | 2009 | 3 | 6,279 | N | N | 2790 103RD PL NE |
| 006 | 126620 | 0329 | 02/10/2009 | \$980,000 | \$905,000 | 3,470 | 9 | 2001 | 3 | 9,762 | N | N | 1241 112TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 793325 | 0010 | 06/23/2009 | \$1,145,000 | \$1,113,000 | 3,730 | 9 | 2009 | 3 | 6,060 | N | N | 2818 103RD PL NE |
| 006 | 643350 | 0100 | 01/31/2008 | \$1,252,000 | \$865,000 | 2,510 | 10 | 2005 | 3 | 14,650 | N | N | 2700 101ST PL NE |
| 006 | 434000 | 0070 | 06/01/2009 | \$1,175,000 | \$1,135,000 | 2,580 | 10 | 1995 | 4 | 8,064 | N | N | 1238 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 292505 | 9359 | 06/09/2008 | \$1,040,000 | \$814,000 | 2,610 | 10 | 1994 | 3 | 11,700 | N | N | 10819 NE 19TH PL |
| 006 | 434000 | 0020 | 07/09/2008 | \$1,235,000 | \$991,000 | 2,630 | 10 | 1995 | 3 | 7,861 | N | N | 1230 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 808540 | 0430 | 11/24/2008 | \$980,000 | \$867,000 | 2,640 | 10 | 1992 | 3 | 8,152 | N | N | 2716 98TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 024900 | 0200 | 08/24/2009 | \$877,000 | \$865,000 | 3,370 | 10 | 2005 | 3 | 8,946 | N | N | 10500 NE 24TH ST |
| 006 | 808540 | 0471 | 07/27/2009 | \$1,021,000 | \$1,002,000 | 3,610 | 10 | 2007 | 3 | 8,100 | N | N | 9815 NE 27TH ST |
| 006 | 070800 | 0060 | 02/12/2008 | \$1,500,000 | \$1,050,000 | 3,630 | 10 | 2000 | 3 | 9,000 | N | N | 1425 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 953410 | 0105 | 09/09/2009 | \$985,000 | \$975,000 | 3,720 | 10 | 2006 | 3 | 10,732 | N | N | 10516 20TH PL NE |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale Price | Above Grade Living | Bld Grade | Year Built/ Ren | Cond | Lot Size | View | Waterfront | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 006 | 953310 | 0170 | 06/15/2009 | \$934,000 | \$906,000 | 3,860 | 10 | 2007 | 3 | 8,640 | N | N | 10228 NE 20TH PL |
| 006 | 808540 | 0401 | 06/28/2009 | \$1,250,000 | \$1,217,000 | 4,020 | 10 | 2007 | 3 | 9,656 | N | N | 9854 NE 28TH ST |
| 006 | 068500 | 0190 | 11/16/2009 | \$999,000 | \$997,000 | 4,050 | 10 | 2007 | 3 | 8,442 | N | N | 10017 NE 24TH ST |
| 006 | 808540 | 0511 | 03/19/2009 | \$1,203,000 | \$1,130,000 | 4,070 | 10 | 2007 | 3 | 8,100 | N | N | 9845 NE 26TH ST |
| 006 | 292505 | 9274 | 08/20/2009 | \$1,395,000 | \$1,376,000 | 4,130 | 10 | 2008 | 3 | 10,018 | N | N | 1725 109TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 202505 | 9205 | 05/21/2009 | \$1,050,000 | \$1,010,000 | 4,350 | 10 | 2008 | 3 | 13,308 | N | N | 2615 104TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 634400 | 0010 | 04/21/2008 | \$1,850,000 | \$1,386,000 | 4,350 | 10 | 2006 | 3 | 9,112 | N | N | 10638 NE 18TH ST |
| 006 | 953310 | 0215 | 05/08/2009 | \$1,160,000 | \$1,111,000 | 4,470 | 10 | 2007 | 3 | 8,657 | N | N | 10267 NE 20TH PL |
| 006 | 796390 | 0020 | 05/18/2009 | \$1,350,000 | \$1,298,000 | 4,570 | 10 | 2008 | 3 | 10,140 | N | N | 1947 109TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 634400 | 0005 | 04/09/2009 | \$1,050,000 | \$995,000 | 4,670 | 10 | 2004 | 3 | 10,050 | N | N | 10646 NE 18TH ST |
| 006 | 070800 | 0180 | 09/16/2009 | \$1,295,000 | \$1,283,000 | 3,350 | 11 | 2005 | 3 | 9,000 | N | N | 10465 NE 15TH ST |
| 006 | 072600 | 0070 | 12/04/2009 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,199,000 | 3,380 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 8,580 | N | N | 1223 106TH PL NE |
| 006 | 202620 | 0053 | 07/21/2009 | \$2,300,000 | \$2,254,000 | 3,420 | 11 | 1999 | 3 | 38,466 | N | N | 17 DIAMOND S RANCH |
| 006 | 025000 | 0090 | 10/21/2009 | \$1,139,000 | \$1,134,000 | 3,640 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 7,660 | N | N | 10705 28TH ST NE |
| 006 | 292505 | 9228 | 04/17/2008 | \$1,580,000 | \$1,179,000 | 3,810 | 11 | 2001 | 3 | 13,939 | N | N | 1905 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 347280 | 0075 | 11/04/2009 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,196,000 | 3,850 | 11 | 2007 | 3 | 7,460 | N | N | 1617 100TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 126620 | 0025 | 09/17/2008 | \$1,450,000 | \$1,227,000 | 3,950 | 11 | 2007 | 3 | 10,335 | N | N | 11034 15TH ST NE |
| 006 | 292505 | 9367 | 10/23/2009 | \$1,700,000 | \$1,693,000 | 4,050 | 11 | 2009 | 3 | 10,002 | N | N | 10102 NE 16TH PL |
| 006 | 238700 | 0090 | 06/26/2008 | \$1,900,000 | \$1,509,000 | 4,090 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 8,969 | N | N | 10444 NE 16TH PL |
| 006 | 292505 | 9110 | 10/05/2009 | \$1,260,000 | \$1,252,000 | 4,160 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 10,874 | N | N | 10512 NE 20TH ST |
| 006 | 808540 | 0222 | 11/12/2009 | \$1,756,000 | \$1,752,000 | 4,160 | 11 | 2007 | 3 | 9,384 | N | N | 9809 NE 30TH ST |
| 006 | 808540 | 0455 | 05/13/2009 | \$1,450,000 | \$1,392,000 | 4,170 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 8,505 | N | N | 9857 NE 27TH ST |
| 006 | 856240 | 0010 | 07/27/2009 | \$1,293,500 | \$1,269,000 | 4,200 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 8,550 | N | N | 10416 NE 15TH ST |
| 006 | 070800 | 0070 | 05/28/2009 | \$1,660,000 | \$1,601,000 | 4,230 | 11 | 2007 | 3 | 8,464 | N | N | 1411 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 292505 | 9369 | 10/06/2009 | \$1,390,000 | \$1,382,000 | 4,300 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 10,660 | N | N | 10518 NE 20TH ST |
| 006 | 325050 | 0136 | 02/07/2008 | \$2,079,000 | \$1,448,000 | 4,430 | 11 | 2007 | 3 | 9,900 | N | N | 1812 98TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 664590 | 0030 | 06/20/2008 | \$2,200,000 | \$1,738,000 | 4,540 | 11 | 2005 | 3 | 10,201 | N | N | 10023 NE 29TH PL |
| 006 | 868200 | 0030 | 06/16/2009 | \$1,850,000 | \$1,795,000 | 4,600 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 9,361 | N | N | 9838 NE 16TH ST |
| 006 | 024900 | 0195 | 08/25/2008 | \$1,830,000 | \$1,523,000 | 4,630 | 11 | 2007 | 3 | 8,742 | N | N | 10505 NE 26TH ST |
| 006 | 808540 | 0436 | 04/27/2009 | \$1,200,000 | \$1,145,000 | 4,690 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 8,100 | N | N | 9820 NE 27TH ST |
| 006 | 072600 | 0005 | 06/18/2008 | \$2,120,000 | \$1,672,000 | 4,800 | 11 | 2008 | 3 | 8,970 | N | N | 1311 108TH AVE NE |
| 006 | 808540 | 0390 | 05/07/2008 | \$2,598,000 | \$1,975,000 | 4,830 | 11 | 2007 | 3 | 9,520 | N | N | 9830 NE 28TH ST |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Adj Sale <br> Price | Above <br> Grade <br> Living | Year <br> Brade | Built/ <br> Ren | Cond | Lot <br> Size | View | Water- <br> front | Situs Address |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Improved Sales Removed From This Physical Inspection Analysis

 Area 68| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 003 | 020100 | 0390 | 12/15/2009 | \$1,015,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 003 | 068760 | 0130 | 05/19/2009 | \$560,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 068760 | 0160 | 08/07/2009 | \$485,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 108870 | 0430 | 07/27/2009 | \$5,060 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 133110 | 0060 | 05/08/2008 | \$790,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 144550 | 0080 | 04/07/2009 | \$644,385 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 154680 | 0030 | 09/19/2008 | \$65,919 | CORPORATE AFFILIATES |
| 003 | 154680 | 0040 | 10/01/2008 | \$446,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 154680 | 0050 | 01/25/2008 | \$550,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 212505 | 9107 | 09/26/2008 | \$850,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 212505 | 9110 | 06/26/2009 | \$1,100,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 212505 | 9111 | 05/07/2008 | \$580,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 212505 | 9136 | 06/24/2008 | \$1,400,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 222505 | 9134 | 05/08/2008 | \$1,055,000 | IMP COUNT GREATER THAN ONE |
| 003 | 384850 | 0775 | 04/01/2009 | \$775,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 389250 | 0080 | 02/15/2008 | \$1,490,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 003 | 678930 | 0072 | 08/01/2008 | \$480,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 678970 | 0030 | 07/31/2008 | \$1,448,000 | IMP COUNT GREATER THAN ONE |
| 003 | 779610 | 0030 | 03/06/2009 | \$900,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 866940 | 0020 | 07/17/2009 | \$4,735,598 | MULTI-PARCEL SALE |
| 003 | 933280 | 0150 | 07/01/2009 | \$115,355 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 933280 | 0150 | 07/02/2009 | \$72,500 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 003 | 946470 | 0630 | 08/04/2009 | \$855,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 003 | 946470 | 0650 | 06/02/2008 | \$790,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 006 | 025000 | 0145 | 06/06/2008 | \$800,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 006 | 025000 | 0285 | 03/03/2009 | \$850,000 | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 006 | 068680 | 0040 | 04/29/2009 | \$16,979 | PARTIAL INTEREST (1/3, 1/2, Etc.) |
| 006 | 072750 | 0020 | 05/19/2008 | \$680,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 006 | 086200 | 0050 | 09/03/2008 | \$860,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS UPDATED |
| 006 | 142420 | 0090 | 06/24/2009 | \$750,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS UPDATED |
| 006 | 142420 | 0090 | 01/18/2008 | \$1,150,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS UPDATED |
| 006 | 202505 | 9066 | 09/25/2008 | \$725,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 006 | 202505 | 9175 | 07/09/2008 | \$756,375 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 006 | 202505 | 9213 | 01/24/2008 | \$1,600,000 | NO MARKET EXPOSURE |
| 006 | 238700 | 0120 | 06/26/2008 | \$696,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 006 | 278580 | 0065 | 12/29/2009 | \$946,000 | FINANCIAL INSTITUTION RESALE |
| 006 | 292505 | 9367 | 01/24/2008 | \$470,000 | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 006 | 325050 | 0065 | 05/27/2009 | \$900,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 006 | 339150 | 0130 | 07/01/2008 | \$134,910 | PARTIAL INTEREST (1/3, 1/2, Etc.) |
| 006 | 571000 | 0030 | 02/20/2008 | \$3,198,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS UPDATED |
| 006 | 643350 | 0070 | 02/04/2009 | \$700,000 | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |


| Sub <br> Area | Major | Minor | Sale Date | Sale Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 006 | 643350 | 0100 | $01 / 31 / 2008$ | $\$ 1,080,000$ | RELOCATION - SALE TO SERVICE |
| 006 | 664590 | 0080 | $06 / 20 / 2008$ | $\$ 1,975,000$ | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 006 | 664590 | 0090 | $02 / 28 / 2008$ | $\$ 2,800,000$ | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 006 | 793325 | 0180 | $10 / 09 / 2009$ | $\$ 950,000$ | NEW CONSTRUCTION, PREVIOUS AV NOT 100\% |
| 006 | 793325 | 0210 | $12 / 02 / 2009$ | $\$ 950,000$ | NEW CONSTRUCTION, PREVIOUS AV NOT 100\% |
| 006 | 808540 | 0431 | $04 / 28 / 2009$ | $\$ 1,050,000$ | NON-REPRESENTATIVE SALE |
| 006 | 808540 | 0476 | $08 / 25 / 2008$ | $\$ 955,000$ | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 006 | 808600 | 0050 | $09 / 24 / 2009$ | $\$ 495,000$ | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |
| 006 | 953310 | 0235 | $03 / 26 / 2009$ | $\$ 925,000$ | RELATED PARTY, FRIEND, OR NEIGHBOR |
| 006 | 953410 | 0085 | $09 / 01 / 2009$ | $\$ 479,000$ | IMP. CHARACTERISTICS CHANGED SINCE SALE |

## Model Validation

## Total Value Model Conclusions, Recommendations and Validation:

Appraiser judgment prevails in all decisions regarding individual parcel valuation. Each parcel is field reviewed and a value selected based on general and specific data pertaining to the parcel, the neighborhood, and the market. The Appraiser determines which available value estimate may be appropriate and may adjust particular characteristics and conditions as they occur in the valuation area.

The resulting assessment level is $91.6 \%$. The standard statistical measures of valuation performance are all within the IAAO recommended range of .90 to 1.10 and are presented both in the Executive Summary and in the Physical Inspection Ratio Study Report (Before) and (After) included in this report.

Application of these recommended values for the 2010 assessment year (taxes payable in 2011) results in an average total change from the 2009 assessments of $-6.5 \%$. This decrease is due partly to market changes over time and the previous assessment levels.

The Appraisal Team recommends application of the Appraiser selected values, as indicated by the appropriate model or method.

Note: More details and information regarding aspects of the valuations and the report are retained in the working files and folios kept in the appropriate district office.

Ratio studies of assessments before and after this physical inspection are included later in this report.

These tables provide evidence that assessment levels (NewAV / AdjustedSP * 100\%) are equitable across key strata of building, land and location characteristics.

For this purpose, the actual overall 2010 weighted mean of $91.6 \%$ in Area 68 has been displayed below. A Lower $95 \%$ C.L. greater than $92.5 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively high; An Upper 95\% C.L. less than $92.5 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively low.

When the sales count is low, the uncertainties are larger, and it is difficult to draw valid conclusions. The confidence interval for the arithmetic mean is used as an estimate for the weighted mean.

| OVERALL | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | 2010 Lower 95\% C.L. | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 193 | 92\% | 90\% | 93\% |
| Bldg Grade | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | 2010 Lower 95\% C.L. | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| 6 | 1 | Insuff data |  |  |
| 7 | 32 | 93\% | 88\% | 98\% |
| 8 | 15 | 94\% | 88\% | 100\% |
| 9 | 58 | 91\% | 89\% | 94\% |
| 10 | 46 | 91\% | 87\% | 95\% |
| 11 | 31 | 91\% | 85\% | 97\% |
| 12 | 10 | 92\% | 84\% | 100\% |
| Year Built or Year Renovated | Count | 2010 Weighted Mean | 2010 Lower 95\% C.L. | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| 1900-1949 | 1 | Insuff data |  |  |
| 1950-1959 | 31 | 93\% | 89\% | 98\% |
| 1960-1979 | 35 | 93\% | 89\% | 97\% |
| 1980-1999 | 45 | 92\% | 88\% | 95\% |
| $>=2000$ | 81 | 91\% | 88\% | 94\% |
| Condition | Count | 2010 Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| 3 | 118 | 91\% | 89\% | 94\% |
| 4 | 51 | 92\% | 90\% | 95\% |
| 5 | 24 | 92\% | 86\% | 98\% |
| Stories | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | 2010 Lower 95\% C.L. | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| 1 | 68 | 92\% | 89\% | 95\% |
| 1.5 | 4 | 97\% | 85\% | 108\% |
| 2 | 109 | 91\% | 89\% | 94\% |
| 3 | 12 | 91\% | 85\% | 97\% |

## Area 68 Physical Inspection Ratio Confidence Intervals

These tables provide evidence that assessment levels (NewAV / AdjustedSP * 100\%) are equitable across key strata of building, land and location characteristics.

For this purpose, the actual overall 2010 weighted mean of $91.6 \%$ in Area 68 has been displayed below. A Lower 95\% C.L. greater than 92.5\% indicates that values may be relatively high; An Upper 95\% C.L. less than $92.5 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively low.

When the sales count is low, the uncertainties are larger, and it is difficult to draw valid conclusions. The confidence interval for the arithmetic mean is used as an estimate for the weighted mean.

| Above Grade Living Area | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { Lower } \\ \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| <1500 | 25 | 94\% | 88\% | 100\% |
| 1500-2000 | 42 | 92\% | 88\% | 95\% |
| 2001-3000 | 43 | 93\% | 90\% | 96\% |
| 3001-4000 | 40 | 92\% | 87\% | 96\% |
| >=4001 | 43 | 90\% | 86\% | 95\% |
| View Y/N | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 191 | 92\% | 90\% | 93\% |
| Y | 2 | Insuff data |  |  |
| Wft Y/N | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| N | 193 | 92\% | 90\% | 93\% |
| Sub | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| 003 | 88 | 91\% | 89\% | 93\% |
| 006 | 105 | 92\% | 89\% | 95\% |
| Lot Size | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| <=7000 | 19 | 92\% | 87\% | 97\% |
| 7001-10000 | 60 | 93\% | 89\% | 96\% |
| 10001-15000 | 42 | 92\% | 88\% | 97\% |
| 15001-25000 | 15 | 92\% | 88\% | 97\% |
| 25001-43560 | 46 | 89\% | 85\% | 93\% |
| >43560 | 11 | 94\% | 90\% | 99\% |

## Area 68 Physical Inspection Ratio Confidence Intervals

These tables provide evidence that assessment levels (NewAV / AdjustedSP * 100\%) are equitable across key strata of building, land and location characteristics.

For this purpose, the actual overall 2010 weighted mean of $91.6 \%$ in Area 68 has been displayed below. A Lower 95\% C.L. greater than 92.5\% indicates that values may be relatively high; An Upper 95\% C.L. less than $92.5 \%$ indicates that values may be relatively low.

When the sales count is low, the uncertainties are larger, and it is difficult to draw valid conclusions. The confidence interval for the arithmetic mean is used as an estimate for the weighted mean.

| Major 872595 | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted <br> Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N | 176 | 92\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| Y | 17 | 91\% | 86\% | 96\% |
|  <br> YrBltRen>1989 | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { Lower } \\ \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{gathered}$ | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| N | 184 | 92\% | 90\% | 93\% |
| Y | 9 | 91\% | 83\% | 99\% |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Grade=11 \& } \\ \text { YrBltRen>1989 } \end{gathered}$ | Count | 2010 <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { Lower } \\ \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{gathered}$ | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| N | 168 | 92\% | 90\% | 93\% |
| Y | 25 | 91\% | 84\% | 98\% |
| Nghb=1 | Count | $2010$ <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \text { Lower } \\ \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{gathered}$ | 2010 Upper 95\% C.L. |
| N | 172 | 91\% | 90\% | 93\% |
| Y | 21 | 92\% | 85\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Traffic=2 | Count | $2010$ <br> Weighted Mean | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Lower } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \text { Upper } \\ & \text { 95\% C.L. } \end{aligned}$ |
| N | 174 | 92\% | 90\% | 94\% |
| Y | 19 | 89\% | 83\% | 96\% |

## Physical Inspection Ratio Study Report (Before)

## 2009 Assessment



## Physical Inspection Ratio Study Report (After)

## 2010 Assessment



## Client and Intended Use of the Appraisal:

This mass appraisal report is intended for use only by the King County Assessor and other agencies or departments administering or confirming ad valorem property taxes. Use of this report by others is not intended by the appraiser. The use of this appraisal, analyses and conclusions is limited to the administration of ad valorem property taxes in accordance with Washington State law. As such it is written in concise form to minimize paperwork. The assessor intends that this report conform to the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) requirements for a mass appraisal report as stated in USPAP SR 6-8. To fully understand this report the reader may need to refer to the Assessor’s Property Record Files, Assessors Real Property Data Base, separate studies, Assessor's Procedures, Assessor's field maps, Revalue Plan and the statutes.
The purpose of this report is to explain and document the methods, data and analysis used in the revaluation of King County. King County is on a six year physical inspection cycle with annual statistical updates. The revaluation plan is approved by Washington State Department of Revenue. The Revaluation Plan is subject to their periodic review.

## Definition and date of value estimate:

## Market Value

The basis of all assessments is the true and fair value of property. True and fair value means market value (Spokane etc. R. Company v. Spokane County, 75 Wash. 72 (1913); Mason County Overtaxed, Inc. v. Mason County, 62 Wn. 2d (1963); AGO 57-58, No. 2, 1/8/57; AGO 65-66, No. $65,12 / 31 / 65$ ). The true and fair value of a property in money for property tax valuation purposes is its "market value" or amount of money a buyer willing but not obligated to buy would pay for it to a seller willing but not obligated to sell. In arriving at a determination of such value, the assessing officer can consider only those factors which can within reason be said to affect the price in negotiations between a willing purchaser and a willing seller, and he must consider all of such factors. (AGO 65,66, No. 65, 12/31/65)

Retrospective market values are reported herein because the date of the report is subsequent to the effective date of valuation. The analysis reflects market conditions that existed on the effective date of appraisal.

## Highest and Best Use

RCW 84.40.030 All property shall be valued at one hundred percent of its true and fair value in money and assessed on the same basis unless specifically provided otherwise by law.
An assessment may not be determined by a method that assumes a land usage or highest and best use not permitted, for that property being appraised, under existing zoning or land use planning ordinances or statutes or other government restrictions.
WAC 458-07-030 (3) True and fair value -- Highest and best use. Unless specifically provided otherwise by statute, all property shall be valued on the basis of its highest and best use for assessment purposes. Highest and best use is the most profitable, likely use to which a property can be put. It is the use which will yield the highest return on the owner's investment. Any reasonable use to which the property may be put may be taken into consideration and if it is peculiarly adapted to some particular use, that fact may be taken into consideration. Uses that are within the realm of possibility, but not reasonably probable of occurrence, shall not be considered in valuing property at its highest and best use.

If a property is particularly adapted to some particular use this fact may be taken into consideration in estimating the highest and best use. (Sammish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922)) The present use of the property may constitute its highest and best use. The appraiser shall, however, consider the uses to which similar property similarly located is being put. (Finch v. Grays Harbor County, 121 Wash. 486 (1922)) The fact that the owner of the property chooses to use it for less productive purposes than similar land is being used shall be ignored in the highest and best use estimate. (Sammish Gun Club v. Skagit County, 118 Wash. 578 (1922))

Where land has been classified or zoned as to its use, the county assessor may consider this fact, but he shall not be bound to such zoning in exercising his judgment as to the highest and best use of the property. (AGO 63-64, No. 107, 6/6/64)

## Date of Value Estimate

All property now existing, or that is hereafter created or brought into this state, shall be subject to assessment and taxation for state, county, and other taxing district purposes, upon equalized valuations thereof, fixed with reference thereto on the first day of January at twelve o'clock meridian in each year, excepting such as is exempted from taxation by law. [1961 c 15 §84.36.005]

The county assessor is authorized to place any property that is increased in value due to construction or alteration for which a building permit was issued, or should have been issued, under chapter 19.27, 19.27A, or 19.28 RCW or other laws providing for building permits on the assessment rolls for the purposes of tax levy up to August 31st of each year. The assessed valuation of the property shall be considered as of July 31st of that year. [1989 c 246 § 4]

Reference should be made to the property card or computer file as to when each property was valued. Sales consummating before and after the appraisal date may be used and are analyzed as to their indication of value at the date a valuation. If market conditions have changed then the appraisal will state a logical cutoff date after which no market date is used as an indicator of value.

## Property rights appraised:

## Fee Simple

Wash Constitution Article 7 § 1 Taxation: All taxes shall be uniform upon the same class of property within the territorial limits of the authority levying the tax and shall be levied and collected for public purposes only. The word "property" as used herein shall mean and include everything, whether tangible or intangible, subject to ownership. All real estate shall constitute one class.
Trimble v. Seattle, 231 U.S. 683, 689, 58 L. Ed. 435, 34 S. Ct. 218 (1914)"the entire [fee] estate is to be assessed and taxed as a unit"
Folsom v. Spokane County, 111 Wn. 2d 256 (1988) "the ultimate appraisal should endeavor to arrive at the fair market value of the property as if it were an unencumbered fee"

The definition of fee simple estate as taken from The Third Edition of The Dictionary of Real Estate Appraisal, published by the Appraisal Institute. "Absolute ownership unencumbered by
any other interest or estate, subject only to the limitations imposed by the governmental powers of taxation, eminent domain, police power, and escheat."

## Assumptions and Limiting Conditions:

1. No opinion as to title is rendered. Data on ownership and legal description were obtained from public records. Title is assumed to be marketable and free and clear of all liens and encumbrances, easements and restrictions unless shown on maps or property record files. The property is appraised assuming it to be under responsible ownership and competent management and available for its highest and best use.
2. No engineering survey has been made by the appraiser. Except as specifically stated, data relative to size and area were taken from sources considered reliable, and no encroachment of real property improvements is assumed to exist.
3. No responsibility for hidden defects or conformity to specific governmental requirements, such as fire, building and safety, earthquake, or occupancy codes, can be assumed without provision of specific professional or governmental inspections.
4. Rental areas herein discussed have been calculated in accord with generally accepted industry standards.
5. The projections included in this report are utilized to assist in the valuation process and are based on current market conditions and anticipated short term supply demand factors. Therefore, the projections are subject to changes in future conditions that cannot be accurately predicted by the appraiser and could affect the future income or value projections.
6. The property is assumed uncontaminated unless the owner comes forward to the Assessor and provides other information.
7. The appraiser is not qualified to detect the existence of potentially hazardous material which may or may not be present on or near the property. The existence of such substances may have an effect on the value of the property. No consideration has been given in this analysis to any potential diminution in value should such hazardous materials be found (unless specifically noted). We urge the taxpayer to retain an expert in the field and submit data affecting value to the assessor.
8. No opinion is intended to be expressed for legal matters or that would require specialized investigation or knowledge beyond that ordinarily employed by real estate appraisers, although such matters may be discussed in the report.
9. Maps, plats and exhibits included herein are for illustration only, as an aid in visualizing matters discussed within the report. They should not be considered as surveys or relied upon for any other purpose.
10. The appraisal is the valuation of the fee simple interest. Unless shown on the Assessor's parcel maps, easements adversely affecting property value were not considered.
11. An attempt to segregate personal property from the real estate in this appraisal has been made.
12. Items which are considered to be "typical finish" and generally included in a real property transfer, but are legally considered leasehold improvements are included in the valuation unless otherwise noted.
13. The movable equipment and/or fixtures have not been appraised as part of the real estate. The identifiable permanently fixed equipment has been appraised in accordance with RCW 84.04.090 and WAC 458-12-010.
14. I have considered the effect of value of those anticipated public and private improvements of which I have common knowledge. I can make no special effort to contact the various jurisdictions to determine the extent of their public improvements.
15. Exterior inspections were made of all properties in the physical inspection areas (outlined in the body of the report) however; due to lack of access and time few received interior inspections.

## Scope of Work Performed:

Research and analyses performed are identified in the body of the revaluation report. The assessor has no access to title reports and other documents. Because of legal limitations we did not research such items as easements, restrictions, encumbrances, leases, reservations, covenants, contracts, declarations and special assessments. Disclosure of interior home features and, actual income and expenses by property owners is not a requirement by law therefore attempts to obtain and analyze this information are not always successful. The mass appraisal performed must be completed in the time limits indicated in the Revaluation Plan and as budgeted. The scope of work performed and disclosure of research and analyses not performed are identified throughout the body of the report.

## CERTIFICATION:

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief:

- The statements of fact contained in this report are true and correct
- The report analyses, opinions, and conclusions are limited only by the reported assumptions and limiting conditions and are my personal, impartial, and unbiased professional analyses, opinions, and conclusions.
- I have no present or prospective interest in the property that is the subject of this report and no personal interest with respect to the parties involved.
- I have no bias with respect to the property that is the subject of this report or to the parties involved.
- My engagement in this assignment was not contingent upon developing or reporting predetermined results.
- My compensation for completing this assignment is not contingent upon the development or reporting of predetermined value or direction in value that favors the cause of the client, the amount of the value opinion, the attainment of a stipulated result, or the occurrence of a subsequent event directly related to the intended use of this appraisal.
- My analyses, opinions, and conclusions were developed, and this report has been prepared, in conformity with the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice.
- The area(s) physically inspected for purposes of this revaluation are outlined in the body of this report.

Department of Assessments
King County Administration Bldg.
500 Fourth Avenue, ADM-AS-0708
Seattle, WA 98104-2384

## Lloyd Hara

Assessor
(206) 296-5195 FAX (206) 296-0595

Email: assessor.info@kingcounty.gov
As we start a new decade and prepare the 2010 revaluations for the 2011 Tax Roll, it is important for staff to review our standards for completing our assessments for this year. As Deputy Assessors, first, everyone works for the taxpayers of King County and we must do our work in the most fair and equitable manner. Second, we will take pride in doing the best professional job possible. Third, we will treat all taxpayers with respect and value their opinions.

To further those standards, all appraisers are directed to:

- Use all appropriate mass appraisal techniques as stated in Washington State Laws, Washington State Administrative Codes, 2010 Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP), and accepted International Association of Assessing Officers (IAAO) standards and practices.
- Work with your supervisor on the development of the annual valuation plan and develop the scope of work for your portion of appraisal work assigned, including physical inspections and statistical updates of properties;
- Validate for correctness physical characteristics for all vacant and improved properties and, where applicable, validate sales data for those properties;
- Appraise land as if vacant and available for development to its highest and best use. The improvements are to be valued at their contribution to the total in compliance with applicable laws, codes and DOR guidelines. The Jurisdictional Exception is applied in cases where Federal, State or local laws or regulations preclude compliance with USPAP;
- Develop valuation models as delineated by the IAAO (Standard on Mass Appraisal of Real Property, 2002; rev 2008). Apply models uniformly to sold and unsold properties, so that ratio statistics can be accurately inferred to the entire population. Validate models as delineated by IAAO in their Standard on Ratio Studies (approved July 2007).
- All sales are to be time adjusted to $1 / 1 / 10$ and models developed by appraisers will include an administrative adjustment of .925 to reflect current economic factors that impact value and are not indicated by time-adjusted sales data alone.
- Prepare written reports in compliance with USPAP Standard 6 for Mass Appraisals. The intended users of your appraisals and the written reports include the Assessor, the King County Board of Equalization, the Washington State Board of Tax Appeals, the King County Prosecutor and the Washington State Department of Revenue. The intended use of the appraisals and the written reports is the administration of ad valorem property taxation.


Lloyd Hara
King County Assessor

